

THE PAPACY

AND MODERN TIMES
POLITICAL SKETCH, 1203-1870

BY

WILLIAM BARRY, D.D.

SONETIME SCHOLAR OF THE ENGLISH COLLEGE, ROME; AUTHOR OF "THE PAPAL MONARCHY"; AND A CON-TRIBUTOR TO THE "CAMBRIDGE MODERN HISTORY"

Hine septem dominos videre montes, Et totam licet aestimase Romam.

Martial

The from this height the seven lordly hills, and meaning himse the total worth of Rome.

. LONDON

LLIAMS AND NORG

26213

PRINTED BY
THE LONDON AND NORWICH PRESS,
LONDON AND NORWICH

State Central Library, Govt of West Bengal 86-A, B. T. Road, Calcuma-700059

PREFACE,

whee do not undertake to frame or to resolve roblems; they are not a treatise in Canon Law; Il they attempt Church history in any proper he word. I have called my little book a "politi-" and in that light, with all due courtesy, it is the Home University collection. Its purpose tated in a sentence. I desire to explain how it e Twentieth of September, 1870, when I saw the my enter Rome, forms a landmark in the story n Europe and, by consequence, in the developmodern society on both sides of the Atlantic. scene is Rome, the horizon is America. There terms of comparison involved—the Papacy. ate State, and the American Constitution, which ed from Engand, owes its principles to the arter and to Edward the Confessor. abstract forms into the concrete, we may behold itage, Washington, Napoleon, and Hildebrand. Washington needs no description; he shines wn splendour in the sky of liberty, sua se luce Hildebrand, the least known to men at this hour. means the least important. He stands outside s, but in theory and ideal he pervades the whole from Boniface VIII. to Pius IX. As for Napoleon, ar come to life again, inheriting from the Roman from Philip the Fair and Louis XIV., his conof untrammelled power, and from many an frant his ambition to found a Kingdom de Italy. first abolished the Temporal Power in principle ot: he is the true author of the Venti Settembre. causes go very far back; it was already preas a fatal term to the unique dominion from the

day, of Anagri, September 7, 1303, when Colonna. Roman prince, and Nogaret, the French lawyers outre Pope Boniface on his throne—"that throne," says Le "which was once the centre and the archetype of political system of Europe, the successor of Imp Rome." Now the Pope sits like a prisoner in his Vati overagainst the Italian king, who, from within the usus chambers of the Quirinal, governs on the lines of Napole famous Code though with some figure of a Parliame his modern revolutionary State. The situation has las forty years. It is unique, dramatic, pregnant of cor quences. To sum up, the Papacy was for hundreds years suzerain over kings, and the Holy Roman Emr was its armed defender. It is now the head of a wo wide voluntary association which wields no sword its faith, and which owes nothing to secular governmen How so remarkable a transformation came to pass, a what it means politically, is the subject I have taken hand. It is a chapter in the history of whitual freede So long as the Vatican endures, Cæsarism will not he won the day.

I speak, of course, always under correction, with a desense of my own inadequacy in grappling with matt so difficult and so controverted; nor am I able, as I shou like, to express my gratitude to the writers, past a present, by whose light I travel. Let me beg the reade indulgent sympathy.

WILLIAM BARRY

LEAMINGTON.

IN FESTO S. PETRI AD VINCULA, August 1, 1911.

° CONTENTS

)		SYEE
PREFACE ,		•	٧.
ROLOGUE, THE VATICAN AND THE ROMAN F	'ATH	Ė,	9
I From Avignon to Constance .			31
II From Constance to the Sack of Ro	ME		63
; III From the Sack of Rome to the Begin	inin	G8	
OF THE THETY YEARS' WAR .	•		98
V From the Escurial to Versailles	•		133
V From Louis XIV. to the Revolution	N		162
VI From the Revolution to Waterloo		•	187
TI FROM WATERLOO TO THE FALL OF RO	KE	J	211
BLIOGRAPHY	d	,'	253
IDRX			255

PROLOGUE'

THE VATICAN AND THE ROMAN FATHER (AENEID, ix., 449)

Iwo thousand years ago, in round numbers, ne Italian city called Rome had brought nder its sway all those peoples, civilised or arbarian, who dwelt between the Euphrates nd the Atlantic. south of Rhine and Danube. nd north of the African deserts. This great onfederation was known as the Roman mpire. Its ruler held at once the supreme vil power and the control of religion. ore as a title in the secular State the name f Cæsar; as chief priest that of Pontifex laximus. So had events determined after he battle of Actium (81 B.C.), when the old epublic was changed into an absolute onarchy (though disguised by keeping the opular designations), the head of which was lugustus, grand-nephew of that Julius whom hakespeare extols as "the foremost man of

all, this world." Imperial Rome, likewise though in a somewhat hard, military fashion took to itself the culture of Hellas, which i has taught Europeans to miscall Greece It had long struggled against foreign religiou rites, and often put them down by law especially the frenzied cults of Bacchus and Isis. But when the native Italian blood had been recklessly spilt in civil wars, and Rome grew Orientalized by its multitudes of slaves and parasites from Eastern lands, such secret, fantastic, and professedly wonderworking forms of worship gained an immense influence. They brought to the capital of civilisation an idea as of something universal, which corresponded with its own dignity and its office towards mankind There was conceivable a deep interpenetration of the outward Roman framework of society by a spiritual force. But these old heathen superstitions were not destined to achieve so noble an enterprise. For Israel had already learnt from its prophets the true Religion of Humanity. Judaism was enlarged in thought and outlook until it became the Catholic Church. The first Rome had been established on the Palatine Hill. A second now sprang into being on the Vatican.

Jew conquered Roman as Roman had conquered East and West. We may fix the date and symbolize the consequences of this greater triumph in a description left us by Tacitus, the most philosophical among Latin historians, of Nero's dealings with a certain folk, "hated for their general wickedness, whom the vulgar called Christians" (Annals, xv., 44).

Outside the city walls, and across the Tiber to the north-west, rises, not quite one hundred feet above the Mediterranean level, Mons Vaticanus, the Hill of Prophecy. It had its name perhaps from an Etruscan oracle. Its gardens belonged to Agrippina, Nero's mother, and thus came to him: on their site Caligula and Claudius had built a circus for chariot-racing which Nero haunted. The goal was an obelisk from Heliopolis, standing nearly where the high altar of St. Peter's now stands. And the obelisk adorns the centre of the great square, with this writing upon it, "Christ conquers, Christ reigns, Christ commands; Christ defend His people from all harm." The words sum up a revolution and a history. They bring back that First of August, 64 (the year of Rome, 817), when the Vatican gardens

blazed with living victims, whose allege crime it was that they had set the city of fire. They are associated with the martyrdon of St. Peter and St. Paul, whom the Romai Church reveres as its founders. They imply as St. John does in the Apocalypse, that the persecuting Emperor was Antichrist. In thei triumphant tone we listen to the battle-croof centuries, during which Catholicism fough its way to victory. The Palatine is a heaf of ruins; St. Peter's Confession drawn pilgrims from the ends of the earth. And so the Vatican dominates those "seven lordly hills" which Martial celebrates on our title page.

All things that seemed fatal to this new birth of time favoured it. "The blood of martyrs," said Tertullian, "became the seed of the Church." Vespasian and Titus made Rome the centre of Christian hopes when they destroyed Jerusalem. When, after Severus, the West fell into anarchy; when riches, peace, and learning were more and more the heritage of countries lying, east of the Adriatic, St. Peter's successor was gathering strength. St. Cyprian of Carthage venerated the Apostolic Chair; we hear already the term Pontifex Maximus applied

to the Pope, Constantine erected a temple on the spot where St. Peter was crucified. He paved the way for a division of the Empire by founding his new capital on the Bosporus over against Asia; thus abandoning Rome, Italy, Spain, Gaul, Germany, to this undaunted power. The Popes were statesmen; they refused to be mere metaphysicians; and their calm adherence to tradition gave them the casting-vote when Antioch quarrelled with Alexandria, when Constantinople was torn by religious factions, when orthodox heterodox alike appealed to Julius, Celestine, Leo-names of majesty, not soiled by disputes or degraded in the strife of councils. The calamities which overtook this. degenerate civilization left the Vatican sacred and secure. Leo, deservedly known as the Great (440-461), stopped the march of Attila. The Vandals ruined Carthage; but, in deference to the same eloquent Pontiff, they spared the Roman shrines. Islam afforded to the Popes during nearly eleven hundred years a definite and urgent plea for exercising in defence of Christendom almost a dictator's Mohammedan fury laid Egypt, Africa, Syria; it humbled the proud Byzantine Emperer; it subdued Spain, and

invaded France. As the eighth Christian century ended it was manifest that nonbut the Roman Father could bestow of Europe, from Illyria to Ireland, a human religion or the elements of civilized life.

Two names cast a gleam upon the darkness which followed the inroads of Barbarians and Islamites-St. Benedict, who appear as a lawgiver, shaping monastic rules into principles by which order was brought ou of chaos; and St. Gregory, who laid in desolat Rome the great bases of a future Christia commonwealth. To them we cowe it that the sovereign city was "victorious in he mourning weeds." Benedict, in the cloister began to create an order of peace and industry making labour a divine service. Gregor fed the multitude, resisted the yet half-savag Lombards, sent missionaries to Britain, an saw the Barbarians turning from Arianis; to the Catholic faith. He claimed a suze ainty over the Spanish Kings; he becan a friend of that nation born to illustriou fortunes, the Franks. Another Gregory, the quarrel with Leo, breaker of sacre images, did all he could to preserve Ital for its Byzantine masters while resisting their fanaticism (726-781). He failed; ti

Romans acclaimed him deliverer, and gave o St. Peter the Eternal City. Thus began what is now known as the Temporal Power f the Popes. "Their noblest title," says sibbon as he relates this memorable transction, "is the free choice of a people whom hey had redeemed from slavery."

But observe their condition henceforth. Supreme guardians of religion over the whole West, they are viewed at Constantinople as rebels. They must keep a hand on the "Roman People," proud and turbulent, hating strangers, though supported by contributions from foreign pilgrims ad limina -at the Apostle's threshold-and ready to reak out on every pretext. Between the. ateran "clergy band the "army" of the Palatine friction is unceasing. To the north, pressing continually down from their Alps, we see a fierce ambitious tribe of Lombards. who covet the wealth and splendour of the golden city. South of the Papal territories and behind them lies the Sicilian world. menaced by Greeks and Saracens, open later on to a famous Norman Conquest. Here is the key of the situation. Whoever holds at one time Milan or Pavia together with Naples, can take the Vatican as in a net

This combination no Pope would ever willingly allow To be the subject of a Western prince would dishonour the Supreme Pontiff: but if he is to enjoy freedom, then a balance of power in Italy and a distant protector, whom he can call in and send home again, will alone secure it. When the Lombards threaten, he appeals to the Frankish dynasty to Pepin, whom Zachary, in 752, crowned King by the hands of St. Boniface. Pepin crosses the Alps, defeats Astolf, gives his spoils to the Holy See. That is Pepin's donation (756). Fresh troubles bring his son, Charles the Great, to Rome in 774. Pope Hadrian declares him Patrician, and obtains for the Roman Duchy those limits which it preserved almost down to 1870. To the south all that Byzantium lost the Papacy won. Hadrian assumed regal state. But it was Leo III., who by a bold and happy stroke created the Holy Roman Empire on Christmas Day, 800. Meekly prostrate before him in St. Peter's, Charles received the crown, and was hailed Augustus by a rejoicing people.

This magnificent sight was often to be renewed during six hundred and fifty years, but seldom without bloodshed. To our

ancestors, the wild men who occupied Europe by right of their swords, the Pax Romana was a term void of understanding. Feudalism supposed and perpetuated the state of war; peace could be only a "Truce of God," a Sabbath interval. When Henry III., as Emperor, extended it to half the year, his nobles loudly protested. Not until Amalfi, Venice, Genoa began to flourish, was an industrial pacific order of things conceivable. We must imagine the "war of all against all" as never wholly ceasing, until its ferocity was lifted to enthusiasm by crusading ardour, and expeditions to Palestine allowed the beasant, the farmer, the merchant of the West a chance to develop their resources in their . own way. Media al Europe was a camp with a church in the background.

Rome, in particular, had neither industry nor commerce. Its brigand-chiefs, Frangipani, Orsini, Colonna, entrenched themselves in the mighty ruins, built hundreds of towers from their brick or marble, and sallied forth morning after morning bent on revenge or robbery. The Church became, in spite of laws and saints, a feudal preserve. Its wealth went on growing, until it held from me-third to one-half of all the land in Europe

Its bishops were princes, its abbots great lords. And the protection of sanctuary the power of mortmain, were defended by "excommunication" which cut off assailants from holy things, or by "interdict," which deprived a whole country of religious observances. These were strong but often necessary measures. Yet the kings and nobles who had enriched the Church took away with one hand what they gave with the other. They made of their children, legitimate or illegitimate, "spiritual persons" enjoying the privileges of clerics: thrust them into well-endowed sees: and created the enormous scandal of boy-bishops and even boy-Popes. A mailclad hierarchy turned the crozier into a sword. Manwhile, Charlemagne's descendants broke up and his wide Empire. The Papacy fell into unspeakable degradation. It was exploited during eighty-two years by the House of Theophylact (882-964). There comes a ray of troubled sunshine when the German Otho I. appears as a "tenth-century Charlemagne." At the sad millennium after Christ we admire and pity the swiftly-passing, gracious figures of Otho III. and Silvester II. Otho, was made to be the soldier of the Cross,

and Silvester was the first French Pope, man of letters who meets Arabian science on its own ground, while he projects though he cannot execute the first Crusade.

Christendom, in spite of the Iron Age, was forming little by little. The Vatican blessed or sent forth missionaries to the heathen, Patrick, Augustine, Columban, Boniface, Cyril, Adalbert. Cloisters grew into cities. Teutonic and other knights compelled the pagan nations to come in. Stephen of Hungary converted his people, took his grown from the hands of St. Peter. and was Papal Legate in his own dominions. St. Olaf rudely constrained the Norsemen to receive baptism, and as much as could be given them of southern culture. Their seafaring cousins settled in France as Normans: sailed round to Sicily; captured Pope Leo IX. at Civitella in 1058; obtained his pardon with the investiture of Naples; and under a certain William well known to us conquered at Hastings in 1066. The lineaments of modern Europe begin to appear. At this turning-point the Papal succession was reformed. Benedictine monks, trained under the influence of French Cluny, ascended St. Peter's Chair. Hildebrand, a Catholic and

defeat a theocracy according to the New Testament. This was to be the reign of the Saints It did not find its charter Gregor would have said, in Constantine's alleged donation or in the "False Decretals" presented to Pope Nicholas I. On the contrary its rights were all summed up in St. Leo' pregnant language as "Petri privilegium, St. Peter's Gospel-right. The Holy Se judged all and was judged of none. Th sword of the flesh must obey the sword of the spirit. Although Cæsar might claim th things which were Casar's, for him to meddle with the things that were God's was sacrilege? The Pope taught the creed, gave or withhelf crowns on appeal, acted as commander-in chief of Christendom, and raised a steadily increasing revenue on behalf of the Holt War. Gregory's French successor, Urban II opened at Clermont in 1095 the era of expeditions to Palestine, which preserved Europe from becoming a Mohammedan province, and brought back dangerous but fruitful trophies of civilisation from Syria The Crusades, properly so termed, went of with intermission between 1089 and 1272 But as late as Clement XI. (1700-1721) the Roman Pontiffs were still lifting up the cross

gainst the crespent. It is their distinction nd their glory.

Investitures had been settled by a fair compromise between Callixtus II. and Henry V at the second Council of Lateran (1128). which ratified the Concordat of Worms and ecognized the double aspect incident to emporal possessions in the hands of the lergy. But if we assign the modern movenent in politics, philosophy, and letters to the welfth century, we must look to Paris and France for its origin. France was the brain. the eye, the armed right hand of mediæval Surope. Paris now became to Catholic studies that which Athens had been to the Greeks,—a living university where ideas and systems fought their battle. The school philosophy—a blend of Aristotle and Plato in somewhat disguised Latin forms with Church tradition-started on its brilliant course from the abbey of Bec in Normandy. Among its first lights were Lanfranc and St. Anselm, who both ruled England as Archbishops of Canterbury. Urban II., Callixtus II., were French Popes St. Bernard, king of the age, soul of the Second Crusade, dictator to the Vatican itself, where his disciple Bugenius III. reigned, was a Burgundian.

Abelard (1079-1142), the ancestor of Descarte and Chateaubriand, came from Brittany to Paris, and there opened the movement of Free Thought by his amazing audacity and elocuence. He trained Arnold of Brescia democratic agitator, champion of the "voluntary system," who was opposed to temporal dominion whether of Pope or bishop, and who died a martyr under the Englishman, Hadrian IV., on account of his opinions. Hadrian broke the Roman Republic which Giordano the Patrician, with Arnold to counsel him, had set up. But the sturdy Saxon found a terrible opponent in Frederick Barbarossa, the Hohenstauffen Emperor and the hundred years' war between Ghibelline and Guelf may be dated from 1155.

Frederick the Redbeard has been compared to Hannibal in Italy. His twenty-two years struggle with Hadrian IV. and Alexander III., with Lombard cities and their League of Freedom, was an effort to restore in the West such an absolute imperial authority as the Emperor of Byzantium exercised. A pure German, he claimed to be the old-time Cesar. His appeal rang out to Roman law, and was enforced by the massacre of Roman citizens, by the destruction of Milan in 1162, and by

ne usual device of an Anti-pope. Ghibellines scovered their political theory in the Code nd Institutes of Justinian, to which Irnerius Bologna (about 1100) had drawn his holars' attention. This proved to be an yent of far-reaching importance. Hitherto, ie Vatican had ruled by means of Canon Law, which only barbarian or local systems of gislation could be opposed. But now the mperor (at Roncaglia, 1158) proclaimed his oundless rights over clergy and laity in rtue of an independent Code, which the opes had not created and were unable to odify. The secular State, first appearing in e shape of this imperial supremacy, was Frederick would not hear of a selfverning Italy or Pope who declined to be subject. Alexander III. called upon mbards, Romans, Venetians, to defend their edom; and in 1176, thanks to the victory Legnano, Alexander won. He took the blic homage of Barbarossa, himself throned St. Mark's, Venice, while the Emperor bent knee, on July 24, 1177. But there was w a duel to the death between the Hohenuffen and the Papacy. Guelf and Ghibele tore Italian civilisation to pieces. By the rriage of Redbeard's son Henry V. to

Constance, Sicily was added to the Empire, their child was the accomplished, fascinating, unhappy Frederick II., in whose tomb at Palermo the dynasty lies buried (1198-1250).

We have come to Innocent III. (1198-1216). who put the Western Church in possession of Constantinople by the Fourth Crusade; who set up Emperors in Germany and pulled them down again: who smote the Albigenses in a religious war until they were consumed who brought King John to his knees in the Temple Church at London, and made England a fief of the Holy See; who gave to Italy peace and good laws; who had for his champions the Friars, sent forth over Christendom by Francis and Dominic; and who, lastly, by recognizing Frederick In as lawful Cæsar, bequeathed to his own successors an Iliad of woes. The thirteenth century saw Catholicism triumph in its mighty volumes of Canon Law-the Decretals. It beheld the glory of scholastic wisdom in St. Thomas Aguinas. It served as a stage to the tragedy of the Hohenstauffen,-Frederick II. deposed at the Council of Lyons in 1245 by Innocent IV.: Conradin executed on the scaffold at Naples in 1268. Its culminating point was perhaps reached in 1274, when Gregory X.

at in another Council of Lyons amid flye undred bishops, seventy abbots and a thousand of the clergy. The Churches of East and West uttered there a common creed and acknowledged one Pope, who confirmed Rudolph of Habsburg as German Emperor, recognized the claims of Michael Paleologus to the throne of Constantinople. and laid down wise rules for Papal elections in the future. But with Frederick II. had in truth expired the Holy Roman Empire. The long succession of Teutons henceforth proceeds on a line of its own, not that traced by Charlemagne or seen in vision by Dante. In France St. Louis leaves the world to Philip the Fair and his lawyers. The last Crusade s over in 1272. When Acre falls in 1291 the Holy Land ceases to inspire European politics. When Boniface VIII. was elected Pope at Naples, in December, 1294, and the rreat Jubilee followed in 1800, a catastrophe was hanging over the Papacy with which we may affirm that the Middle Ages came to an end.

This change from sacerdotal to secular supremacy, & from the hieratic to the modern State, had been long preparing. Norman Kings like William of England and Henry

IL: Sicilian. of the same blood, not les determined and astute: Aragonese and Angevin, quarrelling for the succession of Naples: all these were driven by a similar impulse, which they obeyed without seeking to explain it. The Franconian Emperors did not realize that its philosophy might be found in legislation stamped with the names of Justinian. Theodosius, and the Antonines. But Barbarossa knew, and Frederick II. acted upon this memorable discovery. They underwent defeat. The idea of an Imperial law, a crown not granted by the Vatican, & subjection to the king from which no exemption might be pleaded, was at length translated into French terms and carried into execution by French logic. Disputes of a transient importance had arisen between Boniface VIII. and Philip the Fair. Boniface upheld ancient clerical immunities, the doctrine of the two swords, the deposing power, in language borrowed from Innocent III., from Gregory VII. Philip answered with scorn and defiance The Pope fixed a day for his deposition, September 8, 1803. On the day preceding, Nogaret, Philip's minister of vergeance, rode into Anagni with three hundred horse, and the mediaval, the sacred order of things

hich had lasted under conflict during five inturies, expired in that crime which Dante has likeped to the crucifixion itself:

> "Lo, the flower de luce Enters Alágna; in His Vicar Christ Himself a captive, and His mockery Acted again."

The story which we now attempt begins. when Boniface is dead, the Vatican deserted. King Philip master of the Sacred College, nd Avignon looms on the horizon. ills five hundred and seventy years, more han as much as the sad and glorious period fom Charlemagne to this "new Pilate," in hose keeping the successor of St. Peter lay prisoner. Its commencements are tragical: ut it shows the power of the Spirit traversing nany vicissitudes; by captivity and schism, y Renaissance and Reformation, by heresies nd enlightenment and a still greater French levolution arriving at an independence of arthly forces, most honourable to the omething in man which despises outward contraint? These highest things always admit f an interpretation according to the mind at views them. To measure their greatess demands sympathy; and sympathy is indled only, by a vivid fancy, a heart

susceptible to human touches, to pity and love. The Vatican is a name more august than the Parthenon, more abounding in situations that excite all human emotions than the stage of Dionysus at Athens, full of millennial hopes and the pathos of man's history, not yet illuminated by any visible and reconciling last scene. To the Catholic who reads. I would commend the exercise of his faith, having trust in the event, το τέλει πίστιν φέρων. Το the general student and curious dilettante in man's ways, let me say, "These too had their sorrows, their heavy task, ere they passed into the unknown. Remember that they were like unto thee as thou art like unto them. We will look over these chronicles together, and learn from them how divine, how helpless, how much to be pitied and wondered at a thing is human nature."

CHAPTER I

FROM AVIGNON TO CONSTANCE (1805-1417 DANTE, PURG. XXXII).

WHEN. on December 29, 1170, Thomas Archbishop of Canterbury was murdered in his cathedral, the King whose satellites had wrought this great outrage lost all he had been contending for. Retribution followed on the heels of sacrilege; and Henry II. bared his back to scourging at the martyr's tomb. Clerical immunities were saved in England. The royal supremacy was adjourned for three hundred and sixty years. Very different were the consequences of that morning at Anagni. Philip not only kept his threatened crown; he led the Papacy captive. Benedict XI., a mild Dominican, who for one moment occupied St. Peter's Chair, released the French King and his people, from censure. He explained the Papal docurrent "Clericis laicos" so that should not imply feudal claims over the realm of St. Louis. He died (by poison, said

31

the vulgar talk); a vacancy of nine month ensued; and Philip in secret made an unholy compact with Bertrand, Arthbishop of Bor deaux, by which the tiara was sold and bought. The King undertook to have his Gascon subject chosen; the Gascon promised to condemn Boniface; to grant full pardor for the past; to give the Colonna their land again; and, as is thought, to let Philip plunder and destroy the Knights Templars Bertrand was elected, crowned at Lyons and speedily environed with a college of French Cardinals. He never set foot in " Clericis Rome. He revoked the Bull and gave a non-contentious meaning to the "Unam Sanctam" which had haughtil asserted the doctrine of the two swords, on to be wielded, the other to be guided by Christ's Vicar on earth. In 1809 Clement V took up his abode at Avignon, a city belonging to Philip's kinsman, Charles II. of Naples The seventy years of Babylonish captivit had begun. Seven French Popes ruled i succession from the wind-swept heights an in the sunburnt luxurious palace—a fortress church, prison, as it proved-of this fals Rome.

Hitherto, France had offered a constan

ruge to the Pontiffs in their troubles. As back as 754 Stephen III. had taken shelter ith Pepin at Ponthion from the Lombard Astolf. John. VIII., after 874, fled to Louis he Stammerer Leo IX. at Rheims, in 1960. eposed simoniacal French, prelates, and lemonstrated the Primacy by Canon Law. Hildebrand at Tours, as Papal commissioner, ut down the free-thinking Berengar: under fictor II. he compelled a multitude of guilty ishops and dignitaries to surrender their l-gotten trusts. Urban II., French by xtraction..announced the First Crusade at termont in 1095, while Philip I., King of rance, lay under the Church's ban. Calixas II., formerly Guido of Vienne, renewed the ruce of God at Rheims in 1119, while lenry I. of England and Louis VI. pleaded efore his tribunal against each other. ugenius III. took refuge at Dijon in 1147 or three years Alexander III., escaping om Barbarossa, became Louis VII.'s guest Courcy-sur-Loire. In the French city of yons (as yet Imperial and Free) two General buncils were held—that of 1245 by Innocent , and that of 1274 by Gregory X. Gallic duences were now prevailing in the Sacred offere. In 1261 Pantaleon of Troves was

made Pope Urban IV. He offered the crown of Naples to St. Louis, who would not accept it. Then this disposer of kingdoms bestowed it on Charles of Anjou. Count of Provence. Clement IV., a southern Frank, succeeded to Urban in 1265; during his stormy reign Manfred was defeated and slain at Benevento; Conradin perished; Charles of Anjou then dictated the Papal elections. Martin IV., a Frenchman of Tours, came on in 1281. Next vear the Sicilians massacred their French masters and gave themselves to Aragon (the Sicilian Vespers, Easter Tuesday, 1282). It was from the Counts of Provence, to whom? the Holy See had presented Naples on a feudal tenure, that Clement V. received hospitality at Avignon in April, 1809.

Philip the Fair had thus accomplished a design which, five centuries later, tempted Napoleon to imitate it; but the mighty Emperor failed where the King succeeded. In truth, its long struggle with Teutonic Cæsars and the Ghibellines of many Italian cities had exhausted the strength as well as daunted the courage, even of unvearied Rome. For a long and dreary interval, Vatican and Capitol lay desolate. Many Pontiffs had been driven into exile; but an absence

Pope, deliberately resident beyond the bounds of Italy, strucking as something portentous: and patriots now with Dante, Petrarch, Rienzi lamented or rebelled against the discrowning of their native land, to heighten Gallic insolence. Dante, born three centuries before Shakespeare (1265-1564) burns into his glowing enamel the figures which he loved and hated, stamping with infamy Boniface, Clement, John XXII., Philip and his kinsfolk, one among whom, Charles of Valois, gave occasion that the poet should suffer lifelong banishment from Florence. An ardent Ghibelline henceforth, the exile's hopes were blasted by the untimely death in 1818 of Henry of Luxemburg. Dying himself brokenhearted at Ravenna, seven years afterwards. Alighieri left his "mystic unfathomable song" to body forth in its gloom and splendours, by its tears of fire and mingling of angelic harmonies with outbursts of violent passion against those who had done him wrong, the very " form and pressure " of his age.

But now, says Lord Acton, "the Popes were forced to rely on the protection of rance; their supremacy over the states was at an end; and the resistance of the pations commenced." Germany led the way

Though Clement V was the creature an the tool of King Philip, sacrificing to hi greed the Templars (1810), he found som compensation in having behind him th strength of France. He was free from the tumults which in Rome had so often com pelled the Popes to bow under a popula yoke. In 1818 Clement interpreted the oat; taken by an elected "King of the Romans to the Holy See as an act of feudal homage He appointed Robert of Naples as Imperia Vicar in Italy. When he dfed and John XXII succeeded, the Germans who stood by Loui of Bavaria began their long quarrel with Avignon, which may be described as a rehearsa between 1822 and 1847 of the Reformation on a minor scale

It was not the vacillating Bavarian that signified, but under his flag were collected many forces until then separate. John XXII (of Cahors), a severe Church lawyer, who brought in the later system of Papal finance could not suffer Louis to assume the titl of Rex Romanorum—which carried with it the Imperial succession—unless he sought it confirmation from the Pope. But to German teeling the Pope and France were now identical Weak as the Empire might he, its prince

would not yield. The crown lawyers pleaded gainst Canon Law. They were supported by Marsilius of Padua, then high in the Paris University, and more strangely still, by the Franciscan General. Michael of Cesena, and by the leading philosopher of the day, William of Ockham (called Occam by foreign writers), also a Minorite Friar. These men drew, from different points of the compass. towards a political theory with which the claims of any and every Pope would be incompatible. Fierce contentions had broken the Order of Assisi into Spirituals, who held a mystic and extreme view of monastic poverty, and Moderates, who conformed in principle to the received ideas. To the Spirituals, overcome in previous contests, the Papacy now seemed a carnal Church; they 'called the Pope Antichrist; they longed for the new dispensation of the Holy Ghost, and preached the "Eternal Gospel" announced by the Calabrian prophet, Joachim of Flora (1145-1202). They revered the memory of Celestine V. who, in Dante's contemptuous language, "by cowardice made the great refusal." Now these "Little Brethren" (Fraticelli) brought their wild doctrines and meanquerable fanaticism to aid in setting up

an Emperor whose will should be law, while St. Peter's successor lived at a mendicant friar. John XXII. was the last man to accept such a position. "Spiritual" heretics were condemned and executed at Narbonne, at Toulouse, and elsewhere. Then Michael of Cesena revolted. Occam opposed the Bible to the Church, rejected the Pope's infallible teaching, and disowned the Temporal Power. When Luther came to a full knowledge of himself, he recognized his master in Occam, the "Irrefragable Doctor."

But in the eves of modern readers it is Marsilius of Padua, the cool-headed student and no fanatic, that will claim importance. His "Defender of the Peace" appeared in 1827. It represented the whole community as sovereign law-giver and the "prince" as holding of the people. Clerics, including the Pope, have no right to exercise "coercive" jurisdiction; they may persuade, they must not compel by temporal pains and penalties. Like other men, they are subject to the common law, not exempt, nor entitled to courts of their own. Excommunication does not belong to an individual priest; it should be the act of the body altogether, i.e. of the State. As regards heresy, the civil power

deals with it only as an infraction of public order. The prince ought to appoint and deprive ecclesiastics. In fine, the plenitude of Papal power is the corruption of the Church.

These were startling doctrines. They anticipate Luther by two centuries. . They were acted on by Henry VIII. and Elizabeth. Erastus, the Swiss, with whose name it is usual to associate them. did not write until 1568, nor Grotius, the Dutch Arminian, who is more properly their representative, until 1604 and 1625. We trace them fully developed. with peculiar applications, in Hobbes' "Leviathan" and Rousseau's "Social Contract." Wherever they prevail, the mediæval idea of a Catholic Church supreme over all authorities direct or indirect jurisdiction from on high, finds an enemy in law as well as in practice. Thanks, on the whole, to this Marsilian view, the "secular State" flourishes in Latin countries. Vigorously condemned by Clement VI., and rightly assimilated by Gregory XI. in 1877 to the system of Wycliffe, it reversed the position held since Gregory VII. at common law in Western Christendom, putting instead of the Papal Monarch an absolute prince of this world, from whom there was no appeal.

Louis of Bavaria halted many leagues, this side of Marsilius. True, he went down into Italy, was chosen Emperor by the populace in Rome (1828), set up as antipope a Minorite friar calling himself Nicholas V., and, with intervals of submission, continued Emperor till 1847. But his end was defeat. When he died, and an orthodex Catholic, Charles of Bohemia, humbly accepted the Pope's bidding, "it might seem to Clement VI.," says Creighton, "that Boniface VIII. had been avenged, and that the majesty and dignity of the Papal power had been amply vindicated."

Avignon, melancholy as the name sounds in retrospect, could not but appear as a brilliant scene and highly successful Court of the West to French pontiffs. Their wealth became immense; their luxury has passed into a proverb. No longer able to count on the revenues of Rome or the gifts of pilgrims to St. Peter's shrine, John XXII. had perfected a scheme of reservations, expectatives, annats, and other sources of income which for the time brought him in riches beyond calculation. In principle, no Catholic would refuse to contribute towards the necessary expenditure of a system which

was international sopen to virtue and ability hrough all its degrees. The Pope also, as Pather of the Faithful, was the only possible guardian of the war-chest accumulated for defence against Mohammedan assaults. Parliaments granted subsidies. the clergy were taxed by Curial enactments, and in their assemblies were willing to tax themselves, on this understanding. But very great abuses followed. "The Avignon system of finance," says Pastor, a most competent witness, "contributed more than has been generally supposed to the undermining of the Papal authority," and it "soon aroused passionate resistance." Among the evils which fostered, none perhaps wrought more deadly harm that the intrusion of foreigners, French or Italian chiefly, into English and other Northern sees and benefices. These men were, as a rule, non-resident: their claim was felt as a burden: and from the time of Henry III. to Richard II. a series of protests, passing into legislative acts (Provisors and Præmunire, 1851-1858), warned thoughtful men that resistance might turn to revolt. In Germany "grievances" now became a standing quarrel, which was never laid to rest until the catastrophe of 1520 had been precipitated beyond recovery.

While Avignon flourished, in the sun Rome fell desolate. Benediet XII. begai in 1889, high above the banks of the turbic Rhone, that vast palace-prison (des Doms) which seemed as if destined to be the " eterna abode," says Gregorovius, of the Papacy Clement VI., from Limoges (1842-1852), was learned, gracious, extravagantly profuse addicted even more than other French pontiffs to nepotism. He has left a doubtful reputations; he had quite abandoned the thought of returning to the Apostolic See. But the ruins and the walls of Rome were eloquent. In 1841 Petrarch had been crowned with laurel as first of living poets on the Capitol. With his delicate Italian verse and flowing Latin prose, no longer unsalished and barbarous, the Renaissance was attempting its first flight. Again, if Clement VI. would not take possession of his Lateran basilica, there was another that would, and did-Rienzi, called "Last of the Tribunes," a strange figure suddenly visible to all Italy. clad in shreds and tatters of imperial purple, and for seven months a stage Augustus whom nobles and plebeians obeyed (May-December, 1847).

Rienzi was a Roman, a kind of artist,

an orator and a dreamer, intoxicated with ntiquity. He had seen Avignon, charmed he Pope, won Petrarch's friendship. At Whitsuntide, May 20, 1847, he inaugurated he Revolution which was to execute the 'Laws of the Good Estate," in plain terms, of the Roman Republic. He did not deny Clement's authority, but passed beyond it. Within fifteen days all orders, including the Patricians, and at their head Colonna, took the popular oath. Rienzi was named dictator for life. He ruled justly, received appeals from Joan of Naples and Charles of Durazzo, was knighted in the Lateran, and sent banners to twenty-five Italian republicsamong them Florence and Siena. He was crowned with even crowns in August; was denounced from Avignon, was overthrown, and became a fugitive to the Fraticelli, who hid themselves among the glens of the Abruzzi, in December. year 1848 is marked as a dividing line between mediæval and modern Europe; for it brought the Black Death, which swept off one-third at least of the population everywhere Clement VI. lived in quarantine behind his thick walls, and would admit no man to audience. Next year came the

Jubilee, when Rome was crowded. A grea wave of religious excitement passed over th nations. Rienzi, now most likely insane went on a prophet's errand to Charles IV at Prague. Charles gave him up to Cle ment, who put him in prison, but did no take away his "Livy" or his Bible-books or which Rienzi fed his mind. Innocent VI. an admirable Pope (1852-1862), made the warlike Cardinal Albornoz his legate to Rome, and, despatched Rienzi with him ir 1858. The former Tribuse now became Senator; but his mad caprice and "unmitigated tyranny" drove the people to rebel. On October 8, 1854, he was murdered below the lion's cage at the foot of the Capitol.

Marsilius of Padua had seen and delineated the absolute State which was to come in when Empire and Papacy had lost the joint rule of Christendom. Rienzi believed in "a confederation, with Rome for its head, under a Latin Emperor elected by the people." Italy was to be united and independent By this strictly national conception Rienzi transcended the Dantean ideas which we read in "De Monarchia"; for Dante's Holy Roman Empire would have been something like the Church, universal.

ot simply Latin, though continuing Casar. ut the Tribune as Machiavelli did two enturies and a half later, bestowed on his me an image of Italy free, self-sustained. ndivisible; and that almost in the hour vhen Charles IV., by his electors' Golden Bull of 1855, created the new German Empire. lacitly. Charles renounced interference in the Peninsula. • The Alps became a political boundary. Meanwhile, the Spaniard. Albornoz, subdued the Papal States, north and south (1858). Rome expressed againits allegiance to an absentee Bishop. Innocent VI. was followed in 1362 by a saintly Benedictine monk. Urban V., who broke the chain of captivity, despite his cardinals, and went hack mid the world's applause to Romania 1867. It was upwards of sixty-two vears since the Vatican had witnessed St. Peter's successor kneeling at St. Peter's shrine, and singing mass at the high altar.

But how times were changed! Philip the Fair might have brought down a curse on his dynasty; for the line of Capet lost all its male heirs. The hundred years' war was to end on both sides of the Channel in a royal despotism. French power had sunk to the lowest ebb; it could no longer

threaten or upholo the Papacy at Avigno Edward III. of England was Little dispose to grant more than lip obedience to one wi had been a French subject. Petrarch raise his voice in stern rebuke of the sinful cit on the Rhone. At last the Pope said Ma in St. Peter's: he crowned Charles I in 1868 where Charlemagne had lain prostrat -tit was a splendid but hollow eeremonyand two years afterwards returned to h more pleasant exile at Avignon, thoug speedily to die. Gregory XI. nephew o Clement VI., amiable, erudite, pious, but n strong character, who came next, made secret vow that he would restore the Holv Se to Rome. Unless it were soon done, tyrant like the Visconti, "vipers of Milan," or Fre Companies like that of Hawkwood the Englishman, might be expected to carve princedoms for themselves out of the Church's ill-governed provinces. Even Florence, Guel and Catholic beyond all other cities, was at war with the Pope. St. Brigit of Sweden uttered her warning; a still moré exquisite and singularly winning apparition, St. Catherine of Siena, who may perhaps be termed the Italian Joan of Arc. was beheld in the court at Avignon, as messenger of peace

"OBEDIENCES" AND "NATIONS"

from Florence. To her aleadings and the orce of events Gregory yielded. The Florentines whemently protested that his caming would destroy Italian freedom. But on January 15, 1877, he sailed up the Tiber to St. Paul's on the Ostian Way, and so entered Rome. To restore peace he found was beyond his power. Robert of Geneva. the handsome and truculent soldier-cardinal. taking into his pay Breton mercenaries as well as Hawkwood's desperadoes, smote Faenza and Cesena with a horrible slaughter, in which thousands perished. Gregory himself expired on March 27, 1878, and his death opened an immediate way to the Great Schism of the West.

SECTION II

THE "OBEDIENCES" AND THE "NATIONS" (1878-1417)

WHETHER Bartholomew Prignani, Archbishop of Bari, chosen by all the Cardinals assembled in the Yatioan while the Roman mob howled at their gates, was lawful Pope, is a question never formally decided. If he was, the succession of Rome from 1878 of Urban VI.

and his line carries the Papacy forward any other cannot be recognized. This, also, appears to be the almost unanimous opinion of historians on the Catholic side. It prevails in the Roman Chancery. From a different point of view, and regarding the national interests or rivafries which gave birth to the Reformation. we may consider the Great Schism as an attempt, premature but fertile in consequences, to break up mediæval Europe ecclesiastically among the French, Italians, Spaniards, Germans, and English. "nations" that voted at Constance were superseding and casting aside the Empire. They were also, in fact, debating whether each of the European chief divisions should not have its own Churcher Instead of the one Pope, General Councils were and under this parliamentary system, as it urned out laymen would control the clergy. while the civil ruler took to himself supreme urisdiction, and the Roman Pontiff sank o be a Doge of Venice. These were the eal points in dispute. On the surface t was a matter of Canon Law to be settled y jurists. And in its earlier stages the Schism enewed that long debate between Rome nd Avignon, on the part of French Cardinals

OBSTANCES AND "NATIONS" A

the would not stay to be the sport of a erocious people. "France and Italy," says in English writer, "were at strife for the Popedom." That was the salient, but by no neans the ultimate, issue.

Urban VI. had been elected and obeyed by all the Cardinals who now at Fondi, in September, 1878, voted for Robert of Geneva. They made him, so far as lay in their ower, Pope by the name of Clement VII. After sundry adventures, Robert fled from Naples to Marseilles, and, entering the deserted palace of Avignon, became to France and Scotland St. Peter's true successor. The ines of demarcation were strictly political, not drawn from religious motives at all. Milman has ____ sed them with an ironic taly, excepting the Kingdom of Joanna of Naples," he says, "adhered to ner native pontiff; Germany and Bohemia to the pontiff who had recognized King Wenceslaus as Emperor; England to the pontiff hostile to France; Hungary to the pontiff who might support her pretensions Naples; Poland and the Northern kingdome, with Portugal, espoused the same cause! An extraordinary man, Cardinal Pedro de Luna whose fortune it was to Harris San State Comment

create the Schism, to continue it, and t survive it had first managed the election of Urban, then denied him in favour of the Antipope, and now detached from Rome the Spanish kingdoms, Castile, Aragon, and Navarre. This Pope-maker was not a disedifying soldier in a cassock, such as Robert of Geneva had been. Neither was he half-mad and horribly cruel, as Urban speedily showed himself to be. Pedro de Luna possessed many of the great qualities which went to the making of Hildebrand. Blameless in conduct, he was learned and devout, dexterous and winning, but over-subtle and obstinate as a Spaniard or an Arab in pursuing his own fancy. To him, who revered St. Catherine of Siena, and who longed touse the Church renewed, this forty years' division of callsten dom is mainly due. He was by far the strongest character among the popes, kings, prelates, and politicians who attempted to deal with it. Pedro de Luna, historically speaking, was a Gregory VII. committed to a false and fatal position. It required a Council of the whole Church to put him down: but in his own thought he died a conqueror.

Not so Urban the Unwise. This rude reformer lost Naples by quarrelling with

neen Joan, whom he might have kept loyal, Ed with Charles of Durazzo, whom he rowned. He permitted Charles to put the usen to death. That unhappy Joan was Southern anticipation of Mary Stuart in er marriages, her alleged crimes, and her earful end (May 22, 1882). Then he fell out ith his own nominee, whose Constable esieged him in Mohammedan Nocera. The ope suspected his Cardinals of plotting ainst him; he escaped to Genoa, taking we of the Sacred College with him as prisoners, ho all died mysteriously. Afterwards he turned to Rome, and there breathed his t, October 15, 1889. St. Catherine, worn y austerities and the Church's tribulations. • ad gone before _____oril, 1880. Throughout. as Urban's friend and counsellor: out he was incapable of taking her advice. great Spanish saint, Vincent Ferrer, is onspicuous on the other side. The Church. brely perplexed, fell into "obediences." for Clement VII., so-called, would not resign: he Reman cardinals elected Boniface IX., nd the Schism gained a fresh lease of life 889 1404).

Boniface IX., like his predecessor and his uccessor, was a Neapolitan. Under him, says

Pastor, Rome loss the last remains of municipe freedom. · His devices to create a revent were of the old and scandalous kind familia to Avignon. His attempted grants in Eng land ledato resistance; they provoked the final statutes of Provisors and Præmunire under Richard II. But it is significantly observed by Creighton that "the clergy did not regain the rights of which the Pope had deprived them; the gain went to the Crown.' We shall see this law of spoliation enforced on a great scale whenever princes undertake as they say, to defend the Church; it was exemplified in the gradual but never-halting process by which monastic possessions and at length, all spiritual lordships, dominions and tenures of whatsoe secularized. Its final term arrivers with the fall of the Temporal Power: Boniface however was fortunate enough to reconstitute the States of the Church, and to hold out against Ladislaus of Naples. In 1894 Clement VII. passed away. He had done nothing memorable beyond "exhausting the countries subject to his obedience" by appressive tolls and taxes. Now the Schism should have come to an end. But Pedro de Luna had himself chosen Pope as Benedict XIII; ance and Spain acknowledged their own in, who, once elected, would not be combelled by Crown or university to abdicate. His tactics were as brilliant as they were vasive. The French in 1898 withdrew their llegiance. Benedict stood a four years' iege in his rock-fortress at Avignon, until he scaped down the Rhone in March, 1408. le won back France. He made a show of egotiating with Boniface. He continued his iplomacy with Innocent VII., who was ected under some degree of compulsion om Ladislaus, at that time (1404) advancing on Rome. Innocent's troubled pontificate sted two years. On his death an aged enetian became the Roman Pope, Gregory ' II., and plessed himself to abdicate; but Denemet he would not take the first ep. What was the Church to do?

So far back as 1881 Henry Langenstein, German of the Paris University, had written is "Consilium Pacis," advising an assembly f the whole Church to decide between the opes. In that title we hear an echo of larsilius the Paduan. Now the University, high held in its ranks the most learned men Christendom, and was itself a standing where theological questions found

their answer, was driven reluctantly further this expedient. Nicholas de Clémanges who had been its Rector, and Pierre d'Affly, an expert scholar, both moderate men, were for a while adherents of Benedict. He had made Clémanges his secretary, and installed D'Ailly in the rich and extensive bishopric of Cambray. During the fruitless conferences. embassies, and pleadings which came to a head in the Council of Pisa, these two excellent writers and diplomatists played a creditable part. But they could not persuade Benedict to resign, and when he lost their services he fled to Perpignan, June, 1408. In the previous August, Gregory XII., helpless and afraid of the Neapolitan king, left Rome and began his wandering Italy. Most of the Cardinals on both sides now waste their obedience, and, by an unprecedented exercise of authority, convoked a General Council in the Ghibelline city of Pisa. Ladislaus did all in his power to prevent it from meeting. But with France supporting it and Florence barring the Neapolitan's march against it, this anomalous wet dignified assembly came together in the stately Dulemo, March 25, 1409.

Just upon a century had elapsed since the

mench Council of Vienne had taken place Inder Clement V. In various respects local, its ecognition as something occumenical was due o the Pope's presidency and subsequent approbation. The meeting at Pisa, congregated n spite of protests from both claimants (one of whom in the Catholic view must have been egitimate) and approved only by the two Popes who derived from it their election, emains in history the unique thing that it was, revolutionary attempt to heal a situation vithout parallel. Gregorovius calls it, "an ct of open rebellion against the Pope." rdinals on either side became accusers and adges of the Holy See; other deputies, who vere not even bishops but merely theologians. hared in that solemn sentence whereby Herory XII. and Benedict XIII. were simultaneously deposed. Gerson, a devoutminded French canonist, who may be considered the first Gallican strictly so-called, put forward his doctrine, on which Pisa founded itself, that the Church could exist without a Pope, and that the Pope was subject to General Council. "This was the first real step," concludes Gregorovius, towards the deliverance of the world from Papal hierarchy; it was already the

On June 5, 1409, the above memerah decree was voted: twelve days later the Cardinals, not without previous licence from the Council, elected a Greek of Candia the Franciscan friar and archbishop of Milan Filargi-to that which they deemed th vacant See of Rome. Alexander V. was a goo friar: but made confusion worse confounde by accepting a debated dignity. Three Pope astonished and saddened the Catholic world In a few months Alexander was gone; an Baldassarre Cossa, the Cardinal of Bologn who had been the soul of the Pisan Counci took his place. John XXIII., last of that name, is a portent in the succession to which he effected a forcible entrance. Of Neapolita descent, and of a naval lamily the legen affirms that in his youth he had been corsair. Like so many able and disedifying ecclesiastics. Cossa took to the Church simply as to the profession most lucrative in honours and emoluments then open to genius. He studied law at Bologna, knew little of theology did not pretend to be a saint, but was valiant fighting man, who proved himseld equal to the stern duties of Cardinal Depate when he had in hand the second Papal city. or was keeping back Ladislaus from Piss.

To choose a pontiff "altogether null and ept in things spiritual" has been called a rotesque incongruity on the part of Cardinals tely vociferating the need of reform. But ohn was acknowledged by all the States which ad owned Alexander V. Several months after s election he entered Rome (April, 1411) th his French ally, Louis of Anjou, at his le, the latter being now this Pope's candidate r Naples, and bent on its conquest. But ough Louis gained the victory of Rocca cca, it profited him nothing. Ladislaus pt his crown: John made peace with him. gory XII., at Rimini, found a champion in one honourable and thoroughly Christian since of this decadent age, Carlo Malatesta. nd now, at length, a clear field was disvered on which to end the Schism. On lly 21, 1411, Sigismund of Hungary, brother the deposed Wenceslaus, became by the ectors' unanimous vote King of the Romans. le allowed, and the Empire allowed with m, John's ostensible claim to the Papacy. ut he determined that Christendom should cet in council; he fixed on the city of natance; and John, who foresaw what ould happen to such a pontiff as himself hen brought to judgment, gave his unwilling

This Council of Constance, which opened November 5, 1414, was not only the largest in point of attendance, lay and ecclesiastical, but also the most imposing ever held. 'As a great representative assembly, it exhibits the Church and State of the Middle Ages in a magnificent array of pomp and power, It was the Parliament of the West, dealing with rival Popes, defining dogma, putting down heresies, contemplating reform in head and members of the religious institution which it ruled over during three eventful years. Constance became the capital city of Europe It was a fair, a camp, a forum of debate. diversified with ceremonial as august a ° Roman and mediæval tradition could prescribe One hundred thousand persons thronged inte the little town and neighbourhous were well-managed, with excellent order in most things. Civilization had made great strides when the European nations could thus meet peaceably and decorum be so finely observed.

The Council went through dramatic vicissicules. It brought in from Paris University the method of voting by nations in this instance the German, French, English and Italian, to which Aragon was added tate.

thereby depriving John XXIII. of his het support, the Roman and other prelates who would have formed an hierarclical natority. John fled from Constance on farch 20, 1415. But Sigismund Held firm. The Council would not break up. Ten days lapsed, and Cardinal Zabarella proclaimed the amous decree of the Fourth Session, which leclared the 'Council superior to the Pope. although D'Ailly was not present, we must ttribute this revolutionary Gallican dogma o him and his French associates. Gerson and ilastre. The Cardinals, recruited from all ree "obediences," protested in accord with adition that apart from the Roman Church Council had no authority. Frederick of ustria, hitherto John's friend, submitted sater compulsion to Sigismund. John himelf, whose conduct betrayed a broken spirit, nd who had promised to abdicate, was now harged with crimes of every colour, and on fav 29, 1415, was deposed. The long inictment, founded to some extent on hearsay, e would neither read nor answer. We may clieve that much of it is untrue. On July 4, Gregory XII., by his proctor, Malatesta, anded in his own resignation after constitutanthe Courseil in a formal Bull. This, on

100 BARACY AND MODERN THANK

Roman principles, gave the Fathers a state which they had not possessed until the At last the Holy See was manifestly vacant for no one heeded Benedict XIII. at Peniscola though his actual deprivation did not take place until July 26, 1417.

At Constance, therefore, the Gallican movement won; and by the decree "Fre quens," it was now resolved that from hence forth Councils to be called every five year should govern the Church. It was an innovation without precedent in East or West." Of the other hand, a movement destined to be much more formidable, beginning in England with Wycliffe, and then alive in Bohemia. was the subject of stern repression. Wycliffe had "attacked in unmeasure, terms the foundations of the ecclesiasticar system as Creighton allows: and "it was felt that he threatened the existence of the Church and even of civil society." His "Lollards ' were associated in popular opinion, but atil more in the eyes of authority, with all the disorders which vexed England, leading to Archbishop Sudbury's murder, and menacing rank, property, the Crown itself. Their petition to Parliament in 1895 denounced the Mass, the celibacy of the cleary, prevers pr the dead, auricular confession, monastic des. Rome had gone astray, England, they said, had followed her example. In 1897 Archbishop Arundel condemned eighteen propositions of Wycliffe. In 1401, on petition from the clergy, Parliament enacted the clause, "de heretico comburendo," and William Sautre was burnt as a heretic. The nation pronounced against Lollardy. But it had already migrated to Bohemia, where the flourishing University of Prague became its headquarters. A o doctrine which meant nothing less than subversion of dogma. iscipline, and authority, as hitherto ecognized by Catholic Church and Christian State, was .not likely to be suffered at Constance. All the world knows under what meeting, as well as much-debated, circumtances John Hus and Jerome of Prague net their fiery doom, Hus on July 6. 1415. Jerome on May 80, 1416. According to the udicial procedure which then prevailed, their

rial was fair and their sentence merited.

Gregory XII. died in October, 1417. On

it. Martin's Day, November 11, the Cardinals
and their appointed associates elected Oddo

colonias, belonging to the illustrious and
authorit Roman house which had withstood

so many Popes and insulted Boniface VII at Anagni. The new Pontiff, Martin was admirable in character and blameless in conduct. He approved now of what had been done "conciliariter," that is to say in obedience to Catholic principles, by the long-continued assembly, and dissolving i , on April 22, 1418, put an end to the Grea Schism, though Benedict's last follower held out until 1429

CHAPTER II

ROM CONSTANCE TO THE SACK OF ROME (1417-1527. SAVONAROLA, ON "THE CHURCH'S DOWNFALL")

VHEN Martin V. confirmed the rules of the loman Chancery, which he did without lelav. his action put off all serious amendment abuses until another Council, that of ent, utterly opposed in spirit to Constance. idertook the task, by which time, in Biblical nguage, Israel had been rent from Judah. Then the new Pope set out for Florence Rome, he was moving towards a world ito which German ideas could not penetrate, nd where German grievances would be inheeded. Coming up from South and East, he mighty wave of Renaissance was to lift he Church and carry the century forward pon its bosom, in brilliant sunshine. Italy, aid Filelfo, was to present the spectacle of second Magna Greecia, in art and letters inrivalled by the "Barbarians" north of he lips; while Rome, for the first and 63

last time, appeared as a modern Athens the capital of learning and of civilization at its highest point since the age of the Antonines; in general culture supreme "The eminence of the Papacy consisted at that time," says F. X. Kraus, "in its leadership of Europe in the province of art." But the same writer grants elsewhere that, when Medicean Rome drew admiration to its marvels. "the religious and moral point of view was ignored in this domain of worldly aims and ideas." From such a mingled Renaissance to the Sack of Rome in 1527; the stages of righteous tragedy, purifying as by fire the rebellious and sinful people with their rulers, may be plainly followed, as in some prophecy of the Old Testament. It is oreshadowed by Savonarola's canzone of 1475 on the Church's Downfall."

There is another general tendency worth observing. Mediæval Europe had cherished freedom. Its feudal services, chartered privileges, popular franchises, Parliaments and Diets, had restrained the sovereign power. Not even the Holy See could escape consure and sometimes vehement opposition from tepresentative bodies. All this was rapidly changing. The quarrels of Amagana and

TO THE SACK OF ROME 66

surgandians, the English invasion and loss France, did but seem to justify Louis XI. in exercising absolute rule. The Wart of the Roses destroyed an old aristocracy to make room for a new one, while giving to the Tudors a dominion the alternative of which was anarchy. Among Italians this period is the "Age of the Tyrants"—men like Francesco Sforza. who rose to be Duke of Milan: like the Malatesta at Rimini, the Baglioni at Perugia, the Estensian princes of Ferrara, the Bentivogli at Bologna; and pre-eminent in all the arts, villanies, and ccomplishments needful for so perilous a ask, the Medici, who did not yet call themselves Lords of Elorence, but with Augustan dexterity ruled as over free citizens. From the Assembly of Pisa, in 1409, till the last vestiges of the Schism at Basle melted away in 1449, has also been termed the "Age of the Councils." But its end was defeat. inflicted on the parliamentary or constitutional idea. which Gerson would have subst tuted for the Papal Monarchy. Pisa, Constance, Basic left the Pope unlimited sway among he world-powers which were not less bent striking down opposition. Not until Puritans rallies to a conception which

won its triumph at Naseby in 1645, did seem possible to overthrow the Rom without enhancing the Royal supremacy But Martin V. also began, howe cautiously, a counter-movement to the clas Republican spirit, which Rienzi had stirn up and which survived him. The Por now aimed steadily at becoming maste in their own capital; and they succeede A still more difficult but imperative du if they were to feel themselves independed was the reduction of local tyrants und their voke-or a real, and not merely nomingrasp of the Papal States. In this unde taking it was likewise their fortune to prospe and by the strangest means. They becan effective temporal soverigns at the ver mement when their spiritual jurisdiction was cast aside by one-half of Christendor exactly the reverse of that which was to ha pen in 1870. All these converging events me in the same decisive era. When Clement VI came back to Rome in 1528, and crowne Charles V. at Bologna, the year following two series of opposed developments in histor were fixed and certain. The Protestar Reformation was to run its course; 'th Popes were to become unchecked sovereign

Rome-which no enemy would capture, d only one for an instant approach, during two hundred and sixty, years which receded the opening of States General at ersailles, May 4, 1789.

In 1419, Rome and Benevento were held y Joan II., Queen of Naples. Bologna ad declared itself a free Republic. By a...ing the Queen investiture and making rms with Braccio, then the rival brigand Sforza of Attendolo, Pope Martin V. is enabled to take possession of the Eternal ty, "devastated by pestilence, famine, ord, and revolt," on September 30, 1420. found ruins on every side, a scanty pulation, the Vatican gardens waste, and . e walls about 🗪 Peter's broken down. artin restored St. John Lateran as well other churches: built for himself a odest palace on the Quirinal; and augurated, by his patronage of Gentile d Masaccio, the decorative works which re to transform this "city of cowherds" to the most beautiful of European capitals. : left the municipal liberties of Rome touched. But he put down brigandage; overed Perugia in 1424 and Bologna in and was a model Pope, save only C 2

that he greatly aggrandized the house Colonna. Papal families were now to their splendid, but too often disastrous even criminal part, on the Roman st in presence of a scandalized world. It been fairly argued that by promoting kinsfolk the Pontiff made sure of minis on whom he could rely, and that nepot helped him to keep in check the Ror Patricians, most insolent and lawless their kind. The story, however, may allowed to preach its own moral, both g and bad. There was little need to e the Colonna, whose cup of wickedness not yet been filled to the brim.

Reluctantly enough, Martin V., who reconciled Aragon and succleared away last-remnants of schism, allowed the prom Council to meet at Basle Cardinal Cesar learned and high-minded, was to preside c its discussions Eugenius IV. succee Martin, being a Venetian, a friar of St. Fran a strict and saintly man, but no politic The Council opened July 28, 1481.

December, Eugenius dissolved it But democratic meeting, where bishops for themselves jostled, says Eneas Sylves cooks and stable-boys, renewed.

ecrees of Constance, summoned and finally posed the Pope, though undoubtedly legiimate, usurped his government in Avignon, land taxes on the Church at large, and may be called in ecclesiastical annals the Long Parliament, for it went on during eighteen years, till 1449. Recognized for a while by the secular powers, alternately approved and condemned by Eugenius, it made the "compacts" which brought peace to Bohemia. where Ziska and his Taborites waged a anguinary contest.

Sigismund, like the Pope, was now with he Council and now against it. But when madeus of Savoy had been elected on these new and revolutionary principles at Basle ' is Felix V., he pred to be the last of the Intipopes. Eugenius, headstrong but honest, vas driven from Rome in 1484, and took efuge in Florence. By degrees the old atholic idea to which, under extreme lifficulties, he remained faithful, won back rom the tumults and ineptitudes of Basle noderate men like Cesarini, Cusa, and Æneas ylvius. The Pope at Ferrara and Florence posived from the Greek Emperor, now contrately seeking help against the Turks, n peloreed homage. For one moment the

Churches of East and West joined in the same profession of faith. But even at this their hour of doom, the Greek people would not accept the Union. There was no how of saving Constantinople after the fata day of Varna (1444) in which Cesarini fell and the Christian host was cut to pieces Eugenius went back to Rome and died there. Few pontiffs had undergone greater humiliations: but he was the last whon Roman violence compelled to flee from the Eternal City until Pius IX. quitted it i 1848. And the Long Parliament at Basl did not succeed in its endeavour to substitute for the Pope an oligarchy or a democracy, as supreme over the Church.

From henceforth the mailiar movemen was dead. Reform, still desired by Germans, pursued later on with apostolic zeal by Cardinal Cusa in his thrice-famous Visitation (1451), did not much trouble the conscience of Italy, now absorbed in its vision of the ancient classic world. Florence, under its Medicean rulers, became a centre of Greek studies, of art grandly imagined, of literature both Latin and Tuscan, as well as of a Paganism slightly or not at all disguised. The Papacy itself, which had employed

Tumanist scholars, but without enthusiasm, the days of Martin and Eugenius, took in the air of a liberal university when Nicholas V. was elected. Nicholas reigned only eight years (1447-1455). But he wrought vonders in that brief space. He planned and partly executed the design of laying out Rome as an architectural whole. He began the Vatican palace, did much to estore St. Peter's, and gave the Leonine City ts present shape. He was resolved to dentify the Christian religion with art and earning. By the execution of Porcaro in 452 he put an end to all hopes of a Roman epublic. During the next seventy years Rome, politically no longer free, was to lead. surope in the passes of the Renaissance, to be "the true seat and home of all Latin culture," or as Erasmus described it, "the common country of learned men." Mediæval and modern thought came together; but n the first raptures which followed on the liscovery of noble antique art, and when cholasticism had decayed into pedantry or barbarism, more than a little wrong was lone to the earlier Middle Age. Southern ations were instinctively breaking away rousthe Teutons, English, and Scandinavians.

by their preference of the Latin civilization before the less brilliant but more profound, still narrow, conceptions which were after wards to be called Puritan. During the whole period between Nicholas V. and the Council of Trent, monastic ideals underwen an eclipse.

But in helping to form one great synthesis where all the perfect achievements humanity might blend with religion and give it glory, the Popes were obeying right reason. As in the year 800 Pope Leo III created a new Roman Empire on the ruin of the old, thereby offering to Franks and Teutons a principle of unity which served its purpose until the tribes of the Barbarians were ripening into nationar so during the hal century between Nicholas V. and Leo X they did a bolder thing—they accepted th Greek idea of culture. This, when we reflect on the peculiar cast of tradition and police at Rome, was infinitely more daring than to make of Charlemagne a Western Cæsai For Christianity and civilization are eac deal wholes, self-centred and self-sustained Accordingly, the Middle Ages end when th Renaissance begins. That Higher Synthesi of Rome and Athens could not be effected

thout powers of mind, without moral irrestness creatly enhanced beyond any which the fifteenth century might lay aim. It was from many points of view a cadent era. Its attempts at philosophy ere feeble. Cardinal Cusa was but a link etween the mystic reveries of Tauler, the ominican, and later German theosophies, ich as Jacob Behmen's; he did not possess he true notion of history. In like manner Florence Marsilius Ficinus translated lato and dreamt that he was reviving atonism; but he sacrificed reason to xandrian dreams. The princes of Italy eated literature mainly as an adornment their courts, and art as the splendid frameork of their shows, their intrigues, and eir ambitions.

To the Popes we may ascribe, as a dynasty, fitier aims. When at command of Julius II., 1508, Raffaelle began to fresco the walls the Camera della Segnatura, he gave, ader the Vatican roof, an expression which mains to this day of the great reconciling ought, in itself justified, that antiquity has raished a fit prelude to the Christian aith by its poets, philosophers, men of tence, and supreme artists. The Sistine

Chapel repeats and enforces the lesson Dating from Sixtus IV. (1478), under whom its walls were painted by Florentine and Umbrian pencils—by Botticelli, Ghirlandajo Perugino, and others-it became the scenof Michael Angelo's triumph in design, i teaching, in magnificent harmonies of though as of colour, between 1508 and 1512. Thre dispensations are illustrated within this Pap precinct—the Old Testament leading u to the New, and the Sibyls, as Divir messengers among the heathen, confronting the prophets of Israel: Facing the unknow future rises before us that tremendou · symbolic picture of the Last Judgmei (painted 1584-41), which in its dreads outlines was to be accomplished on Chur and State as the years went forward. B who can misconstrue the announcement the perpetuated of a superhuman idea, in whit Rome signifies unity, and all the ways progress meet at its Golden Milestone?

As eight hundred years earlier the conques of Mohammed's lieutenants had given Catholic Rome a victory over Syrian as Egyptian sectaries, so now by the destruction of the Greek Empire as second Mohammaturned the course of civilized manking efinitely westward. Constantinople fell in 458, suddenly, not without heroism. Divided Surope had surrendered the Queen City o be trampled on by Turkish hordes. In 204 the filibustering expedition known as he Fourth Crusade, disobeving Innocent III., ad captured New Rome, hitherto iniolate. A succession of Latin Emperors. ll 1261; feudal chiefs whom their subjects etested: the commerce and rivalries which ere exercised by Venetians and Genoese: he great robber-bands from Spain, celebrated s the Catalan Company—all these elements bmbined to weaken that first line of Christian efence. The Popes were willing to aid yzantium if it would grant precedence the Vatican. But it never would, and e disunion of the Churches opened a breach the walls of Valens through which Johammed II. entered. He made of Turkey European State. He became suzerain over reek Christians and appointed their Patriarch. He meditated on the exploits of Alexander; e was resolved to conquer the whole West; nd by his subjugation of Servia and the lorea, by his raid on Otranto, he proved hat it could be promisingly attempted. te died in 1481.

Meanwhile, the Papal throne had occupied by a fiery Spaniard, Calixtus 41 (1455-1458); °a man of letters. Pius II (1458-1464): a Venetian dilettante, Paul II (1464-1471): and a Franciscan friar of Genoa. Sixtus IV. (1471-1484), all of whom professed that the Crusade against Islam was their dearest concern. Europe would not be convinced. The Spaniard, whose name was Borgia, sent funds and preachers to Hunyadi sent him the legate Carvajal, the astonishin friar, John Capistrano: and thus enabled the Magyar hero to relieve Belgrade (July 1456), though he died of the plague a month later. The Turks lost fifty thousand men but they annexed Servia, Bosnia, Herzegovina Pius II., who had been Æneas Sylvius journalist, adventurer, statesman, cardinal and Pope, interesting as a modern figure and forerunner of Erasmus, displayed the rare quality of a genius that grew with circumstances. He was enthusiastic for the Holy War; but his early escapades, the frequent diversion of crusading taxes to purposes neither good nor lawful, and the criminal adherence of Venice to Mohammed's policy, defeated Pius, who showed in his travels to Mantua and his death-journey to Ancons

Paul II., a sine character, misunderstood by the Italian Courts, which never dreamt that a Pope could be an honourable man did his utmost to encourage Scanderbeg, otherwise George of Albania, who for ten years defended Illyria, foiled the Turk, and stood between Venice and Mohammed. George died in 1467. Negropont (Eubæa) was lost in 1470. But the Sultan's decease gave to the Knights of St. John at Rhodes a breathing-space of forty years (besieged 1480; surrendered 1522)

SECTION II

SECULAR POMPAND SPIRITUAL DECAY (1471-1527)

We come now to an outwardly brilliant but in itself deplorable episode of Vatican history which, though in some sense relieved by the feats and glories of Julius II., fills the period commencing with Sixtus IV. (1471), and cannot be held to have terminated before the double Sack of Rome (May-September, 1537). These sixty years witnessed a degradation of the Papacy into a mere Italian princedom, while its sacred prerogatives were

employed as "reasons of State," with seandal to present and after ages. Yet we must be on our guard, as De Quincey points out when dealing with Cicero and his times, against "that masquerade of misrepresentation which invariably accompanied the political eloquence of Rome." Calumny atrocious than was practised by pamphleteers, ambassadors, diarists, biographers, and literary men at large, during the Humanist Era, it is impossible to imagine. For a long while it was taken as true, and especially since religious opinions were, affected by it. Now we understand that no statement, even if it defames the Borgias, can be admitted without scrutiny, or when wanting in confirmation. Monstrous caricatures, designed for the ends of faction, ought not to be looked upon as faithful portraits.

Moreover, it should be remembered, to the credit of Vatican diplomacy, that the Popes aimed at Italian independence of the foreigner, and that they were bound to make of the Papal States a power which could maintain itself erect between Naples and Milan on one side, Florence and Venice on the other. Their policy changed with bewildering suddenness; but its motive was generally apparent and

a critical moment to the end they had in inew. Thanks to their persistent efforts, some was not absorbed in the French or the panish Empire. For nearly three centuries remained the one free spot in Southern turope, as Holland became the free meeting-blace of the Protestant North.

From 1471, therefore, down to 1527, is a hapter of Roman and Papal story which pears the most curious resemblance to that of the Cæsars' who followed Augustus and preceded Trajan. It finds in Guicciardini me deprayed imitation of Tacitus: in the haries of Infessura scandals which would have pleased Suctonius by their enormity -perhaps of lying as well as of delineation -and in Machiavelli such perverted wisdom mingled with sublimer traits as to remind us of Seneca, Nero's panegyrist and victim. Let us not forget, however, that genius of the highest rank has immortalized a period abounding in vital energy no less than in crime. Italy brought forth not only politicians who gave to Europe shrewd and wicked counsels, but poets, painters, sculptors, orators, explorers, among whom we may range from Ariosto, Leonardo da Vinci, Michael Angelo,

Titian, Raffaelle, to Columbus and America Vesbucci. · Italian greatness, on every line except that of military skill, is incontestable. It was hereafter to equal in the Catholic Reformation the mighty works which it did under the impulse of revived antiquity. Nothing to compare with Italian art has been achieved since Michael Angelo's decline. No modern cities—we will doubtfully except Paris-have made on the world such a deep impression of beauty, life, and power as Venice. Florence. Rome. The Renaissance triumphed in these marble palaces and squares, on the shores of Tiber and Arno, amid the gleaming lagoons, as never since or before. But it was a time of moral anarchy, which Ægidius of Viterbo sums up in the strong words, "Aurum, vis. Venus imperitabat." Violent desire, violent achievement mark that age.

Alonzo Borgia, who became Calixtus III., was born in 1878, the year of the Schism. A Catalan by descent, he sided with Benedict XIII., but afterwards acknowledged Pope Martin. His services to the King of Aragon in governing Naples gave him dignity, and with his election Spanish vigour but Spanish truculence also ruled the Sacred

lege. He created in 1456 two of his shews Cardinals, giving them his family ame Rodrigo, afterwards Alexander VI. orn 1481), a youth of twenty-five: and uis Juan, still younger. He made Pedro uis, who was not in orders, Captain-General f the Church, Governor of St. Peter's atrimony, the district adjoining Rome, and refect of the City. Rodrigo was appointed egate (that is, Papal resident) in Ancona. nd Bologna; he then appeared as Vicehancellor, second in authority to the Pope; nd during the next forty-seven years he is a ding man in the Curia and above it. lixtus claimed the kingdom of Naples. hiefly that he might bestow on Pedro Luis he principalities of Terracina and Benevenum. History calls this method of government nepotism." It enabled the pontiff at once o exalt his own family, to keep a hold on he temporal power which was always slipping way into the hands of local tyrants, to esist the great Roman houses, and to feel at nome in the Vatican. Its disadvantages re equally apparent; it lowered the Papal restige; it gave rise to infinite abuses; it was the origin of many wars and of continual loth and counterplots; nor can it be said of

the two most conspicuous groups of Cardinals and lay-rulers whom it produced in the hey-day of the Renaissance, that they were anything else than a calamity to the Church and to Christendom.

These were the Catalan house of Borgia, and the Genoese house of Riario-Rovere. A third line of nepotism starts with Giovanni dei Medici, son of Lorenzo the Magnificent, who was Cardinal at fourteen (March, 1489), and who became Leo X., to be succeeded by his cousin Giulfo, the unhappy Clement VII. Thus Naples, which was dependent on Spain, Genoa which commonly yielded to French influence, and Florence identified with the Medici, exercised in turn the immense political, financial, and spiritual powers, now that all hopes of reform had died away, of a secularized Popedom. Efforts were made to break up this concentrated sovereignty, sometimes by the Colonna, again by the Orsini, representing old feudal brigandages; or yet again by Cardinals like Ascanio Sforza, who was Milan's ambassador in the Sacred College. But they were all baffled and came to naught.

The striking group, Riario-Rovere, sprang from a humble folk at Savona. Its foundes,

axtus IV. (1471-1484), had been General of he Franciscans. He was learned in mediaval ashion, devout, and personally blameless. But his sudden elevation to the Papacy mpaired his judgment, while the favours which he lavished on his nephews amazed even corrupt world. The riches, honours, vices, and pleasures of Pedro Riario, "a mendicant friar made Chœsus," Cardinal at twenty-five, consumed by his intemperance at twentyeight (December, 1471-March, 1474), take the reader back to Sejarus and cast over Sixtus IV. the shadow of Tiberius. Another nephew. Girolamo, tyrannized Rome in the Pope's name, trampled down the Colonna, married the virago of Milan, Caterina Sforza, got from Sixtus Imola and Forli, and was murdered as a "second Nero" by his own guard (April 14, 1488), who flung his naked corpse out of the palace window.

But the great man of whom Sixtus might well be proud was Julian della Rovere, also a friar, member of the Sacred College at twenty-eight (1471), and declared Pope Julius H. in 1508. Created archbishop of Ayignon and Bologna, bishop of Lausanne, Contance, and other widely-scattered sees, abbot of Nonantola and Grotta Ferrata.

this young man, for whose sake the Canon Law and the claims of the electors were se shamelessly flung aside, was not without som sparks of nobility. He stands high above all the Popes that have reigned since the Middle Ages, and by his determined action, in which nepotism had no place, the Papal States were at length permanently established. Sixtus. who rode roughshod over Italian scheme: and policies, was, in Machiavelli's opinion "the first Pope who began to show the extent of the Papal power." He left Bohemia and Hungary to themselves. He did nothing to stem the Ottoman advance. In the splendours architectural and spectacular, of this son o St. Francis we feel that a Nemesis lurks and that the "Eternal Gospel" will take it revenge

To what extent Sixtus may be held responsible for the treachery and sacrilege combined which make up the conspiracy called "of the Pazzi," is a question that has been vehemently debated. On April 26, 1478 Giuliano dei Medici was brutally slain, and Leonardo wounded, during High Mass in the Duomo at Florence. A plot to overthrow their government had been discussed before the Pope, who considered Lorenso his enemy,

ad was approved by him; but he said. "I o not wish the death of any man on my ccount." Sixtus cannot have known the letails of the assassination beforehand, or hat it would take place in Sta. Maria del Fiore, since all this was arranged suddenly. and after another plan had been given up. 'It is, however, deeply to be regretted," says Pastor, "that a Pope should play any part n the history of a conspiracy." His friends not only failed to oust the Medici from Florence: they saffered instantly for their evil deeds: and Salviati, archbishop of isa, who went to seize the Palazzo Pubblico, was himself seized and hanged from one of its windows. These atrocious scenes, characteristic of Italian politics, were but an instance of that which in every city throughout the Peninsula might be witnessed when parties were engaged in conflict. We shall not in our pages do more than allude to them : but they were constantly enacted and must not be forgotten.

Passing over the insignificant years of Innocent VIII. (1484-1492), who was merely intent on aggrandizing his children's estate, we come to the election, bought with money and promises, of Rodrigo Borgia, who took

as he said the name of the "invincib Alexander" (August 10, 1492). Singular handsome and dignified in person, frank i cynicism, astute, indefatigable, good-nature and unscrupulous, Alexander was haile like a demigod at his coming in. Of him an of Julius II. one has said excellently that the were Emperors rather than Popes. Th Borgia left his name hanging like a thunde cloud over the Vatican. He has a leger so black that to relieve it of a single sta may be deemed apologizing for iniquit Yet no pontiff could have dared such crin or earned such an infamous reputation he the Rome, the Italy of his day, not condone or even admired his "magnificence in sin

Alexander was no hypocrite. Beautif and strong, with fierce primitive instincts, I answered to some old pagan ideal, cherishe by the Southern imagination. That he ha not the virtue of a priest and did not troub himself concerning the Church's welfare; the he was an open profligate who turned the sacred palace into a Pompeian house pleasure; that he made his bastard so a Cardinal, and entrusted the government the Vatican to his bastard daughter, Lucrezia that murder seemed to dog his footstep

POMP AND DECAY 87

that the fourest wickedness was thought hat has not read these things? We may ake Lord Actor's estimate, which would be air, even though domestic sacrifeges and ragedies had been wanting in the chronicle. 'Alexander," he says, "fills a great space in istory, because he so blended his spiritual and temporal authority as to apply the resources of the one to the purposes of the other." He was an Italian sovereign who made the hurch a means to accomplish political, nay personal. ends.

This indefinite, unconquerable power it was which, as the Borgias applied it, roused Machiavelli's admiration, not without sense of terror. His model "Prince." consummate in strategy, striking hard and siming high, pure intellect unfettered by a ense of crime, was Cæsar Borgia. Cæsar (1475-1507), Roman Cardinal, French duke, captain of cut-throats, putter down of tyrants, ran in his short life through so many vicissitudes, grim and gay, between the altar, the camp, the throne, and the prison, that it is not easy to believe he was only in his thirtysecond year when he fell fighting at the siege of Navarrese Viana. So perfect an exemplar

of Renaissance beauty, craft, and violence did this splendid youth appear to be that the Malatesta, Baglioni, Medici paled beside him. Cæsar Borgia subdued Alexander VI. himself, as though he were a sardonic Mephisto scorning the too-facile emotions of Faust. In that world where Law and Gospel served but as a two-edged sword of earthly dominion, these men prospered. It was their hour, and the power of darkness.

A regular drama now begins, falling into three acts, which we might name Charles VIII., Savonarola, Cæsar Borgia. against them lies the vast New World, touched as in a dream by Columbus (October 12, 1492), which Alexander in three several documents assigned to Spain, subject to the rights of and other Christian communities, and provided that Portugal's monopoly of the African coast was not infringed. The Borgia Pope thus won for himself a place, where he is still to be seen giving his award, on the great gates of the Capitol at Washington. He was acting as Catholic tradition warranted. But Italy, too, had become a New World, abounding in treasures of civilization, tempting the less favoured peoples, or at least their sovereigns, to make of it a prey. France, consolidated

ander Louis XI, had now gained Brittany by the somewhat shameful marriage of its Duchess Anne to Charles VIII. Charles, an ugly dwarf, but attractive, and by temperament a crusader, had claims through the house of Anjou on Naples, on the Holy Land. He was invited across the Alps by Ludovico il Moro, Duke of Milan, and reached Asti September 9, 1494. His advent, as a saviour and a scourge, had been foretold by Savonarola, whose mighty words were shaking Florence and Italy.

Girolamo Savonarola (1452-1498) was a Dominican, last of the great mediæval friars, prophet and martyr of the Catholic Reformation, which he did not live to see. Coming to Florence in 1481, is rudeness of speech (he was a native of Ferrara, not a Tuscan) gained him scanty audience. At San Gemignano he beheld the vision of the sword over Italy: the Church was to be chastened and renewed. His sermons at Brescia, strongly marked by symbolism, were echoed far and wide: when he came back to Florence in 1489 his lectures on the Apocalypse threw men into ecstasy, and he carried the people with him. The friar was not an obscurantist; but he mourned over the ruin of the Church

he detested the wickedness of prelates and Cardinals; he spoke vehemently in condemnation of the cancerous vices with which Humanism dealt so lightly; and he foresave that a catastrophe was inevitable. Lorenzo dei Medici treated this new preacher with kindness; but Savonarola would not take his side. After Lorenzo's death, when the foolish Piero misgoverned Florence, the prophet announced coming woes in accents that struck terror; and on September 21 his text was "Behold, I bring a flood of waters upon the earth." It proclaimed that the French were in Italy.

The Florentines sent ambassadors to Charles, among them Savonarola. November saw the Medici driven cut and the French king received in state by a free people Savonarola pressed upon Charles the duty of going to Rome and reforming the Church Alexander, threatened with a General Council admitted the King, who was overmatche in policy and yielded to him the obed ence of France. Charles' regiments cor quered Naples; Italy fell prostrate befor him; then at Fornovo (July 5, 1495) I lost all that he gained. The French passe away like a vision of the night. Still Florence

nich was now sall one with Savonarola, ing to the Gallic alliance. On the other le Alexander formed the Italian League. e despised the sermons, though pointed at mself, of the "chattering friar," but he was solute in capturing the city on the Arno r his projects. He called Fra Girolamo to ome, and, on his disobedience, found stensible motives to silence, excommunicate. nd degrade the prophet, whom Florence ow rejected as violently as she had followed Trial, torture, execution upon a high ibbet too much resembling a cross-such were he rewards of Savonarola for preaching rightusness under Alexander VI. (May 28, 1498).

Two acts of the play were played out; the. ope had triumphed over king and friar. 'urning as with a flash, Alexander took up he French alliance in 1499, to defeat which 1498 he had burnt Fra Girolamo. Lis ldest son, the Duke of Gandia, had been nurdered and flung into the Tiber: accordngly Cæsar Borgia threw off the Cardinal's obes and became a layman that he might ound a dynasty in Romagna to which the anal succession could be attached. Long go the house of Theophylact had annexed to tselfthe Holy See for more than eighty years

Why should not the house of Borgia do as much? Casar went on embassy to Louis XII; at Chinon; he married Charlotte of Navarre, being now Duke of Valentinois; and when Louis entered Milan as a conqueror (October 6, 1499), the Pope's captain-general set about reducing the tyrant lords of Romagna with a nondescript army of hired ruffians, French, Spaniards, and Italians.

Cæsar captured Faenza, menaced Florence, and was bought off with a large ransom, while Alexander blessed the partition of Naples between France and Spain, humbled the Colonna. and had his daughter Lucrezia married to Alfonso d'Este. On the last day of December 1502. Cæsar had all his worst enemies in hand at Sinigaglia. Having taken them by a transeendent act of treachery, whom he would he slew; and the Pope, not to be more scrupulous. smote the rest of the Orsini, and left their Cardinal to die in Sant' Angelo. trembled and admired. There seemed no reason why Cæsar should not make himseli king of Italy. The French lost Naples again in May, 1508 In August Rome was visited with malarial fever. Alexander and Casar both sickened of it. On August 18 the Pope died, and with him every hope of

Borgis dynasty expired. On All Saints sy, November 1, 1508, his life-long enemy. ulian della Rovere, was elected to St. Peter's chair by an unanimous vote. Julius II. comcelled Casar to vield up all his conquests and eastles. The once invincible chief took service inder his father-in-law, the King of Navarre. and though he died bravely, came to an inglori-His epic or epita phowe may consider was written by Machiavelli in the "Prince," which raises political science "beyond good nd evil," to a height of wisdom or infamy.

Julius II. had spent his storm-tost days hiefly in the service of France, to whose artial enterprising genius he felt allied. We hight describe him shortly as the Antipope • Avignon (where his escutcheons and nonuments remain) while Alexander VL nathematized him at Rome. He made an ndifferent friar, a disedifying bishop, and a reat Pope. His unvarnished tongue, rough enoese vigour, contempt for literary grimaces. nd large designs, reveal the soldier-pontiff. whom Italy should have taken for its king. le was neither honest nor virtuous: but he new how to rule better than his brutal cousin. irolamo Riario; and unlike Alexander Line had, no family ambition. While

trafficking in sacred things, and purchasir histown election by lavish engagements, 1 put forth a Rull which condemned simon with effective though tardy consequence But his eminent fame is due to actions of mixed baseness and grandeur. Julius I. had noble aspirations. He meant the Hol See to enjoy freedom and Italy to see th Barbarians turn their backs. One power alone hindered this consummation-stealthy politic, grasping Venice, which, in the tremulou equilibrium of five States and a score of princi palities, pursued its fatal idea of acquiring Terra Firma from the Alps to the Apennines Venice never gave up its attempts on Ravenna Rimini, and the old "Pentapolis," which has been given to the Apostolic See by Pepin a long ago as 756. We must sadly own tha the Republic of St. Mark, by its foolish and unidst measures to keep that which did no belong to it, ruined Italian freedom.

Julius II. was not a man to be trifled with He formed the League of Cambray in 1508 after recovering Bologna from the Bentivogli It aimed at nothing less than the partition of Venetian territories among the French, German, Spanish, and other allies, including the Pope. At Vaila the Republic suffered a crushing

efeat (May 14, 1509) which is reckoned the eginning of its decline. Julius humbled the enetians to the dust; he set up once more the States of the Church in Central Italy. Then he turned on his confederate Louis XII. He captured Mirandola, himself acting as general, failed at Ferrara, and might seem o be overwhelmed when young Gaston de Foix won the bloody battle of Ravenna, Easter Sunday, April 11, 1512. But Gaston was killed in the moment of victory; and ulius outmanosuvred the French schismatics with his Lateran Council, got Bologna the econd time, restored the Medici at Florence ith Spanish help, not without frightful scenes t Prato, and died, February 20, 1518, the trongest Pope that was to be for centuries. He had driven out the French. They would eturn more than once, to be finally defeated by Spain, which was now rising to Imperial dominion on both sides of the Atlantic.

Strange things were coming to pass. The tephew of Sixtus IV., whose endeavours to pust the Medici from Florence had involved him in conspiracy, and left to his apologists to tolerable burden, was now their restorer. It was throne would be occupied for pliningh twenty years by Leo X., the son of prenzo, and Clement VII., son, but not

legitimate, of the murdered Giuliano. Unde the mild and seductive Leo (1518-1520) Rome enjoyed all that the Renaissance could give it became "the revel of the earth, the masque of Italyd'; but a Pope who desired to be called " deliciæ generis humani,"—a Christian Emperor Titus-was not made for success in politics or war. Leo treated with all the powers; practised Medicean arts of diplomacy to the utmost; but unluckily took sides agains France when its new young king, Francis I., was on the eve of gaining the battle at Marignano where the Swiss infantry lost its invincible character (September 14, 1515) He had no choice but to submit. The final result was a victory won by the French crown over the Gallicans Church.

In 1516 a decree was passed by the Laterar Council, which did away with certain exemptions and prerogatives hitherto claimed for the King of France, and known as the Pragmatic Sanction. But a Concordat was entered into by the high contracting parties, the Crown and the Curia, which allowed the king most extensive liberties in dealing with ecclesiastical affairs; and he might henceforth nominate to all the bishopries and abbeys in his realm.

hore was to be heard under Louis XIV.; ut these consequences would hot have prevented Leo from signing it

On March 16, 1517, the Fifth Lateran Council was dissolved. It had not been able to reform abuses, redress grievances, or unite the warring nations of Christendom against Islam. That same year, on All Hallows Eve. an Augustinian friar named Martin Luther fastened on the door of the Castle Church at Wittenberg in Saxony ninety-five theses, or propositions, on the subject of indulgences. The Reformation, which was specially designed o attack the traditional beliefs touching the Communion of Saints, reckons this as its birthday. German grievances would avenge themselves on Rome by laying waste the German Church. It was time that Leo X quitted the stage where he had been acting a somewhat frivolous part. He died of joy and fever at his country house of Magliana. on hearing that the French were driven from Milan (December 1, 1521). Six years later Rome fell into the hands of a Spanish and Lutheran host, which ended the triumphant days of Humanism. We must now draw darer to that heart-shaking event, and terfite how it came to pass

CHAPTER III

FROM THE SACK OF ROME TO THE BEGINNINGS
OF THE THIRTY YEARS' WAR (1527-1618.
ST. IGNATIUS OF LOYOLA'S" "SPIRITUAL
EXERCISES")

St. Peter's at Rome, so men believed during the Middle Ages, was founded by the first Christian Emperor, Constantine, and consecrated by St. Silvester on November 18, 326. The Popes dwelt in their Lateran house beside St. John's, which was their Cathedral; but St. Peter's lifted its majestic height over the tomb of the Prince of the Apostles. Spared by Alaric, Genseric, Totila, it ran some risk of destruction from the Lombards. who, under Luitprand, took away its sacred lamps in 788. Their sacrilegious attempt brought down Pepin and his Franks upon them, with such consequences as we have briefly told. In 800 Charlemagne's coronation began a long and most romantic series of these imperial rites, constantly dabbles in

TO THE THIRTY YEARS' WAR

blood. Saraceis from Kairouan plundered he Basilica in 846, which necessitated the erection of walls about it by Leo IV., and gave rise to the Leonine City. In St. Peter's Charles the Bald was crowned Emperor by John VIII. (875). When Otho I. "translated the Roman Empire to the Eastern Franks " (962), he knelt inside the great doors and did homage to the fisherman of Galilee. There in 996 Otho III, received consecration from his youthful cousin, the saintly Gregory V. There was Henry VI., last of the Franconians, crowned by his prisoner and victim Paschal II. There, again, did Frederick Barbarossa in 1155 seize the Roman diadem, while his lanzknechts outside massacred a thousand of the Roman people. There his grandson, Frederick II., was recognized as lord of the world by Honorius III. Another and a weaker prince of that name, but a Habbburg not a Hohenstauffen, Frederick III., ends the shining procession rather ignominiously, under Nicholas V., in 1452. Since that year no Emperor has been crowned in Rome or Constantinople. Sancta Sophia was degraded into a mosque; St. Peter's, which had flen into decay, while the Great Schism on, was slightly restored by the care of

180 PAPACY AND MODERN STAGE

Nicholas, but awaited demolition from the rude hands of Julius II.

Julius, designing himself a tomb (such is the vanity of mortals) gave the commission for it to Michael Angelo. The Florentine exceeded all former Papal monuments in his vast and beautiful drawings: but where was room to be found? His patron resolved • to destroy the Basilica which over thirty generations of Catholics had visited, and he called in Bramante to do it—an architectural genius but enemy of all that was not classic in style. Bramante's conception of a Greek cross and lofty domes to replace the old St. Peter's has been praised by every succeeding judgment: so much of it as was carried out entitles the kter Church to our warm admiration. But there was no need to shatter and tear down the venerable fabricas Julius II. tore it down in one single year, 1505. He little saw how wide a gulf he was opening between the united Christendom of past ages and the centuries to come

The new St. Peter's became a field of battle, a sign that was at once spoken against. Without gifts from the whole West it could never fulfil the Pope's colossal ambition. Those gifts were sought by the system of Indulgencesting.

TOTTLE THENPY YEARS' WAR TO

elaborately adapted to bring in revenues of war and peace, which the Roman Chancery could employ as it listed. Theologians, like Cardinal Cajetan, were carefully explaining on what principles such donations might be asked and given. Their theory was unimpeachable; but the nations north of the Alps, and at their head Germany, murmured against a method of taxation which was liable to every sort of abuse, which maintained in the Holy Place men so dissolute as the Renaissance had fostered-boy-cardinals, nonresident bishops, secularized popes. Questions of morals, finance, religion, national differences, were brought to a definite and dangerous burning-point by the Indulgences given to build St. Peter's. " When Indulgences were extended, multiplied, and converted into money transactions," says Pastor, "it was obvious, taking into account the covetonsness of the age, that the greatest abuses should prevail."

But these were symptoms rather than causes of a change long foreseen by the wise, to which the Conciliar movement, the cry for reformation in head and members, the "hundred grisvances of the German nation," the Halite Avolts, the French Pragmatic

Sanction, the English Acts of Parliament against Papal "provisions," and pecuniary demands, had pointed the way. On viewing the whole field where squadrons now began to form, we perceive that the object of attack was Italian supremacy. If doctrine was called in question, yet the first line of assault did not throw itself against dogma but against Canon Law. "By putting forward a decree of Clement VI.." says Lord Acton of Cajetan, "the drove Luther to declare that no Papal decree was a sufficient security for him." The campaign moved from abuse of such decrees to the authority of Popes, of Councils, of the whole hierarchical system. In 1517 Luther did not deny that Indulgences might be good in themselves; before three rears had elapsed he burnt Leo X.'s Bull condemning him, and in 1525 his marriage declared monasticism to be unchristian, while his impetuous disciples had been foremost in taking away the Mass. Instead of Church tradition, Luther substituted "the Bible and the Bible only"; this gave him the principle of dogma. For grace conferred by the sacraments which a priest administered, he lighted upon the hitherto disregarded idea of imputation by faith apprehending its Redeemer; this

TO THE THIRTY YEARS' WAR 108

made ordinances superfluous or mere signs, and the priesthood fell into a subordinate rank, while the preacher dictated laws from his pulpit.

By 1520 Luther's position was alear. It reversed Catholicism when it recognized that the individual Christian, united with his fellows, made the Church, and not the Church the Christian. Luther did not trouble about history; he knew nothing of art; his Latin studies had left him quite untouched by the liberal spirit which distinguished men of the Renaissance type. He was a Roman neither by taste nor temperament. We may find his incestors in the "De Moribus Germanorum" of Tacitus; and that is why he carried the nation with him.

Under what scandalous conditions Leo X. revived the Petrine indulgence, despite his oath to the contrary, and shared its profits with Albert, Archbishop of Mayence, we may learn from historians. In 1517 the German Church was a confederacy of high born prince-prelates, enormously rich, too often dissolute, and at best men of the world who left their spiritual charge to others. There was evidence of much piety in the middle and lower classes; but the clergy were impover-

ished, the religious orders had fallen back after Cardinal Cusa's reform. These evils were aggravated by the weakness of the Empire, sunk under Maximilian to its lowest ebb. At Rome, in a world of art and luxury, political intrigue was always rampant; but no court official studied the German problem or could have gained a glimpse of what the Renaissance on that side of the Alps foreboded. Tetzel, whom Luther's propositions assailed point-blank, was supported by his own order, the Dominicans. Accordingly, one Dominican, Prierias, "Master of the Sacred Palace," replied to Luther; and a second, Cardinal Cajetan, cross-examined him at Augsburg (October, 1518). Cajetan's procedure involved the Holy Cee where Tetzel elone had been compromised. Miltitz, who came next, put the Dominican preacher aside and granted the fact of abuse. John Eck argued against Luther's appeal to a Council. He took the whole case to Rome, and he assisted in drafting the Bull, Domine," by which forty-one Lutheran theses were condemned and their author was excommunicated (June 15, 1520).

By this time, events had come to pass which determined the future of Germany and of

TO THE THIRTY YEARS' WAR 100

prope. In June, 1519, the Flemish or anish prince Charles had been elected nperor, greatly to the disappointment of to: for the Pope judged, and history nfirms his judgment, that Italian independce would perish under Charles V. As uch, if not more important, was the discovery ther made that he could write and speak. German which would kindle his nation mutiny. His tracts in 1520, "To the ristian Nobles," on "The Babylonish ptivity of the Church," and on "The eedome of a Christian Man." have been lled "half-battles"; their language by eer brute force thundered down opposition. ther was the strong man armed, who felt at Germany would delight in his strokes ainst Rome. The Latin elegants who ronged about Leo could never grasp such apons; in fighting this Teuton spirit they re dealing with the unknown.

Charles V. had his personal views; to him e Lutheran trouble was a politician's reurce; he would use it in restraint of the tria. Hence the Diet of Worms, the defence emitted to an open heresiarch, and his safe irrement. Charles was ever arthodox; but ruler could be more absolute. He outlawed

Luther; he would never have given him up to a Roman Inquisition. During Luther's stay at the Wartburg he translated the New Testament. This was not for lack of German Bibles; there is abundant proof that Scripture was well known, preached and commented on long before Wittenberg saw the friar among its professors. He meant his New Testament to serve as an appeal and a standard. It became the type of High German literature; it was a fival to the Vulgate and hung out as the national flag of defiance.

While Luther lay in hiding, Leo X. died By an extraordinary turn the cardinals chose a Fleming to be Pope, as the German Electors had made one an Emperor. Adrian VI., Regent of Spain, was a noble but not attractive person, who tried by individual effort to reform. Rome, and who acknowledged to the Diet of Nuremberg that these frightful evils had their origin at the Papal Court. understood so little of the inward meaning of Luther as to remark that no novice in theology would have fallen into his errors. The expression has a double edge. Granting Catholic principles and Catholic logic, Adrian was fully justified. But Erasmus might have replied, "Holy Father, Lutheranism is

TO THE PHIRTY YEARS' WAR 107

not a heresy: it is a religious revolution." or, as Lord Acton says, "There was no question at issue which had not been pronounced by him (Luther) insufficient for separation, or which was not abandoned afterwards, or modified in a Catholic sense by Melanchthon. That happened to every leading doctrine at Augsburg, at Ratisbon, or at Leipzig." The Pope by himself could not work a reformation: but Adrian has the glory of tracing its design. When he died one thing was manifest, that the dreaded council would have to be convoked. Another, till more astonishing, was hidden from men's eyes, that where the Regent of Spain failed. though seated in the Papal Chair, a saint from the old Catholic land of Biscay would succeed. Adrian, a little before he laid his burden down, had given to Ignatius of Lovola in Rome the pilgrim's licence to set out for Palestine. Ignatius entered Jerusalem on September 4, 1528. Ten days afterwards the last non-Italian Pope expired : reform was delayed until the founder of the Company of Jesus could take it in hand. At this time Luther was forty years old; Loyola was thirty-two. But Itheir attitude towards one another is that of action and reaction: these eight years divide two generations.

Julius dei Medici now, by deliberate effort, made himself Pope, after a conclave which lasted fifty days. Cold, hesitating, timid, all Clement VII. desired was to continue the policy of the Borgia, but so that his own family should profit by it. He held Rome and dominated Florence. The Colonna were his deadly enemies, the Orsini his kinsfolk. He leagued himself with France for the sake of Milan in December, 1524. And on February 24, 1525. Francis I. lost the Battle of Pavia, lost his freedom, and fell into the hands of Charles V. In the negotiations that followed, Emperor, Pope and King were deceivers and deceived. Charles imposed on his captive at Madrid impossible conditions, making probably the chief political blunder of his life. Clement is reported to have said that it was an excellent Treaty if Francis did not observe it. And the French King gained his liberty at the expense of his honour. Whether the Pope released him from his oath is uncertain: that he never meant to keep it every one but Charles V. took for granted. Clement, however, was so ill-advised by Giberti as to conclude against the Emperor an alliance with Francis once more He offered Charles's general.

TO THE THIRTY YEARS' WAR 100

Pescara, the grown of Naples as a bribe or desertion. Outrageous despatches on both sides brought matters to a crisis, and on June 28, 1526, Clement plunged into the last war undertaken by a Pope on behalf of Italian independence.

It is hard to condemn and difficult to excuse a policy as unfortunate as it was tortuous. The Pope did not see that he was tying the Emperor's hands, thereby assisting Luther and the Protestant revolt. Charles, deeply exasperated, and as it were struck with madness, himself became the author of a series of events which have left on his memory an indelible stain. To his envoy. Moncada, he suggested that the Colonia. headed by their unspeakable Cardinal Pompeo. should assail Clement in Rome. To the Lutherans he sent a message that they were wanted against the Turk, and they would know what Turk he meant. On September 19, 1526, his first charge was executed. Spaniards and Colonnesi rode in through the Lateran Gate. Next morning Clement fled into St. Angelo; the Vatican was plundered, St. Peter's horribly desecrated, and the Pope's life threatened. Under compulsion he pardesend the Colonna, but in November out-

lawed them and seized their strong places A doubtful truce carried him on to February. 1527, when the Lutheran free captain, Frundsberg, joined forces with Bourbon, a French traitor, and their undisciplined army began its expedition towards Rome. Frundsberg died at Ferrara in March. The Pope offered an armistice, sent a ransom, but could not hinder these miscreants, after they had found Florence on its guard, from pushing on to the Eternal City. They reached Isola Farnese on May 4, 1527. Clement had taken courage again, and would not treat with Bourbon, May 6 arrived, a misty morning, and the General ordered the assault. He was himself killed immediately; the Prince of Orange (a name destined to be ominous in the wars of religion to Catholics) took the command. Again Clement crept into St. Angelo by subterranean ways: and before two in the afternoon Rome was captured.

Thus a Medici Pope and a Catholic Emperor delivered the Capital of Christendom into Lutheran hands, six years after Charles had put Luther to the ban. For eight days the sack of Rome continued. Murder, lust, sacrilege, avarice, held high festival; and Spaniards outdid Germans in riot and pillage.

화물으로 보고 하셨습니다. 하스 그 보다.

TO THE THIRTY YEARS' WAR 111

The people fled; cardinals and clergy were ortured to disclose their treasures: the beautiful things which had been created by the Renaissance underwent violent handling or were destroyed. Nine months passed before the lawless soldiery quitted their prey. Florence expelled the Medici; Clement was a prisoner. . He escaped on December 6, 1527, to Orvieto, despoiled of all his possessions, and with him the joyous days of a paganized humanism fled from Rome. By the Treaty of Cambray Francis I. yielded to the Spaniard his claims on Italy (August 8, 1529). The Pope forgave Charles, and crowned him at Bologna, February 24, 1580, anniversary of the Battle of Pavia and the Emperor's birthday, Florence, which had gallantly struggled for freedom, with Michael Angelo among its defenders, capitulated on August 12 of the same year. Italy, was now to become a geographical expression. Venice cowered behind its lagoons. The Reformers strode on to the League of Schmalkald, where princes led and preachers followed. Clement was willing to call a Council, to make unheard-of concessions, or so he professed. Charles in 1582 granted large toleration to Protestants at Nuremberg.

When this ill-starred pontiff died, September 25, 1584, England, Denmark, Sweden, part of Switzerland, one half of Germany, were in revolt. To the interests of his family, to the possession of Florence or Milan, he had sacrificed the Church.

England was lost by Clement; but the honour of religion was tardily saved. After · Lollardy sank into discredit, no heresies troubled the nation. Henry VIII., as every coin of the realm bears witness, wrote against Luther, and in return was named Defender of the Faith by Pope Leo. Wolsey made himself Papal Vicar when Clement lay captive in St. Angelo. Then the King's "case of conscience" and "great matter" was put before him at Orvieto. He seemed willing to go to any length in concession, if we may believe the English envoys. But the Holy See must be judged by its formal acts, and during six years the Pope fenced, but did nothing beyond permitting his legates, Wolsey and Compeggio, to open their court in England. Queen Katharine appealed to Rome. Henry got his divorce from Cranmer in May, 1588, after marrying Anne Boleyn in January. Cranmer's action signified that the King. and not the Pope, had supreme spiritual

prisdiction, or is men said in medieval anguage, "the whole power of the keys." Convocation had been coerced into declaring him head of the Church. Parliament by various measures gave him fresh prerogatives consequent on his new title. Rome mustmove at last. The tribunal of the Rota declared Henry's marriage with Katharine valid; and Clement VII., in secret consistory (March 24, 1584), confirmed that finding. He was answered by the Act of Royal Supremacy with its "terrible powers," in November; and the connection of England with Papal ome, which went back nine hundred years and more, was severed at a stroke. But Clement had passed away before the axe fell.

SECTION II

THE CATHOLIC REVIVAL (1584-1616)

that year, 1584, is commonly and nightly eckoned a turning-point in the history of he Vatican. Paul III., elected October 18 year almost unanimous vote, marks in his own person the change from an unreformed appear to another and a higher type. As artisis Farnese, it was believed that he

owed his elevation under Alexander VI to his sister Giulia's dishonour. He had children born out of wedlock, one of whon when he was Pope, he made a prince at Parm and Piacenza miserable little towns, of which the names have ever proved disastrous to the Holy See. For his son's advantage he thwarted Charles V., now resolved on exter minating Protestants by iron as well as by But Paul III. likewise opened the Sacred College to reformers on the Catholi side—to Reginald Pole, Sadoleto, Contarini and to Erasmus, who declined the purple and died at Basle in 1586. A new company was entering on the scene. By the momentous Bull, "Regimini Militantis Ecclesiæ," 1540, the company of Jesus had its approval from Paul III., who exclaimed after reading a draft of its constitution, "The Finger of God is here." In 1542 the Universal Inquisi tion was set up in Rome, under the Pope's immediate presidency. His reforming cardirels were urging him to comply with the Emperor's insistent demands by convoking a General Council. After various attempts and not very willingly, at last he appointed its meeting at Trent, in the Tirol, for March 1848

THE CATROLIC REVIVAL 115

So, on these different lines, the influence Spain was shaping war and controversy and rislation into a crusade against Protestants, herever found. It is obvious that the otives which stirred Englishmen and Teutons cast off their allegiance to Rome, did not r the most part exist south of the Alps and e Pyrenees. Moreover, as writers observe ho are by no means friendly to Catholicism, a reform of the Spanish clergy, secular and gular, had taken place before Luther arose." hanks to such earnest rulers as the Cardinals endoza and Ximenes, to saints like Thomas Yillanova, and to the action of bishops and ods, the moral condition of ecclesiastics general "was immeasurably superior to at of the clergy in any other part of Western bristendom.", Learning, too, had revived. he University of Alcala was founded by imenes, and has given its name to the great mplutensian Polyglot, which he published meits presses. Spaniards now held the real empire that had ever been known ley were masters of Germany and the therlands, of Italy north and south, of a st and growing dominion in America. e resources of Pope and Emperor combined re immensely superior to those which could

be mustered by small German princes and the multiplying sects of the Reformed England was pursuing its own eccentric course under Henry VIII., who never became a Lutheran. France had been defeated again and again by Charles V. But this new crusade was calling for a leader and a plan of campaign. Both were now furnished in the person of Ignatius of Loyola, and by means of the company of Jesus which he created.

One man had found the secret of combating evil within and without the Catholic Communion. It is written in the "Spiritual Exercises," of which a marvellous meditation on the "Two Standards,"—the standard of Christ and the standard of Satan—forms, as it were, the strategical centre. The effect was speedily apparent

"In a single generation," says Macaulay, "the whole spirit of the Church of Rome underwent a change." But that change was a reversion to Catholic principles, overland though not extinguished by the secular ambition of prelates, and the pagan luxury to which they yielded themselves. Ignatius could, therefore, as Lord Acton observes, undertake to reform the Church by the Papacy. Luther was for destroying the

THE CATHOLIC REVIVAL . 117

apacy. Loyola built his plans on the very dmission of all that it claimed. He combelled the Pope, we may say, to realize his own ideals; and Ignatius was canonized, whereas Savonarola had been burnt. His genius moved by the logic of an absolute sincerity. Given the Catholic faith, reason might apply it freely to every subject; but to save the Faith was the first step.

"The history of the order of Jesus is the history of the great Catholic reaction.' Loyola, to give him his conventional name, created the associations of romance, self-sacrifice, discipline, learning, and infinite courage, that set a man against a man-himself becoming the protagonist of Luther-until then unaccountably wanting in Catholicism under the Renaissance. Yet the world had been impressed already by the stupendous greatness of Michael Angelo; by the imperturbable heroism, smiling on death, of Sir Thomas More; it was Rome that appalled and saddened the faithful. Now Rome had its heroes, its resident saints. Contarini was an apparition of light; Pole, gracious and gentle St. John, opposing his meekness to Henry VIII.'s tyranny the stern Caraffa showed, at least, a fanaticism

which must be admired. And it was no small thing that even the shifty, worldly minded Clement VII. had let the Kingdom of England go, rather than violate the sanctity of the marriage-contract. This was the more significant that, left to himself, the Medici would have bartered all laws, divine and human, for revenge on Charles V. whose kinswoman he was protecting in Christ's Name.

New ergans of combat and acquisition, in a life and death struggle, were needed unless Italy, invaded by German heresies after German legions, and France, which had lately produced Calvin, were to be wrested from the Popedom, seemingly on the edge of dissolution. The old Orders had been cast into the fire of adversity, and came out a heap of ashes. Calumny has fastened on them charges not proven or much exaggerated. It is undeniable, however, that the leading men of the Reformation were many of them best in the cloister; that riches and ease had relaxed the fibres of discipline; that neither Cusa, nor Capistrano, nor Traversari, nor Pius II., nor Ægidius Viterbo in the Lateran Council, did more than touch the fringe of inveterate abuses. The commission

THE CATHOLIC REVIVAL 210

ppointed by Paul III. went so far as to ecommend that existing Orders and Communities should take no fresh novices: an entirely new generation must begin the better time. The Cardinal of Lucca, Guidiccioni, would reduce them to four. and these of strict observance. In 1528. the Capuchins had restored the early Franciscan model: but when Ochino, their superior, fell away to Protestantism, they ran no slight, risk of suppression. Other less important attempts were made by the Barnabites and Theatines. It was Caraffa. the Neapolitan, of this last foundation. who noted Ignatius with his companions. at Venice and bade him go to Rome, where the Crusade against the new Mohammedans called him.

Ignatius obeyed, and, in spite of opposition, persuaded Contarini, Guidiccioni, and Paul III. himself, that the Company of Jesus ought to be allowed to exist. The name gave offence. The freedom from monastic usages provoked remonstrance. Ignatius, a soldier who had undergone conversion from worldly aims to follow his Captain Christ, had been imprisoned by the Spanish Inquisition; he had composed at Manresa while yet a

layman his "Spiritual Exercises;" he had travelled over Europe, lived as a poor student in Paris, and trained half a dozen men (including Francis Xavier) to be heroes in the Catholic War. He required from his comrades, military obedience. They pledged themselves to go wherever they might be sent by the Holy See. On April 7, 1541 Ignatius was elected general for life. On the same day Xavier set sail from Portuga for the East Indies.

Absolute government and religious freedon are ideas not easy to reconcile. The six teenth century was struggling with both of them—a Rebecca who was to bring forth Jacob and Esau, enemies from their birth. Luther's Christian State, Henry of England's Royal Supremacy, Calvin's "Institutes," the "Spiritual Exercises" of St. Ignatius, the Augsburg Confession, the decrees of Trent. the Thirty-Nine Articles, the Westminster Catechisms, are all framed on the principle of submission to the powers that be. Voluntary association, if at all dreamt of, is instantly set aside. Heresy was treason, and treason was heresy. None (except a small detested minority, afterwards Socialan) complained of rulers because they persecuted.

ssent. The question turned not on freedom, it on truth. Rome, indeed, whose tribumals udged heretics, assimilated baptism to the ath of allegiance and held that Protestants vere rebels. Over the unbeptised Rome lid not pretend to exercise jurisdiction. But Protestant rulers-how were they to chave towards their Catholic subjectsnd their subjects towards them? By anon Law (especially the Fourth Council of ateran, 1215), a Christian prince lapsing into eresy forfeited his sovereign rights. He as excommunicated by the very fact; nd it was the Pope's duty, unless repentance bllowed, to depose him. Paul III. in 1585 drew up, and did his best to publish, his Bull of deposition against Henry VIII., according to mediæval precedent and in the strong language of the Roman Chancery. If execution did not take place, the reason was that Charles V. had other burdens on his shoulders, not that he questioned the Papal prerogatives. For Canon Law was he law of Christendom.

Catholics, it has been said on their behalf, condemned "aggressive" intolerance, while fending by the sword society against specifies, the moral order against immo-

ralists, the faith against apostates. Bu Luther, Melanchthon, Calvin, Knox, approved of rooting out idolatry and error by the "civil magistrate." Melanchthon has recorded his theory in a sentence, "Non enim plectitur fides sed hæresis "-the judge chastises heresy, not faith. For example the Catholic Mass implied false doctrine and was the practice of idolatry, therefore governments must put it down. Melanch thon, again, contended that "obstinate" Anabaptists should be done to death; an Beza would have the same penalty inflicte on Anti-Trinitarians. He was defending the course taken with Servetus, betrayed arrested, condemned, and executed (October 27, 1558), under Calvin's direction. Calvin himself published next year, "A Defence of the Orthodox Faith, showing that heretics ought to be punished by the sword." the early Reformers taught passive obedience to governors, however tyrannical; but the ruler must take his doctrine from the clergy.

Charles V. naturally proceeded to act on this principle, only that he preferred the old clergy to the new. But he still hoped for a reconciliation, and the "Interim" of June 1544, tolerated the confession of Augsburg.

THE OATHOLIC REVIVAL , 128

ntil the Church by its occumenical judgment ould decide the points at issue. The ouncil of Trent opened with a few prelates t the appointed time, too late for an agreenent with men who were hardened against tome by twenty-five years of controversy. n 1547 the Emperor, commanding Italian nd Papal troops, won the great victory of lühlberg over the Lutherans. It decided othing. At Passau, and then at Augsburg 1555, a regular peace was concluded y which these same Lutherans gained deration for themselves, but other sectaries ere left without recognition. No men, owever, was henceforth to suffer death on ecount of his nonconformity; but dissenters night be expelled. This was the principle 'Cujus regio, ejus religio," the creed followed he prince. By another clause, of "ecclesistical reservation," if a Catholic prelate ell away he thereby lost his "spiritual" ominions. In virtue of this exception, erritories extending from Austria to the hine and as far down as Holland were reserved "under the crozier." But to the prehension of Charles V. the Peace of sburg took from the Holy Roman Empire sacred character and its meaning. His

long day was going down in defeat, "H had neither reconciled the Protestants not reformed the Church." Under somewhat affecting circumstances he laid down hidignities one by one, and expired at the convent of St. Juste, September 21, 1558 His son, Philip, inherited the Spanish legace and the Catholic interest, which he uphel or exploited during the next forty years.

Francis I., who died in 1547, fulfilled the saying, " Unstable as water, thou shalt no excel." He wavered from side to side although the French policy was alway now, in effect, anti-Roman. It demande a servile Papacy, of which Avignon afforded the type; a Gallican Church whose "liberties" should be interpreted by the Crown lawvers; and a balance of power to check the Austrian-Spanish pretensions. To drive the wedge of Lombardy between Vienna and Madrid was the object of those repeated Italian campaigns. Had France embraced the Reformation, it might have attained in this reign to a success that did not come until Richelieu had frankly allied himself with German and Swedish Protestants But Luther's intense Germanism, which week away Roman opposition in the Fatherland,

ould not charm the delicate French temperaent : to chivalry, as Francis I. still conceived if it. a Saxon peasant's language and manners were revolting. But neither would the King f France, who had already sent Protestants o the stake, be persuaded by Master John Calvin to break with Rome.

Calvin (1509-1564), a scion of the middle lass. wrote his "Institutes" before he was ix-and-twenty, addressing the Crown on pehalf of loyal yet persecuted "Reformed" thristians. This volume, the "Social Conract" of the century, became to all the hurches that went beyond Luther but did ot advance so far as Socinus, an inspired mment on the Bible. It brought back ie idea, which Lutlier discarded, of a Church ith coercive powers; "new presbyter is ut old priest writ large," said Milton, and story echoes him. Yet there was a differice. The Papal authority, existing alongde of feudalism, and displayed in courtly rms, had lost its earlier popular aspect. he Reformation, though used by kings and bles for their own purposes, was chiefly middle class movement. In all countries look hold of the industrial centres; it rished in the towns. We may say that

it disdained ritual, rejected chivalry, and tended to overthrow government, even while its preachers talked of passive obedience. The Calvinist, above all men, was not passive and was not obedient, except to his clergy who directed all affairs, public and private.

France by her Huguenots, Scotland by he Presbyterians, the Netherlands by their "Gueux," England by her Puritans, gave proof that in the teaching of Calvin there was danger to royalty; at all events, so though anointed persons who had to deal with its uprisings. Luther was a mystic, not a constructive politician. Calvin was a lawgive a Lycurgus at Geneva; his Christian Common wealth did not grant much power to kings in the long run, as Rousseau demonstrated Geneva, the Rome and Sparta of the North reckoned these two men, who were alike in principle absolute, among her citizens. Le us mark the word "citizen" which in politice science was to replace the word "subject. At once Protestant and revolutionary, it tell us why no French king could become Huguenot, and why Henry of Navarre sacrificed his creed to his crown.

When Charles V. abdicated, he made over his hereditary dominions to Philip II., at the

me King of England. Philip's appearance the English statute-book, like Charles's nture of Rome, constitutes an era. The ack of 1527 finished, as no other event could.

Renaissance that dishonoured religion. he fires of Smithfield gave Elizabeth her. overeign power, which no arbitrary conluct of ministers and no conspiracies, at home r abroad, could weaken. Spain and England, varily diplomatizing with each other until he Armada was ready, held the future etween them in a doubtful balance. The manish Empire, extending from Sicily to exice, secure while France was torn by the sises, the Condés, the Colignys, had one Inerable spot-the Netherlands, where, anks to Philip and his lieutenant Alva, form broke out into revolution. The United tates of Holland were baptized in blood. lizabeth also, intent on making Ireland rotestant by confiscation, by laying Muner waste, by hunting the "mere Irish" own to starve and die, entered on the markable experiment which has bound the lland of St. Patrick more closely than ever Rome, and sent forth its exiles as pioneers tholicism in three Continents. These were certain by the end of the

peace at the point of the sword. When we reflect on Alva's later fame in the Low Count tries, on Paul's defenceless position, and on Philip's place history as champion of Papal claims; a more amazing comedy of crosspurposes can hardly be imagined. Paul IV. was a vigorous reformer, yet he gave the sacred purple to nephews who, for manifest crimes, were put to death by his successor. Mary Tudor and Cardinal Pole had brought England back to the Roman Communion. This, surely not clear-eved. Pontiff expended on Mary some of the thunder with which he meant to strike her husband, suspended Pole from the legatine dignity, and thought of proceeding still further when queen and cardinal died. In 1566 the Cardinal of. Alessandria, who had presided over the Inquisition with great energy, was elected, and under the name of St. Pius V., holds a place in the Church's calendar. By this time, religion, diplomacy, war, and tyrannicide were occupying one stage and exchanging part in world-wide confusion. St. Pius V., by the solemn act "Regnans in excelsis," declared Queen Elizabeth fallen from her soyal estate, and bade her subjects give up their allegiant These were measures which had no prosper

success; on the contrary, as Urban VIII. afterwards took note, they bore most heavily on English Catholics, charged with treason. and from that day liable to its atrocious penalties. Bulls of deposition belonged to an irrecoverable past.

In Gregory XIII.'s reign occurred the massacre of St. Bartholomew (1572), devised by the French Court, and still to be seen depicted, though without its historical inscription, on the walls of the Vatican sala regia. We need not stir the embers of that fire. Catherine dei Medici let Queen Elizabeth know that he might do with her Catholic subjects even Charles IX. had done with his Huguenots, cujus regio, ejus religio,"—a truly Medicean hilosophy. The Catholic League, the War the Netherlands, the Spanish Armada, ad religion for a pretext, to some extent for motive. But the Popes were beginning to stablish a balance of European powers astead of the mediæval suzerainty snatched rom their grasp. Sixtus V. (1585-1590), a trong ruler, magnificent in his plans, the counder of a new system of government in the the and of the Rome which lasted in its lines down to 1870, excommunicated enry of Navarre, and joined the League.

But Sixtus bould not overcome Henry. It was the unmistakable feeling of the French nation which compelled the Béarnais to quit his Calvinism: and Clement VIII., who absolved him, desired to make France a counterpoise to the Spanish monarchy. This was the long duel that created alliances and wars until an effective solution was reached in the Treaties of 1648, when the old-world system passed finally away. But thirty years of battle and of German anarchy went before the triumph of France.

CHAPTER IV

FROM THE ESCORIAL TO VERSAILLES (1568-1715. CERVANTES, "DON, QUIXOTE"; BOSSUET, "FUNERAL ORATIONS")

Phillip II, a man of mediocre ability, unpleasing character, and conscientious attendance to duty, ruled his empire from his desk, in the granite palace of the Escorial, by low unscrupulous methods, not without some degree of success. That empire, which he held during all but five years of Elizabeth's reign (in fact from 1556 to 1598) was bound together only by religion; and for a time it seemed that Philip's dominions would be coextensive with the Roman Church. From 1580 he was master of Portugal and all its colonies. He exploited, and his missionaries converted, the American Indies, from which the Silver Fleet brought infinite and fatal wealth to be hoarded in his treasury. Spain was governed on the lines of High Protection the Faith was to be defended, especially against Luther (whose name comprised all

heretics); and the world's bullion was to held as a reserve in Castilian coffers. purge the realm, all non-converted Jev had been expelled in 1492 by Ferdinar and Isabella. The rigorous Inquisition, political no less than ecclesiastical engir of government, kept watch over the Marano or "New Christians," whose Hebrew descer was more certain than their belief in the cree of the Church. These unhappy thousand suffered at home, or fled abroad-to Ital first, and then to libecated Holland. 1567 the Moriscoes, equally suspected and exasperated, rose in revolt; they were over come, to be expelled in 1610 by Philip III It is not now imagined that Spanish commerce or credit were immediately affected by driving out the Jews.

Until France recovered from its long agony, the Empire of Castile was safe, incomparably rich, valiant, and adventurous. As Giberti had warned Clement VII., the Pope and become a Spanish chapters as the same and Milan. The victorious Company of Jesus could not fail to strengthen a power which had protected them almost from the beginning.

heir head, were usually "hispaniolated," although a few in Flanders, of whom Paget was the spokesman, remained loyal, despite their sufferings. The earlier bonds of patriotism had melted in the furnace of religious heats, and the Leaguers in France, Cardinal Allen in Rome, were willing to yield the crowns of their respective countries to his Catholia Majesty. The impending war with Spain had provoked Coligny's murder and the massacre of St. Bartholomew-an event, said Lord Clarendon, which all pious Catholics at the time abominated. In 1585, when the League was formed, Philip stood at the zenith of his power; he meant that his daughter, Isabel, should be Queen of France; and on the Armada's triumph he was to be himself King of England. Had Farnese, Prince of Parma, succeeded in bringing his army across the Channel, that usurpation might easily have been effected. For the Spanish-Italian soldiers were the best in Europe. But Armada was wrecked : Jacques Clement.

House of Bourbon commenced the

finel stage of French monarchy.

Ind592 Farnese, the great-grandson of Paul

Under Heary IV, the French, returning to their old ways, became Royalist and Gallican once more. England, delivered from feat of Spanish invasions, nursing its Puritar youth for the most revolutionary movement in modern history, bided its time. The Low Countries, which in 1566 had risen only to be defeated, in 1572 revolted again, and in 1579 the United States of Holland became a Republic. They found a leader in William the Silent. Prince of Orange. He was killed in 1584 by an "obscure fanatic" named Gérard, who acted upon the doctrine of assassination which divines allowed and statesmen practised. Coligny, Burghley, William the Silent himself, Queen Elizabeth, and other chiefs of parties or rulers of States, 5 entered into murder-plots. Mariana, the Spanish Jesuit, defended tyrannicide and Jacques Clément in a notorious book, afterwards condemned by the superiors of the Society but his views were generally admitted, and the contrivers of the Powder Plot (whoever these happened to be) knew that it was so.

The triple alliance of France, England, and the United Provinces in 1596 deacted two conclusions of far-reaching importances.

Holland was, though grudgingly, recognized s a sovereign power which would hold the commerce of the seas until Cromwell's Naviration Act gave it to Great Britain; and the French government, professing itself Catholic. was taking up an attitude towards Spain and Austria such as to make a universal Catholic estoration impossible. The dying Philip gave what was left of the Netherlands to his daughter and her husband, the "Archdukes." A truce of twelve years, thanks to Henry IV. divided Belgium from the Dutch Republic. and Henry, preparing to invade Germany, fell under the poignard of Ravaillac in 1610. The mission of this Bourbon prince, always half a Protestant, was to be taken up by 'Richelieu, the Cardinal-Duke, orthodox and intolerant at home, a Calvinist in his policy on the Meuse and the Rhine, who must be held to have sacrificed his own religion in order that France might seize the paramount power, slipping now from the feeble hands of Spain.

The Thirty Years' War, at which we have arrived, is not unfairly summed up as the last of the Crusades, or wars on behalf of Cathelicism. It was a desperate struggle to revive the Holy Roman Empire, which

could not be done without opposing th extension of privileges, already acquired by Lutherans, to their Calvinist rivals. Hac these latter been worsted, the Confession of Augsburg would have lost its legal status also. Bohemia naturally offered the ground of battle. There, after 1890, the Wycliffite movement had assumed a significance for Central Europe, and had sown the seed from which Luther reaped a hundredfold. Its King, George Podiebrad (1458-1471), fought dexterously against Roman influences, leaving the country prepared to welcome any change that would enable it to cast off the Pope's authority. Lutherans abounded in Bohemia; for under Maximilian II. Austria had the least intolerant of governments. Hungary, too, was largely Protestant, while the Emperor brought in "a conciliatory, neutral, unconventional Catholicism." the scorn of earnest believers. whether orthodox or reformed. Poland. by reason of a similar policy, was fast becoming the Promised Land of Socinians.

But all this while the Catholic Revival was advancing along the German rivers, ever since the Jesuits had daringly established themselves in Ingolstadt under the Duke of Bayara.

[104] Learning scal, and political inflation.

ESCORIALITO VERSALLES IN

isposal. St. Peter Canisius, their young and brilliant German disciple, persuaded harles to depose Archbishop von Wied of clogne; it was a warning to every prelate in he Fatherland that reform could no longer e put off. Canisius, preaching and teaching, id a marvellous work among his fellow-ountrymen. He was ably seconded by the hird General, who astonished Rome by the pectacle of a Borgia, Duke of Gandia, great-randson of Alexander VI., as remarkable for very Catholic virtue as his Papal ancestor ad been for the opposite.

St. Francis Borgia founded the Roman College, or central university, as it proved, of the Society; he enlarged the German College, due to St. Ignatius, where priests of that nation might be trained in strict discipline and devotion to the Holy Sea. Rome was the meeting-place of saints as it had formerly been of poets and men of letters. The Vatican put on the air of a monastery. Ignatius, Charles Borromeo, Cardinal Ghisliet, afterwards Pius V., Philip Neri, and many others who have been canonized, were felloweritzens or contemporaries in this new age, article beyond description in a type of exaltation.

and passionate sanctity that drew back from no self-sacrifice on behalf of the Creed of Trent. The Jesuits excelled by virtue of their military yet flexible system, and displayed personal enthusiasm which the "Exercises" enlightened, while obedience gave it a definite scope. They were taught to dislike Erasmus: but in their schools the Erasmian ideas of education prevailed, and a graceful literary style. a rhetoric persuasive though tending to be florid, announced that these Clerks Regular were genuine heirs of the Renaissance. Like Francis Bacon, who praised their methods of teaching unreservedly, they took all knowledge for their province. Soon they could, reckon names of eminence in every department of research and discovery. e divines, Laynez, Suarez, and in the next generation the French patristic scholar, Petavius, made a distinct advance on the older theological methods. Their most original writer was the Spaniard Molina, who refuted Calvin and by anticipation Jansenius. Rome, it has been said, was now "serious and repentant," notwithstanding some great tragedies of crime. By the side of the German College similar institutions sprang up. The Canon Law was revised, the Vulgate Bible

Scordar To VERSAILLES under Sixtus V. and Clement VIII. esuit Cardinal Bellarmine shaped the versy with Reformers into the position it kept afterwards until Joseph de re gave it an entirely new basis. nal Baronius, the Oratorian, published ven folios a history of the Church that rgeness of design and patience of learning iever been surpassed. But while Rome concentrating her forces, "the first sion of private judgment," says Lecky, d shivered Protestantism into countless " In this hurly-burly, which was fast ming a civil war, the Lutherans lost, Calvinists gained, but the common cause ered. It would be the task of genius to er Macaulay's description of this wonderful nge in the tide of human affairs by which

Popese driven back to their Roman iparts, advanced with freshly-recruited ions a hundred years later almost to shores of the Baltic. "At first," writer caulay, "the chances seemed to be de ledly in favour of Protestantism; but the tory remained with the Church of Rome i every point she was successful. If w ip over another half-century (from about 80 to 1680) we find her victorious and PAPACY IN DATE OF THE STATE OF

dominant in France, Belgium, Bavaria, Bohemia, Austria, Poland, and Hungary. Nor has Protestantism, in the course of two hundred years, been able to recover any part of what was them lost."

Much had been done for the Catholic cause in Styria and Carinthia by the Archduke Ferdinand, who, in 1617, became King of Bohemia and Emperor-elect. In this larger world he followed up the same policy. He did not shrink from acts of repression, justified as he held by violations of law on the part of his Protestant subjects, which led to revolt and his attempted deposition by them. They offered the crown to Frederick V., elector palatine, son-in-law of James I., and thus ancestor of the Hanoverian Stuarts, our present reigning family. Frederick came to Prague, and the most desolating of modern wars began (1618-1648). In this wild encounter it is hard to disentangle secular from religious motives. The Pope of the day. Urban VIM. (1628-1644), faintly shadowed forth in his learning, ostentation, nepotism, and simbitious aims, the flercer memories left him by the Renaissance. Urbino fell by reversion to the Holy See in 1681. But Usban's own war of Castro for the duchy of

STORIAL TO VERSAILLES

'arma was humiliating and unsuccessful.

He leaned on Grange; distrusted and offended
the Emperor Femiliand; won for himself
a bad name from uncompromising Catholics;
and died without having contributed decisive
help to his own cause in Germany.

Richelieu came on the scene at the States-General of 1614, where he represented the clergy of Poitou. This assembly, the last of its kind until 1789, was Catholic in its sympathies, while asserting the King's divine right in opposition to Paul V. But Richelieu's lease of absolute power, unbroken henceforward, began in 1624. The Cardinalminister finished with his Huguenots at La Rochelle (1628) but did not revoke the Edict of Nantes. The Dutch fleet helped this Catholic prelate to conquer their coreligionists; and he in turn protected Holland against the united forces of Spain and the Empire. He could not, however, prevent the victorious onset of Tilly, an orthodox general, devoted to the Jesuits, who for ten years carried all before him. Frederick, the "Winter King," lost Prague; Max of Bavaria became Catholic elector instead of the fugitive and deposed Lutheran; the "League" was triumphant. Wallenstein a

convert, also a Jesuit pupil, created the Austrian array, by way of enabling Ferdinant to balance his own allies, now become to hard for him. The League was, indeed, a religious confederation, but its members did not want the Emperor to be strong.

Wallenstein, whom for an instant we may compare with Richelieu, would have made the Habsburg master of all German princes, as the Cardinal in France was breaking the noblesse. But the Emperor did not second Wallenstein. He published in March, 1629, the Edict of Restitution and dismissed the lieutenant who had overcome his opponents gloriously, but who would not execute these orders. By the Edict, all Church lands in the possession of Protestants since the arrangement at Passau (1552) were to be given back. Lutherans and Calvinists joined forces. Richelieu had perhaps contrived the dismissal of Wallenstein: now he called to the Swedish King, Gustavus Adolphus, and sent him into Germany as the Protestant champion (1680). Gustavus, no doubt, proposed to defend his religious brethren; but the reward was to be Sweden's leadership of Reformed Europe. His star ascended high in the heavens: Tilly won Magdeburg.

shich lying rumous accused him of burning; out the King defeated the Catholic in a remendous battle at Breitenfeld, swept lown the "Church lane" from Würtzburg to the Rhenish electorates, and turned on Bavaria. Tilly died of his wounds at Ingolstadt. Wallenstein was persuaded to save Austria and the League. He repulsed Gustavus, who had come within sight of the Alps; but who had wasted his chance of marching to Vienna. At Lützen (November 6, 1682) the Swedish hero was Rilled; his star flashed and went out like a meteor Wallenstein offered Saxony and Brandenburg peace with religious freedom; but in so doing, fell into treason. His death, which is the subject of Schiller's finest tragedy, was sanctioned by the Emperor. With a deed of assassination the German crusade came to an end (1684). But its fruits were not scanty. Ferdinand had inherited lands ninetenths of whose inhabitants, it is said, held the Reformers' faith. He reversed these numbers, made Bohemia, Austria, and the diacent territories Catholic, and decided that the Danube, as well as the Rhine, should flow through orthodox fields. The Imperialist victory at Nordlingen (1685) avenged Breitene feld, but left Saxony Lutheran.

WATER STREET WITH THE PROPERTY OF THE RES

Richelien continued the war. His armies were successful in Roussillon and Savoy is his Swedish mercenaries invaded Silesia. The two chief Catholic powers were brought low by a Roman Cardinal. He died in 1642; but his diplomacy had traced the lines which in 1648, by the Peace of Westphalia, determined for one hundred and forty years the balance of European power. France. allied to the belligerent disciples of Luther and Calvin, flung Austria back upon its hereditary dominions, curbed Spain, and fulfilled the ambitious dreams which Francis L had dreamt in vain, of a Gallic supremacy. Protestants were shut out from every province. of the Habsburgs except Silesia; the general position reverted to that of 1624. Propaganda by the sword was given up on both sides. But the Reformed Churches sank under the jurisdiction of secular princes, and every petty Cæsar became a Pope.

Innocent X. protested against the principle thus made public law—formulated, curiously enough, in these very years by Hobbes in his "Leviathan"—and Innocent's protest, easys Lord Acton, "is one of the glories of the Papacy". It was a plea for, liberty of conscience against "in ecclesiastical authority.

ore arbitrary than the Pope had ever possed." The Treaty bears date October 24, 48. In effect it dissolved the Empire. It ought France to the Rhine. It secularized large portion of ecclesiastical territory. By cognizing the independence of Switzerland nd the United Provinces it acknowledged hat have since been termed "accomplished cts." Three, "confessions," or religious reeds now divided Western Europe, of which ne Catholic faith was only one. The Roman uria, looked upon as a foreign power in ermany, excluded from interference in Spain the Inquisition, and held at a distance Mazarin no less than by Richelieu, could o longer issue decrees which carried a olitical importance. The interdict, launched y Paul V. against Venice in 1605, was a ilure and never repeated. The deposing ower was extinct. Brandenburg, founded a secular State by an heretical Grand aster of the Teutonic Order, was growing o to be the Kingdom of Prussia in 1701.

ME PAPACT MED MODERN TIMES

SECTION II

THE "GREAT KING," LOUIS XIV (1045-1715

Bur lew coincidences are more remarkable than that which links October 24. 1648, with January 80, 1649. German Protestants wen yielding submission to the civil magistrate at the moment when English Puritans were beheading their King in front of Whitehall At Naseby the Ironsides trained by Cromwel had dashed to pieces the old Cæsarism . which claimed to establish, and thereby to enslave, religion. On that stricken field th Declaration of Independence was born. In all countries, too, where penal legislation pressed hard on Catholics, an escape was sought. Jesuit arguments anticipated the Whig limits to State authority; while in Maryland the famous Act of Toleration likewise drawn up in 1649, announced that Catholics and Protestants could live in peace under the same laws. This was not a Puritan measure but was due to Lord Baltimore. whose father had joined the Roman Church. He "was the first," says Bancroft, "to make religious freedom the basis of the State."

Religious unity was declared to be impossible by the Acts of Westphalia. Cromwell tood for Independence against ____ tter he had smittin the head of the Anglican Establishment. He aimed at oligarchy, but the event was other than he intended. To cite the Greek illustration, every chief would assign the first place to himself; but all gave the second to Themistocles. Innocent X. decried the axiom, "Whosoever has the land shall write the creed.". Jeremy Taylor, in hiding as a loyal Anglican, composed his defence of the "Liberty of Prophesying." Milton in "Areopagitica" lifted the freedom of the press to an epic grandeur. Grotius had discovered, not without help of St. Thomas Aquinas, that there is a Higher Law, and that government implies a contract between ruler and subjects. On the other side were Richelieu, Hobbes, Bossuet, Louis XIV. The debate which was thus opened will carry us down to the American and the French Revolutions, both founded on the doctrine of responsible authority and the right of resistance to its unjust use.

In France it was a question of the Crown.

La dinal Bellarmine's volume, defending the high Papal view of jurisdiction over sovereigns, was burnt in 1610 by order of the Parlement of Parls. The answer which Suarez wrote

to James L's excitation of his royal prerogatives met with a similar fate in 1614 "They say," observes Lecky of these and like-minded Jesuits, "that a great future was in store for the people, and they laboured with a zeal that will secure them everlasting honour to hasten and direct the emancipation." It was not now the Supreme Pontiff only, but the nation, that might depose and execute a tyrannical sovereign. The Jesuits maintained these startling doctrines. of course, as weapons to pull down heretical Tudors, or the faithless Valois, Henry III. or Henry of Navarre, not yet converted. But others besides the outspoken Mariana taught them from Spanish chairs of theology and in Rome. It was from Suarez immediately that Grotius, the Dutch Arminian. drew his own general principles. On the other hand, French jurists could point to the murder of these two French kings as a dreadful comment on theories of tyrannicide. Between the social contract and the divine indefeasible right of their glorious monarchy no reconciliation seemed to them possible.

These differences had broken into violent discussions at the States-General of 1614, when the anti-regal tractate of Suares was

mmitted to the flames. Crown lawvers repared the way for a Jansenist revolt. gainst Jesuit direction, though as yet Janenism was not. Later on, there was coming strange, three-cornered alliance of Royalist, Jallican, and Port Royal, each attacking he Great Company from a special point of riew, and at last effecting its overthrow. But the Regalists under captains like Charles du Moulin led the charge, although as early as 1554 the Sorbonne had condemned certain Jesuit propositions. In 1594 they were banished the kingdom. Henry IV. gave them leave to return. While Richelieu lived he was master, and wielded the two swords like any Pope. The Roman authorities tolerated an imperium in imperio which they were unable to subdue; moreover the Cardinal was undoubtedly zealous for religion, though with political by-ends.

The Jansenist controversy, which Richelieu endeavoured to stifle at its birth by imprisoning that gloomy genius, St. Cyran, in Vincennes is usually dated from 1640. Its effect was to display the Papal prerogative of determining dogma, without appeal to Counce of hierarchy, on the widest of theatre was important.

MA PAPACY AND MODERN TIMES

"Five Propositions," which represented a in a scientific formula the doctrine of Jansen (Consigned to his great volume the "Augustinus"), France and Catholic Christendor bowed to the ruling. The Vatican decrees of 1870 were anticipated by these acts; nor did the French bishop's venture to complain

According to a picturesque figure, the Reformation had created within the Church a state of siege. Power was by necessity centred in the Pope's hands, so that while his temporal jurisdiction was falling away, his teaching and administrative functions grew more active than ever. Hence the defeat of Port Royal. Though betraying affinities of doctrine and temper with Calvinwhose logic must always impress the minus of Frenchmen-Port Royal would never have dared to turn Huguenot. Freedom, religious or political, was unknown to the age of Louis XIV. But, in any case, the Council of Trentshad shown that it was impossible to defend the ancient creed while disobeying that Papal authority in which, as Bellarmine argued, the sum of it was contained

Port Royal, therefore, cast aside all that the Pope rejected; but distinguishing between doctrine and fact, it was easer to

. Cyran, its late director, beyond the suscion of formal Jansenism. The distinction is not allowed and the famous Abbey became desolation. Though Pascal its one man genius (whom it did not train), assumed th magnificent strategy the offensive against e Society of Jesus, bringing it into the line fire, he could not save a cloister which the ing hated because it drew away from him e eves of Paris, and which Bossuet conmned for standing out when authority. quired it to submit. In the historical perective we recognize that if the "solitaries" d not been put down the Church of a middle y would have arisen in France, anti-Roman om the southern point of view, anti-Prostant from the northern. Louis and Bossuet ere Gallican according to the formula of sa. Constance, Basle-French Councils which buld fain have made the Pope a constituonal monarch, while the King was to be solute. But Louis XIV. could not have asped the spiritual sense of St. Cyran; r had the incomparable orator of Meaux y sympathy for a doctrine which he must we thought less human than the Gospel, d less coherent than Calvinism. Bossuet s an Augustinian, not a Jansenist.

MA PAPACY AND MODERN TIMES

Louis XIV., during his reign of sevent two years (1648-1715), arrogated to himself. dominion over Church and State like that 0 Philip II., to whose unique position amon monarchs he succeeded. He was at once th protector of Catholic faith at home and abroad, the persecutor of Huguenots, the trial and terror of the Holy Sec. Ill-instructed dissolute, worshipping himself as others wor shipped him, the "Great King" had wit enough to discern capacity and to reward merit. His inheritance from the age of Louis XIII. gave to the first half of his reign a lustre which was tarnished by defeat and misfortune in the second. But Catholic learning, eloquence, devotion—its benevolent enterprises and missionary zeal, lent to the Church of France, under the greatest of the Bourbons, a distinction which none other could rival. It had saints of charity like Vincent de Paul; preachers and apologists like Bossuet and Fénelon; the lonely splendour of Pascal, the pathos and harmonies of Racine. Even Port Royal, which Roman orthodoxy cannot approve, adds to the glory of the days of Louis by its austere unworldliness, its erudition witness the names, Tillemont and Sacy-its proud resistance to Cing and Council

But Döllinger has laid bare the vice of at Gallican system which for sixty years nd more set no bounds, short of manifest eresy, to royal despotism. *If passive bedience carried to the extreme was a adge of Anglicans at this time, so was of Bossuet and the contemporary divines cross the Channel, who did not perceive that hey were applauding the wicked principles f Westphalia condemned by the Pope. For it was chiefly the sovereign's will on which hese Gallicans relied to destroy Port Royal, nd if by it they justified the Revocation of he Edict of Nantes, how could their sucessors argue against the absolute State which xiled the clergy and suppressed the religious rders? From 1685 to 1789 the fatal logic hat deduces anti-clericalism as a consequence f court-idolatry at Versailles moves on step y step. The persons of the drama exchange arts; the plot remains the same.

It was not, therefore, by accident that ouis, in the same years when he meditated he forced conversion or banishment of his Iuguenot subjects (as truly French as any lourbon), found himself at enmity with the loly See. But the moment proved decisive things. Looking back we observe

MG RAPACY AND MODERN TIMES

had so irritated and alarmed Protestant England that an imaginary Popish Plot drove the nation mad. This was to be followed up by the double intrigues of Versailles, which Barillon conducted in London. They were designed to weaken English power, and only in the second place to forward the progress of Catholicism. James II. was in the eyes of Frenchmen a tributary viceroy of the "Grand Monarque," and England a subject province.

Now in St. Peter's chair from 1676 to 1689 sat Innocent XI., a saintly, reforming Pontiff He dreaded the overweening pretensions of which Louis had given proofs no less in sagred than in secular departments. Like his predecessors he clung to the balance of power, alone adapted, since the Popes could no longer depose Kings, to secure the possessions of the Roman Church and his own independence. Louis XIV. had extended, with a haughty indifference to the Curia his so-called "regal rights" over the property of vacant bishopries. Innocent remonstrated to no purpose. as Clement X. had done before him. A mecession of able writers, high prelates smang than Richer, De Marca Laundy,

pin, had published abroad or were still ounding the doctaine of a royal supremacy much less limited than was maintained Hooker and Andrewes. The French hops obeyed their King with trembling. uis, who knew nothing of theology, consed them to Paris in 1682. This Gallican embly was intended to resume the attitude Constance and to win for itself the authority a General Council. Bossuet, the last of Church doctors, profoundly Catholic, misled by the philosophy of Hobbes, ich.on this point he took to be scriptural. d an excessive deference to the King. om he should have warned against medas with matters too high for him. A ism appeared to be imminent, and the shop of Meaux preached his masterpiece of toric on the "Unity of the Church," alting Papal claims, but demanding as if a velty that the Holy See should govern by non Law. The bishops subscribed to the four Articles," which rejected utterly the pe's power in temporals outside his own tes, and denied that he was infallible as hedra. Louis imposed this declaration the whole French clergy, and even the miles substitted under constraint. Cab-

18 PAPACY AND MODERN TIMES

hands. But the strife was not ended. Louis would yet discover, in the apt words of Macaulay, that "having alienated one great section of Christendom by persecuting the Huguenets, he alienated another by insulting the Holy See."

Thanks to these opposed but not unseasonable blunders on the part of Louis, the Vatican at this critical turn in affairs escaped a grave calamity. Whoever persecuted the French Calvinists, it was not Innocent XI., for he raised his voice against "dragooming" them by "armed apostles," into a feigned acceptence of beliefs which they rejected in their hearts. He is likewise happily free from a share in the procedure, as disastrous as it was short-sighted, of James II. James, a devout profligate, had imbibed Gallican ideas, which the crafty Barillon did his utmost to encourage. And by this dream of royal omnipotence the King drove Tory Oxford and Protestant England to put in practice the Jesuit principle of resistance, upheld by Suarez against James's own grandfather. The situation had its tronies for observant spectators. Innocent conselled prudence and moderation. H feelined to make the Jesuit Father Petre

inal His representative at the court of James's, Count d'Adda, submitted with ctance to public honours which would vex and scandalize a Protestant nation. the insolent policy of Louis compelled Holy See, while supporting ecclesiastical nunities on the Rhine, to strengthen the ids of William of Orange. William broke promise to the Vatican of toleration for holics when Innocent had passed away. t even so late as 1697 feeling in Rome tinued to be anti-Jacobite. To expected consequences did the "Four icles" lead. Once more a French King d the fortunes of militant Catholicism, French Cardinal had ruined them in the ty Years' War.

was characteristic of Louis XIV. that he mpled on the helpless. Three times he ostentatiously insulted the Popes in their a capital. Nevertheless, over those Four icles he was beaten into submission. The capital condemned them formally the following that the following has lysed after his peculiar fashion in "The gand the Book," dictated to the French tops in act of contrition which their royal.

180 PAPACY AND MODERN TIMES

master permitted them to sign in 169 Bossuet, doomed to weave and unweave th Penelone-web of a "Defence of 1682" neve entirely to his mind, left it in manuscript crying "Abeat quo libuerit," let the Declara tion take care of itself. From the Roman point of view this sublime genius had betrave his fellow-clergy into the "servitudes of the Gallican Church," as Fleury, once their advo cate, bitterly called them. Noble and grav as a prophetic teacher when he surveys the truths of religion, but like a chained eagle in the court of Versailles, Bossuet illustrate its grandeur and its fall. He it is in effect that utters the funeral oration of Low Quatorze; and he passes with his King.

His rival, his successor, was Fénelon, Archbishop and Duke of Cambray, whose "Télé maque" is a satire on absolute monarchy and his submission to Rome the severes censure on the Articles of 1682. Fénelon i unmistakably the first French "ultramon tane," as we understand the word. He also the first French democrat, of the haught Mirabeau type, strong on the popular side because he has a quarrel with Versailles. He stands on the threshold of a new century, and hails the dawn of light and freedom. There was coming indeed a false dawn before the true

last days of Fenelon and Massillon ssed the early unripe essays of Voltaire 4-1778) in proje and rhyme; while the e volumes of Saint-Simon's "Memoirs" e growing in secret, which contain in enormous style the epitaph of old ance; of its King, its nobles, its Churchn, its light ladies, its decadent yet still not ite-livered chivalry. We turn back to conler the course of those hundred and twenty ars past—the Armada that was blown all the winds of heaven, the Thirty Years' r, the Puritan Revolt, the double failure ouis and James which bears in England title of a Revolution, and is dated 1688. nat does it all portend? A recent philohic estimate assures us that these were ps in a process which has taken from the nodern State" its ascendancy over conence, and shown it to be incompetent ere the Christian faith is concerned. How, thout legal enactment, society was to kept in possession of the greatest of all ssures, that process did not show. It ade for freedom, but did it not also make anarchy? Such was the problem which e advancing years of the eighteenth century te called upon to recoive:

CHAPTER V

FROM LOUIS XIV. TO THE REVOLUTION (1 1789. ROUSSEAU, "THE SOCIAL THACT"; BURKE, "ON RECONCILIATE WITH AMERICA")

A CENTURY of enlightenment or dissolut. the eighteenth has been also termed the " of Reason." When it began with its unnel sary war of the Spanish Succession, Eur south of Alps and Pyrenees had exhaust the mental vigour which produced the Rena sance, as well as the ardour of crusadi whereby Castile and Aragon had in a sho generation acquired the Empire now crus bling to pieces. The Turk was making h last attempt on Christendom. Russia su denly filled the eastern sky as a Colossi armed for battle against the Crescent. this one direction the Papacy, faithful to tradition seven hundred years old, we deservedly a victor. St. Pins V., the soul e the expedition, had furnished to the hero Don John of Austria, no small confingent of

TO THE TRENCH REVOLUTION 168

se palleys with which near the Gulf of banto he shattered the Turkish fleet and lent it from Idnian waters. October 7. 71. From that day the naval power of e Moslems declined. In 1606 Austria-conuded an honourable peace with Ahmed I., hich indicated that the mighty empire of lam had lost its long-enduring vital force. et Poland was compelled to pay tribute in 372, and eleven years later Hungarian Prostants brought up a great Turkish army to e walls of Vienna. The Pope, Innocent XI., his utmost to aid the Christian cause. d John Sobieski, "sent from God," ised the siege. A war of twenty years-llowed with varying success; but in 1697 rince Eugene broke the infidel ranks at enta and completely routed them. It will observed that France and England almost ways behaved as friends of the Turk. The eace of Carlowitz, January, 1699, checked the ltan's aggressive power; he entered on mpulsion the European system of politics: d in Holy Russia, with its pride of faith d lust of conquest, he found his waning ength overnatched

Sight Popes, from Clement XI, elected in vending 1700, to Pine VI., dving in exile

194 PAPACY AND MODERN THES

at Valence, August 29, 1799, fill the vea which every Catholic will say that he no pleasure in them. Years when the s which had animated Christians to such deeds was everywhere yielding before assailants. After the Treaty of Westph the bounds were fixed between Catholics Reformers as they have since remain Looking at the map of Europe, we are str by observing that the limits which Roman Church preserved very nearly coinc with those of the Western Empire, at the ti that Theodosius divided East and West (89 North and east of Danube, Main, and Rh the Catholic dominion is met by peoples who that Empire never held or imperfectly su dued. But beyond its range Poland on o side. Ireland on the other, furnish exampl of the Roman faith, enthusiastically mai tained under pressure from the alien Goven ments, of Moscow or London. Across th Atlantic, Rome may point to the who South American continent, to the Centre States, Mexico, and French Canada as he own. She has called a new world into being to repair the losses inflicted on Catholicism y the old. Her missionaries have penetrated int India converted multitudes in Japan Jour welcome at the Court of Peking Thes

O THE FRENCH REVOLUTION 16

e, in largest measure, trophies of the herowhich has at all times marked Jesuit erprise among the heathen. St. Francis vier, a Christian Alexander, meditated the aquest of Farther Asia, and left to his cessors a promising empire, which Japanese secution, Dutch intrigue, and the opposin of other Catholics hindered from its due pansion. But the pride of the Great Comny was Paraguay, civilized and defended an Indian Paradise by these "blackbes," who renewed on their own principles polity resembling in more than one feature social institutions which Pizarro found disting under the Incas of Peru.

And now, when Louis XIV. had acquired it his house the throne of Spain, supplanting it Habsburgs, and securing to the Bourbons masterdom over the Latin nations, there was opposeding a universal change which conituted, as Macaulay reckons it, "the fourth that peril of the Church of Rome." On the ness not similar but converging the attack as directed, by Jansenist lawyers, philo-phic thinkers, and the party of letters and the called Libertines.

st came so determined a recoil from the rity which Linuis affected after his marwith Mathing de Maintenon, that Lecky

100 PAVACY AND MODERN THE

describes it as a "moral chaos." Suc the period of the Regency, illustrated for ages in Saint-Simon's "Memon's"—a pi to frighten and appal. It was an era cl imitating that of Charles II., but adding touch of sacrilege in a prelate like Care Dubois, who disgraced the See of Caml which Fénelon had lately adorned. may fix the date by Montesquieu's "Pen Letters," brilliant and corrupt, appearing This daring mockery of Christi beliefs occupies the same place, as regards "Enlightenment," which Luther's "Ball lonish Captivity" holds in the story of the Reformation. It is a prophecy and a form strategy, well named "persiflage." Luther weapon was vehement satire, descending coarseness. The weapon of the "phi sophes" was irony which spared no dogm however sacred. All along, from the earlie period when literature began to revive, the temper had shown what it could achieve French writings. But Rabelais was ofte grotesque, Montaigne was archaic. The cepticism of Charron had been coloured resemble Christian humility. And though Descartes is justly estermed the Father of Rationalism he professed the Catholic creed. his creed was forget wale his

O ME PERSON REVOLUTION 107

hod formed Spinoza, Locke, and the whole teenth century

nce Pascal and Molière, the French ruage, conscious of its power to harm, xplain, to persuade, while it amused, was becoming the speech of cultivated men women all over Europe. Not, however, French of Bossuet, but the French of Saint remond, soon to be sharpened into an e of lightning by Voltaire. Unbelief had hioned a tongue marvellously adapted to task it set itself of destructive analysis. glish Deism in Locke and his followers ve the ideas which, by passing into lucid ench epigrams, became the sovereign comnplaces on which laws were ormed, schools turned to seminaries of ppaganda, the clergy put to shame, the urch annihilated. By opposing Protestant jections to Catholic dogma, and to both a ristianity without mysteries, the first steps re taken. Religion had been an engine of te; reduced to a superstition or a sentient, how could it survive when scientific, vestigation disclosed its origin, and history grated its abuser? The "Encyclopædia,"

und of knowledge, treated Catholic and react, all of with granscendent, disclaintion of the part, they destroyed

100 PAPACY AND MODERN THES

one another. The record of persecution condemned them both.

Such were Voltaire's tactics, made perfect in a long career of reflection and subterfuge. His hundred volumes contain the gospel of "En lightenment": but, though a prince among unbelievers, he had companions not less arden or less resolute, in all ranks of society. Gov ernments adopted large portions of the new faith, many years before it touched the people. On the side of orthodoxy no David came out to answer the challenge. It is remarkable that we cannot quote one single classic in French, Spanish, or Italian, belonging to this period and professing to defend Christianity, after the death of Fénelon til the Revolution. In England, writers of eminence, from Butler to Paley, answered the Deists and silenced them: but under Louis XV. the thrice-miserable disputes con cerning the Bull "Unigenitus" of Clement XI which convulsed Court and Parlement, and which ended in the downfall of the Society of Jesus, appear to have absorbed whatever intellectual zeal was left in the clergy. It was a time of decadence among believers, and of assaults upon them continually growing in boldness, during which "acts of power," feebly attempted from above, were met

TO THE PRENCE REVOLUTION 100

th defiance, or partied by connivance of e authorities themselves.

"Louis XV.," wrote in his secret Memoirs ne Marquis d'Argenson, " has not known how govern as a tyrant or as the chief of a public." These words express the vacillatg policy of a court which felt already the round trembling beneath it. By the Constiution "Unigenitus," which Louis XIV. obained from the unwilling Pope, Clement XI., 1718, it was intended that the Kifig should e enabled to scatter the remnants of Jansenm. But Jansenism, ceasing to be a definite resy, had grown into a temper of mind, bellious towards Rome, Gallican and disyal, or at least in sympathy republican. t took refuge from its enemies at Versailles the Parlement of Paris, where D'Argenson bund the "leaders of this revolution" hich he saw coming, and which was to open ith "the slaughter of priests in the streets f Paris." In 1780 the Papal Bull was hade a law of the land. But the Parlement which we must not confound with our English * astitution of the like name) resisted, and itself exiled to Pontoise, to Soissons.

ch and State lay under the heel of a same de Pointedour, whose influence to the same of th

170 PAPACY AND MODERN TIMES

Chistophe de Beaumont, Archbishop of I and by austere jurists, while she war to and fro, now telling the Archbishop the Jesuits ought to be suppressed "scourge to Kings," and again, when mood of repentance took her, choosin Jesuit confessor. To record ignominie this kind is humiliation enough.

The Parlement won its great victory

the Jesuits after 1757, when Damien n his insane attempt on King Louis. falsely charged both religious parties Damien's guilt. The public conscience a shock; but it was the Society of Jesus t paid the penalty. Toulouse and Paris joi against them, and their standard book moral theology, "Busenbaum," was bu by the public executioner, on the ground t it made the Pope superior to princes a appeared to countenance assassination. brief, the Jesuits were now to suffer destruct as Ultramontanes, democrats, and regicid Like the Christians as described in Tacit they were called "enemies of the hun race." This was the Jesuit legend, in wh serious men have professed to believe, a which has gone the round of the world.
Erum Postugal, decrept since its her

course in the last, the last how ou

O THE PRINCH REVOLUTION 171

should fix clearly in our minds that the iety of Jesus formed the Old Guard of a gion which these Latin States had proed by fire and sword against Mohammed. inst Luther, and that their Governments w this well. Moreover, it was impossible dissolve the Society without using violence, ral and even physical, towards the Pope ose chosen instrument it had ever been. e Endish parallel of Charles I. and Strafd corresponds exactly to the situation. it Strafford had some kind of trial, though iudement was decided by attainder, not on evidence. The Jesuits underwent nishment, confiscation, dishonour, and solution without trial, or definite charges, opportunity of self-defence. The argument lawyer St. John, pleading for Strafford's om, would have mightily persuaded Pombal d Aranda, "It was never accounted either ielty or foul play to knock foxes and wolves u the head as they can be found, Because ey be beasts of prey." As Clarendon marks of the earlier injustice, "the law nd the humanity were alike."

The Bourbons destroyed the Jesuits, and chemselves destroyed in turn by the es which they had let loose. Their chief state and Popular who set the example at

172 PAPACY AND MODERN TIMES

Lisbon, belonged to a new class, flerce anti-clerical, inspired by "philosophy," I the regalist conception of absolute powe Such were Choiseul in France, Aranda Madrid, Tanucci at Naples. Liberty of th subject was to all of them an unknow idea, voluntary association an act of treaso But they justified their lawless proceeding under the specious popular terms of humanit freedom, and light. As Damien's attem on the King proved the beginning of sorro to French Jesuits, so did a like assault Joseph of Portugal. September, 1758, enal his minister, Pombal, to complete the wo already in hand, by which he intended to g rid of the Society in that kingdom. The were accused of regicide; flung on board number of transports, and shipped off the Papal States. All the possessions the Jesuits were seized; Malagrida, thou charged with complicity in the attack King Joseph, was put to death not as a trait but as a heretic. The real offence. whi Pombal could not overlook, was that America the Jesuits had opposed a sche by which their Indian converts were to foreibly taken from the "Reductions"; transferred to the Portuguese crown. Pa that fell into its primitive wildness:

ciety perished in the cause of civiliza-

Now came their last days in France. me of their Fathers, Lavalette, had engaged t Martinique in business on a large scale. contrary to the spirit of the Society, if not to s rules. He owed three millions of francs o houses at Marseilles. The ships which were aking his merchandise across the Atlantic ell into British hands: and in 1761 Lavalette was declared a bankrupt by the Grande Chambre of Paris. The General of the Jesuits, Ricci, declined to be responsible. The Parlement examined and condemned the Rule of the Order; burnt many more of their books; and compelled Louis XV. to ask at Rome for a French Vicar who should govern in his kingdom without consulting the General. He was answered by Ricci or Clement XIII.. " Let them be as they are, or .. not be at all." The second alternative was adopted. On August 6, 1762, the Parlement flung one hundred and sixty-three Jesuit writings into the flames and announced that the Society was dissolved in French territory. Diderot exulted; Voltaire pointed to the ruin of Port Royal, and observed pleasantly that Pire Letellier, confessor of Louis XIV., had sown where Lavalette reaped. Shut

174 PAPACY AND MODERN THES

out from their own schools, reduced to begge driven into exile, the formal decree which m an end of them was published by Louis I in November, 1764. Not a single Free Jesuit underwent trial; their suppressiwith its attendant robbery and suffering was an act of legal or illegal violence.

Clement XIII. undertook to defend t Society in the Constitution "Apostolicum January. 1765. It led by reaction to the secret ordinance of Charles III., King Spain-composed by his Prime Ministe Aranda—which on April 2, 1767, dissolved th greatest of all Spanish religious companie and drove them out of the land as if they ha been Moors or Jews. Five thousand, des patched to Civita Vecchia, found a refuge i Corsica, not until they had endured frightfu miseries. The "philosophers" were not sur that to destroy the Jesuits would be entirely to their own advantage. D'Alembert wrot on behalf of the Society; Voltaire preferred the Jesuit fox to the Jansenist wolf. The Parlement of Paris had Lurnt many anti-Christian pamphlets; and, in fact, the Civil Constitution of the Clergy, to be promulgated during the Revolution, was due to Gallean authors, not to the ! Enlightenment." Voltains defeated every abade of Calvin

d begun to write an answer to Bascal's Provincial Letter"; and, as owing much his old Jesuit teachers, he felt an attachent to the Society which was remarkable so determined an enemy of their faith.

Rousseau; the lay Calvin, now published his Emile," which set forth a secular programme of, education, and the "Social Contract," destined to be the cornerstone of all fature democracy, as understood and practised by Jacobins. No defence of the Jesuit doctrines or principles appeared. They took their fate in silence. Even at Rome they waited with apprehension for the stroke which might be dealt by the hand of St. Peter's successor. Clement XIII. died on the eve of a consistory, where the question of their abolition was about to be considered, in 1769. On May 19, Ganganelli, a Franciscan friar, began to reign in his stead.

This is the unhappy and much criticized Clement XIV., whose brief days were consumed in a struggle for and against the Society. But no human power could avert their doom. A strange sight was now witnessed. The Bourbon powers urged their instant dissolutions in Alternative to werse things. France and Arigage, and proposed to incorporate the Arigage, and proposed to incorporate

176 PAPACY AND MODERN THES

in without delay. He was able to re that Protestant Governments (he me Frederick II. of Prussia) and the Empi Catherine, were opposed to any change the religious status of their Catholic subject But of July 22, 1769, Cardinal Bern himself no pattern of priestly decoru representing Louis XV., made a form demand in the name of France, Spain, a Naples, that Rome should abolish the Orde Bernis offered as a lure the restoration Avignon and Beneventum, which latter he been occupied by Naples. The Holy Se had indeed fallen from its high estate whe effete Bourbon princes could deal with it s despitefully. Clement XIV. might have com pared his position to that of Clement y except in so far as he had made no bargain with the French King. And the Jesuit were, at least, as innocent as the great body of the Templars; but not even the shadow of a particular examination was vouchsafed to them. For an hour, in 1771, on the disgrace of Choiseul, men thought they were saved. D'Aiguillon, grand-nephew of Richelieu, succeeded-by grace of Madame du Barri, as the wits of Paris cried out and D'Aiguillon was no Jansenist. These hopes were yain. The Brief of dissolution, submitted to Versailles

10 THE THE ICH REVOLUTION 177

sent back unlead to the Spanish Court, ere it had been approved, was delivered on evening of August 16, 1778, to the General the Jesuits in his own house at Rome. cci was taken to the English College, and ence to St. Angelo, where he died next year. e Society, as a religious corporation, had used to exist.

It may be worth while to remark that the ief Dominus ac Redemptor," of July 21, 73. by which this momentous transaction as formally concluded, is not in any sense, on tholic principles, dogmatic or infallible. ve effect to a measure of high policy, done by ement XIV. as ruler of the Church and on otives of interest, not of doctrine. measure lay within the Papal competence, n which religious orders depend for approval, as never been questioned. It did not owever, imply that the Holy See withdrew om the teaching of former Jesuits any favour estowed: and their remarkable attempt to ubstitute for the severe systems of Aquina r Augustine the milder view which Molin nd his school defended, was permitted still he shafts of Pascal had pierced a too-indu ent hierality, not peculiar to those individue emits who maintained it, nor of the ention Pape Innocent XI. had condemne

PAPACY AND HOPERN THES

prepositions that relaxed the fibres of C tian ethics. But the Jesuit system, a whole, was renewed by St Alfonso Liguori during the years which we are a describing, and the fact signifies much a school of theology and morals, the Compa of Jesus underwent no censure from Rom It was not condemned but dissolved.

The circumstances which attended its diss lution prove that Clement XIV. acted find extreme pressure from the Church's enemie. The terms of his preamble, which recites how complaints and controversies had waited or the steps of the Society from its first days. are deliberately chosen, so as to avoid a judgment on the merits. The Order was to be sacrificed that peace in the Church might be restored. Cardinal Bernis considered the Brief "as lenient as possible towards the Jesuits." They were gently dealt with; yet not unfairly they claimed some of the honours of martyrdom. In Prussia and Russia, where the Papal decree was never legally published, they found protection and continued to exist, not without such approval as the Holy See could venture to give. This has been made a reproach to the Fathers: but if they took advantage of technical points and made understandings, who shall be burnt

them the Holy See would reinstate them as an der on the first opportunity given. The lesian Jesuita elected a Vicar-general; those White Russia did the same in 1782. Though nitten, as it would seem, unto death, a suture as in store for the Society; but another orld-wide movement must avenge them the Bourbons ere it dawned.

SECTION II

LD MONARCHIES AND THE AMERICAN STATES (1768-1789)

these kings, of whom the least incapable vas Charles III., did all they knew to hasten to coming. In the German Empire, that confused welter of principalities, lay and ecclesiastical; in Austria, when the noble voman Maria Theresa passed away, the ike suicidal policy was adopted. The electors along the Rhine, prelates of great houses who committed their spiritual duties to inferior bishops and went hunting or did vorse, thought to be independent of the Holy lees, an already they had shaken off the imperial take. A semi-Jansenist, semi-jaliano acceptance of Traves. You Houtheim.

180 PAPACY AND MODERN TIMES

composed the manifesto which mone of them could write, and gave it to the world in 1768 under the name of Febronius. It s plea for national Churches in the spirit of Henry VIII. Going far beyond the language and ideas of Bossuet or Fleury, it would have set up the mere episcopal system after pulling down the Pope, making him a titular first among equals, with no jurisdiction outside Rome. Febronius underwent condemnation by the Holy See: he denied his book, and formally submitted. But the electors did not sease from troubling by their "Articles." of Cologne and "Points of Ems," until the Revolution came and took them all ı₩av.

In Austria, Joseph II., whom "Old Fritz" called "my brother the sacristan" (1780-1792), reproduced the mighty Tudor legislation in a very poor copy, suppressing monasteries, regulating public worship, while he was scorned by Freethinkers as by earnest Catholics, and displayed the peculiar in competence of a royal person who meddles with religion. Protestants and Jews were elieved from their disabilities, for toleration had been proclaimed the order of the day. But all monasticism was put down, for Kalightsuments demanded that superstition

hould no lorger be encouraged; neither did t object to the confiscation by the State of property held on a religious tenure. Pope Pius VI., the "Apostolic pilgrim," travelled o Vienna in 1782, hoping that he might permade Joseph II. to alter his policy. The journey gave striking evidence that a Roman Pontiff could still reckon upon the devotion of multitudes in Catholia lands. It was a first intimation that the Church would one day throw herself upon the people. But no other good came of that pilgrimage; and it furnished a precedent when Napoleon summoned Pius VII. to crown him at Notre Dame as the new Charlemagne.

We have uttered the spell-breaking and spell-binding name which tells us that Revolution stands at the doors. It had crossed the Atlantic with Franklin and Lafayette.

America, says a thoughtful writer, applying Bacon's phrase about his own system to facts in history, was "the greatest birth of time." Emphatically the "New World," it not only doubled man's earthly dominion but gave to his experiments a scope without limit. Itopia might he found or created across the bean. To plant a second Europe, the mere pitation of the first, on Atlantic shores, and possible; for how set up Emperor,

182 PAPACY AND HODERN TIMES

Pone of a permanent feudal system where n such institutions had grown, while the origins claimed supremacy and would not suffe competition? In the secrets of the future lay two ideas which America was destined to reclize, and which their advocates would term Democracy and Disestablishment. The people were to be the State, and the State was not to be lord of the Church. In Europe. hitherto, a republic had been no more than a monarchy discrowned; man, as man, was not a citizen, but only man as in some way qualified; such is the exact meaning of the term "franchise," a right which I have and you have not. The liberties of a city were its boundaries, shutting out king, noble, prelate. Individual freedom could not exist save by a charter. Humanity, in itself, gave no claim at law. It is true that Roman jurisconsults employed a language that has left its traces on the political dissertations of the eighteenth century. But until America "shouted to Liberty," as Grattan finely said, all freedom was privilege. When her voice was heard privilege made ready for battle. This is the story of mankind since, in Hoston, Harbour, ertain chests of tea were flung overboard by he patives of Massachusetts disguised as

188

llowed. Bishop Berkeley sang this great

Westward the course of empire takes its way.

The first four acts already past,

A fifth shall close the drama with the day.

Time's noblest offspring is the last.

While Christendom was one, and religious unity existed, the ideal embodied in the Holy Roman Empire could inspire poets, govern laws, and protect faith. In the entury of enlightenment, as Voltaire said, the hantom which bore this title was "neither bly, nor Roman, nor empire"; religious hity had given place to sects ever more umerous; unbelievers were to be found n every country of Europe. How then was t possible to carry on a government which supposed that all its subjects held one creed? Establishment and a Test Act had been the ule in England. The wars of religion laid vaste Germany. To banish Huguenots and ut Jansenists outside the law had failed to ring religious peace among Frenchmen. low the Society of Jesus was persecuted in sturn ; and where would the les talionis end a tavages

Cas shing was clear,—the old founds

184 PAPACY AND MODERN TIMES

Mouarchy, as D'Argenson perceived before 1750, was undermined by the Republican sentiment which demanded equal laws and liberty of conscience for all. These conclusions, not due to speculative philosophers, came as a natural consequence after Versailles had shown how impotent was a "Great King " to secure the prosperity of his kingdom. The banished Huguenots had seaten Louis XIV.: Port Royal in ruins was a Jansenist victory. Elsewhere, Penal Statutes were falling into discredit; and the Catholic Church, in Ireland or in Austria. sighed for freedom. In a divided Christendom the system of the Middle Ages could no longer be maintained. It was fast coming a memory or an ideal.

Lord Baltimore had recognized these facts, at the very time when Puritans were building states in New England on the principle of exclusion. The Statutes of Maryland mark the beginnings of equality before the law, as it was afterwards proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence (1776). The first amendment of 1791 to that Declaration says, "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech of the press." Raligious liberty.

yas thus made a fundamental law of the united States. It had been already adnitted in Pennsylvania. Now it became a ornerstone of Democracy, to be practised n the largest dimensions of any political rganism extant among men. The Amendment irectly contradicted the Jus reformandi ranted to rulers by the Peace of Westphalia. t withdrew from cognisance of the State eligious questions, leaving them to be lecided by a higher tribunal.

Such was the American solution, which we av associate with Washington's name. The reach, to be considered hereafter, was rived in its earlier stage from the Jansenists, no dictated the Civil Constitution of the rgy in 1790; and its final shape as the ncordat is due to Napoleon. It contrats the American idea no less evidently an the American overthrows the system of estphalia. In the French declaration of e Rights of Man and the citizen "liberty worship" is described as so natural that nly the presence of tyranny requires it be explicitly mentioned. The Constituent ssembly and Napoleon thought otherwise. the Catholic religion, in particular, so the onstitution and the First Consul declared, chica, was due; but from the elergy both

186 PARASY AND MODERN TIMES

exacted a servitude as complete as it | ever been under Louis XIV.

Let us take these clues to guide us throu the French Revolution, which was wreck as a movement towards freedom when touched the Rock of St. Peter. That is figure of speech, it is truth of history. (looking upon the peace and progress wherel the American Union has become, in Lo Acton's words, " a community more powerfu more prosperous, more intelligent, and more free than any other which the world he seen," we may ask the reason why. S far as language can make them identical, th French Rights of Man do not differ from thos upheld by the Declaration of Independence Why then, had France religious trouble culminating under the Republic in the Vendean tragedy, while Napoleon after signing the Concordat deposed and imprisoned the Pope with whom he had made it? The answer to this question, if it can be found, will give us a master key to present and future problems on both sides of the Atlantic

CHAPTER VI

ROM THE REVOLUTION TO WATERLOO (1789-1815. CHATEAUBRIAND, "GENIUS OF CHRISTIANITY." CONSALVI AND PACCA, "MEMOIRS")

THE American Revolution nearly coincides with the death of Louis XV. Counting from 1624, when Richelieu took theoreins. one hundred and fifty years had gone by, during which the French King was the State and the Church personified; but the people, the Tiers Etat, were nothing. The clergy, indeed, constituted a self-taxing body, and as an estate of the realm met regularly for the despatch of business. High Court prelates, in France as elsewhere, often led unchristian lives. A few bishops and abbots enjoyed excessive revenues; the clergy were ill-paid, shamefully neglected, and handled with a deal of scorn, even by that Cristophe de Besumont stready named, who was an edifying Archbishop of Paris, and very unlike Cardinal de Retz, his predecessor of the Fronde in Loss, Living away from Marly and other . king's houses, the French print was, by the that loss him devol

unworldly, his people's friend, and at hear democratic, but not disloyal. In 1789 he wa called upon to send his representatives to the States-General at Versailles. He did so, and these "democrats in cassocks," to the number of one hundred and forty-nine, went over en masse to the Third Estate (June 19, 1789) to be followed by the rest of the clerical deputies, thus creating a National Assembly that was to "conquer its king." To this extent the clergy made the Revolution with a willing heart.

They did more. On August 4, 1789, in one single session at night, the whole régime of feudalism was overturned. It is not easy to improve on the sentence in which this portentous change has been summed up, " Liberty, until now known as privilege, was henceforward to be identified with equality." The clergy were willing to commute their tithe; they surrendered to the nation rights held sacred and inviolable for over a thousand years. The Fourth of August is certainly a touching moment in human story. It lays bare the generous heart of France; it justifies the enthusiasm which burst into lyric capus nion on the lips of Charles Fox and in the nottry of Wordsworth; but it was a managed de beautiful de dant And as mande the

ergy, their action grandly illustrates the ving of the Italian priest who was likewise Italian patrict, Rosmini, at another critical och, "Liberty and equality are the essence the priesthood." When, on August 8, 789, the Marquis de Lacoste moved to pay a ew loan out of Church funds and to abolish ithe, not one ecclesiastic opposed him. ieves, keenest and strangest of French clerics who have been statesmen, protested that he landlord would gain what the clergy ost, and this very thing came to pass, August 11 the Church gave up its claim. endowment was begun : but disestablishment. which would have brought freedom to religion. was an idea too liberal for any French Government effectively to grant it.

On August 26 the Declaration of the Rights of Man was voted; it makes no mention of an established Church. The "voluntary system" would have implied one of two things—either to give the Free Church compensation for its property, now taken over by the State; or to let it go penniless and find support in the generosity of its adherents. A third county was decreed in the Civil Constitution of the generosity of its adherents. A third county was decreed in the Civil Constitution of the generosity of its adherents. A third county was decreed in the Civil Constitution of the generosity of its adherents. A third county was decreed in the Civil Constitution of the generosity of its adherents. A third county was decreed in the Civil Constitution of the civil Constitution

wwe to be appointed, by election, that is to say, by the wotes of citizens, no matter what their belief; and the Holy See was no longer to institute bishops. In one word, the Rights' of Man had brought forth a National Church unable to move hand or foot without permission of a State official who need not be a Christian. This pattern has been imitated in all Constitutions moulded on the principles It is the Latin democratic model. It led up to the flight and execution of Louis XVI., the Reign of Terror, the War in La Vendée. It created the deep gulf which on the Continent separates Rome from the modern State. As in substance adopted by the Bourbons after their Restoration in 1814, it weakened and divided their followers until they were thrust out for good and all during the Three Days of July, 1880. .

But to leave these consequences for the present, we remark that Talleyrand, still Bishop of Autun, and Mirabeau (October 10, November 10, 1789) carried through the Assembly a law which placed the whole property of the French Church at Government disposal; and notes assigned on it, assigned, were issued soon afterwards. In February, 1770, monator was were tensived at the contraction of the c

future institutions of the kind forbidden. Liberty of worship " was guaranteed by the Rights of Man. These measures furnished a commentary on them, speaking more loudly than that most eloquent text, and pointing its significance to Catholics outside France. But the Assembly went farther. It imposed an oath, amounting to a dogmatic affirmation, on bishops and clergy, which "broke the alliance between the curés and the commons," and compelled the Holy See to intervene. Jansenist influences, guided by Le Camus and Treilhard, decided its form. month of May, 1790, marks the dividing and fatal line, at which the Revolution broke off from the Roman Church. By "a series of hostile enactments, carefully studied and long pursued." the Assembly turned into implacable enemies a clergy that desired nothing more ardently than freedom. America, choosing to stand by its Declaration, had secured to itself the world's leadership. France, wedded to Louis XIV., in spite of its bill of divorce. entered on the path of anti-clerical persecution which it is treading still, one hundred and twenty years after religious liberty was proclaimed to be the malienable right of all men. thine se its custom is, moved slowly, and tion for Louis XVI., and become

THE PARACY AND MODERN TIMES

any concessions to the new order of this would instantly provoke similar demands of the part of Continental rulers elsewhere. T new bishoprics, revenues, and local powers election, if safeguarded, might not be alto gether declined; but the Holy See would never give up the right of institution. While the Cardinals were deliberating, Louis, unde the eyes of an infuriated populace, set hi seal to the Constitution. Thus were created says Lord Acton, "the motive and the machinery of civil war." It broke out immediately. The country rang with dissen sions between "Nonjurors" and "Consti The Abbé Grégoire took the tutionals." oath (December 27, 1790), and many thous ands of clergy, perhaps nearly one-third followed his example. But Pius VI. in March 1791, condemned the Church legislation, and it was rejected without delay by all except a handful of bishops, by the clergy at large, and by most Catholics.

Here, too, was a fresh beginning. The Rope came into direct contact with a Church that his predecessors had been accustomed to guide by means of the State. The Civil Constitution, by which it was intended to get up a Gallican democracy, and the contact of the contact which it was intended to get up a Gallican democracy.

WATERLOO

he hear period, would be Count Joseph Maistre. When the French Church rose n, it would have ceased to be Gallican. the Articles of 1682 would no longer ken fervour in clerical assemblies. Rather swear an oath which Rome considered al to apostasy, the King fled. He was ight back in triumph; and the Legislaproceeded to deprive "refractory" priests their stipends and to decree their banishent. These measures of November 1791, and ay, 1792; Louis refused to sign. He became Monsieur Veto." The Tuileries were stormed August 10, 1792, and the monarchy of ovis, Charlemagne, and St. Louis, the lest in Europe, fell before the Paris comme. led to the assault by Jacobins.

After this fashion, thanks to a union of forces rtly Gallican, partly anti-Christian, France one blow lost King and Constitution. Injuring priests were ordered to leave the untry without delay. For such as refused edience, transportation to Guiana was the nalty. A price was set on their heads.

rd was happily chosen; the idea came because and the Social Contract

put outside the law, and treated as wil beasts to be shot wherever seen. In Septem ber, 1798, atheism was decreed. The Christia year had been abolished twelve month earlier: Churches were closed all over France or became "Temples of Reason." Gregoire sitting alone in the Convention as a lega bishop, defended freedom even for Catholics But the guillotine, the drownings in the Loire the destruction of La Vendée, gave him his answer. Persecution renewed the scenes of primitive martyrdom, the catacombs, the prisons sanctified by Christian heroism. Monks and nuns were slaughtered; the French wife and mother now became enthusiastically Catholic, while the husband was indifferent or a poltroon. The two Frances, never since reconciled, were definitely forming.

The Terror passed; but even in October, 1797, death was ordered by law to be inflicted on emigrant priests who should return, and until the elections of 1797 "every priest was in fact, as well as in theory, in deadly peril." There was a remnant of the Constitutional Church, discredited and enslaved. What the rench Catholics wanted was the old religion; nany were no longer royalists; and if the interior statesmen had been school they

eir advice to governors and governed. On ntember 1, 1797, a law was enacted, but most immediately repealed, which looked in his direction. Between that date and Novemer, 1799, lettres de cachet, involving transortation or death, were issued against 9951 riests in France and Belgium, accused of fanaticism." Bonaparte might well ask, as e did at Toulon on his way to Egypt, "Have he soldiers of liberty become executioners?"

But the speaker himself had made possible he crime which in these words he reprobated: of it was Bonaparte who, on the 18 of Fructilor (September 4, 1797), gave supreme power nto the hands of the Jacobin Directory. His ampaigns in Italy were for conquest and lunder, varnished with phrases taken from he revolutionary jargon. But he was sursuing a definite personal aim: and he hought the Italians unworthy, the French acapable, of freedom. He had no scruples: digion did not trouble him. In June. 1796. e had invaded Bologna, a Papal city, where he Senate swore an oath of allegiance to the lepublic, and trees of Liberty were planted. ius VL was compelled to buy a truce from lonaparte (June 28, 1796) on heavy condi-House which he was mable to fulfil. Then Management spirit America but h

natised on the way to Rome at Telentine and there made peace. The Pope surrendered his claim to Avignon, Bologna, Ferrara Romagna: he gave up manuscripts and treasures of art; he was fined many millions. His sacrifices availed nothing. Disorders in Rome led to a French intervention under Berthier in February, 1798. The Roman Republic was proclaimed by "Jews, apostate monks, and rebels," said Bonaparte after wards. On February 20, Pius VI., escorted by Republican soldiers, was made to quit the Vatican for a long and painful pilgrimage to parts unknown. It ended eighteen months later at Valence, in Dauphine, where he died, and where his body remained another four months without burial. "It is not strange," says Macaulay, summing up these events, "that in the year 1799 even sagacious observers should have thought that, at length, the hour of the Church of Rome had come."

SECTION II

THE FORTUNES OF PIUS VII. (1800-1815)

CERTAINEY it was in Biblical language, the "consummation of the age." But fills had language transport defined its

adependence in 11776; and the Catholic estoration was heralded by singular tokens. When France, Spain, and the United States combined in 1778 against England, the Penal laws were straightway relaxed. Irish and English Catholics, as it was said, saw the day lawn across the Atlantic. Their colleges broad were dissolved by the French Revolution: and Pitt associated himself with Burke in founding a seminary for priests at Maynooth, Burke, religious and conservative by temper, proclaimed with matchless eloquence the principles of a society in which were to be united liberty and authority under the true Law of Nature. The prophet of what has been called since that time Ultramontanism, a Savoyard by birth, a Frenchman by mastery of the language, Count de Maistre, was already committing to print views and opinions which would transform the Gallican clergy to apostles of the Vatican. A marvellous prose-poet, traveller in American wilds, mystic and politician at once, Chateaubriand, was meditating on the "genius of Christianity." And O'Connell and Lamennais were born, and with them Cavour's formula. "A Free Church in a

THE PARALY AND MODERN TIMES

the clergy; but thousands of parishes in France now had their Mass and their priest as of old, with a devotion intensified by all that, during ten years of glorious sufferings, had endeared the pastor to his flock. Freedom, so long the enemy of re ligion, had become its friend. A vicious prelacy could not exist in days of persecution The Church lands were gone; monasteries in ruins or converted to secular uses, were memories of a past remote by comparison with Republican atrovities of vesterday. Nothing was more evident than that the French Church would revive; that the people desired it; and that if it could preach and teach freely, it would exercise a power such as it had never possessed under the Crown. Would any Government, however framed and named, allow it such liberty while the inveterate tradition of Regalism held sway at Paris? The First Consul replied by inventing the Concordat of 1802.

Napoleon's reign in France lasted under the titles of Consul and Emperor about fifteen years. It restored the monarchy of Louis KIV. as designed by Richelieu, without nobles or intermediate self-sustaining bodies of any kind. Richelieu, Bonaparte, the Rivolution.

POPTENES OF PIUS VII. 199

hat all agencies in Church and State shall age their orders from a minister, and the ninister from the Chief of the executive lower. The Girondists attempted & Federal ystem and were guillotined in consequence. Robespierre, perhaps we should say Carnot, interpreted the principles correctly which have always inspired French statesmen; and no doubt it was Bonaparte's unrivalled feeling for reality that, by giving these principles an application in detail at once striking and successful, convinced the nation of his right to govern them. The French desire to be much "administered"; they adore a strong man; and their idea of strength is to interfere decisively in another man's business. Philosophers recognize the military type as at all times dominating French history; and Napoleon, who was constructing a barrack for his twenty-five millions of subjects, did not refuse them a chapel within the enclosure. Its chaplain was to be the Pope, receiving a salary, bound by the Articles of 1682, resident in Paris or Avignon. Such is the whole purpose of the Concordat, which its creator would never look upon as a treaty between equal contracting parties; ik merely simulated that department of the Stain Appears on the Catholic Church. "I

regard religion," he said in 1806, "not as the mystery of the Incarnation, but as the secre of social order." He had acted on this view in Egypt; he was now meaning to apply it in France.

And so he turned to Pius VII., lately elected at Venice, but in his sympathies not Austrian who had entered Rome, July 8, 1800. In June, the battle of Marengo had given Italy once more to the French. Bonaparte sent a sketch of the future agreement, as he conceived of it, to the Pope on June 25. and a remarkable outline it is. Constitutional Church was to disappear; the number of bishoprics must be reduced. and many emigrant bishops deprived; the clergy would have adequate but not luxurious stipends: the Pope might ofreely exercise spiritual jurisdiction over the Gallican Church. and he alone should give its prelates canonical institution, but the State was to nominate them. Finally, the First Consul would reinstate the Pope in all his dominions.

It was a tempting offer, and almost a miracle in the light of previous events. The Revolution had done its atmost to destroy Catholicism; it was now prepared to recognize and establish the ancient Church not on a Gallican but on a Papel foundation.

PORTURES OF PIUS VII

What was the alternative? Madame de tail (a woman of rare genius and insight, but Vapoleon's enemy) tells us that sincere atholics would have been well content with in American system, which she calls "tolera-The American Constitution does not 'tolerate' religion; it respects conscience and leaves religious associations to manage heir own affairs. But she would probably have in view such a law as that of September 9, 1795, by which the French Government lecreed separation of Church and State with consequent freedom of, worship. This plan ad never been carried through. In all European countries except Holland free eligious association was a thing unknown ind not understood. The Cardinals of the Roman Curia had been accustomed for enturies to see religion either protected or ersecuted by the State; and these appeared till to be the alternatives under an absolute uler like Bonaparte. No doubt they were. buld the Holy Father, then, ask the muchried French Catholics, who were now begining to breathe freely, that they should forego fanifest advantages, submit to fresh tribulaions, and withstand the conqueror at the noment when he was holding out to them and ive

Hildebrand nor an Innocent III. He was gentle and most engaging Benediction monk, of Hildebrand's monastery at a Paul's outside Rome, but cast in another mould. On the ordinary laws of pruden in the interest of the Church, he could report the first Consul's invitation Accordingly, he sent his Secretary of Sta Cardinal Consalvi, to Paris.

Consalvi. by far the ablest man associat with Vatican memories in the last centu until Leo XIII. rose to be "Lumen in coelwas by birth Roman, by descent Pisan. had suffered with Pius VI., and on the Por exice was committed for several months the Castle of Sant' Angelo, Secretary of Conclave in Venice, he was now launched the career of danger and vicissitude to wh all were exposed who had dealings w Bonaparte. But the Pisan proved a ma for the Corsican, except that he could a fall back on thirty legions. Arriving in Pa June 20, 1801, he was graciously received the Tuileries by Napoleon amid his court in a theatre. Negotiations went on v Bernier, Joseph Bonaparte, and the F Consul himself, whose method, made as it of promises, threatenings, and deceit, no sta man of the Regalisance could have bester

The dramatic story of one project torn n by Napoleon and flung in the fire, of a alse copy substituted for the true, and iscovered only at the last moment, must e read in Consalvi's membirs. On July 5, 1801, the document was at length igned which bound the Church by links of teel and gold to every French Government lown to the year 1905. On Easter Day. 1802, this mariage de convenance, as it was wittily called, found solemn expression at the High Mass in Notre Dame, attended by the Consuls with military pomp. Chateaubriand's dazzling rhetoric in his "Genius of Christianity" hailed it with an epithalamium unequalled for magnificence and pathos in any French prose later than Bossuet. Consalvi had won a diplomatic victory. The First Consul had overcome resistance from his ministers and generals, from freethinkers. Liberals, and the constitutional clergy. Pius VII. never forgot, in all his subsequent misfortunes, this "saving act of Christian" heroism." on the part of Napoleon. To speak as the French love to do, " the Revolution had gone to Mass." Louis XVIII. and the emigrants protested; but the land had religious peace.

Mint then was the Concordat! In

substance, it renewed that of 1516 wit Francis I. Government appointed, Roma instituted the bishops of France. But in stead of a propertied Church there were salaried officials. The various rights o patronage ceased; and every bishop name the curés in his diocese, with their assistant during pleasure, all paid rather scantily from the State exchequer. Religious order were not mentioned; they had no legal existence. Other worships, Protestant and Hebrew, were put on a similar establishment by decrees with which this Concordat was not implicated. But, on the one hand, Boraparte required from Pius VII. an act of power without precedent; on the other he added such an epilogue to the paper he had signed as to transform its character. The act which Pius VII. executed on compulsion was to break up the old French hierarchy, dating in popular belief from companions of the Apostles, to deprive thirty-seven emigrant bishops who would not resign, to persuade many others, and to accept the Government plan of a new ecclesiastical France. Most of the former bishops yielded gracefully. But for some years a "Petite Eglise" stood out against Rome. The abolition and reconstitution of the

Gallican Church by the Pope was, although Bonaparte did not perceive it, the end of Gallicanism. It was the Fourth of August over again. For on that night privileges were swept away and only the supreme authority was left. Napoleon, therefore, is the chief precursor of the Vatican Council, and of its decree which recognizes in the Pope ordinary jurisdiction over every bishop in Christendom. But this logic was hidden from his eyes, and he proceeded to tack on to the Concordat his "Organic Articles," which may be shortly described as French Acts of Præmunire, making the entrance and publication of Papal documents to depend on a Government placet, forbidding recourse of the bishops to Rome, and compelling the clergy to subscribe the Declaration of 1682.

All this meant more than the old servitude. especially as the Articles forbade every Church establishment except the seminaries of the bishops. It reduced that which had been ar estate of the realm to a department like the University. It divided the bishops from the Holy See and the clergy from the people A system no less illogical than despotie, i sowed the seeds of religious war by creating perpetral antagonism between the head o that Covernment and the Roman Curis

Napoleon had employed Pius VII. to get ri of the old Church in its historical form, and o the new or constitutional. He then wished to make of the Pope a mere formal instrument such as the servile ministers were who wrote out his decrees. When he became Emperor the sovereign Pontiff was brought in triumph to Paris, that the scene of Charlemagne's consecration as Emperor of the West might be renewed. It was done,—with a significant variation, for Napoleon crowned himself. At Milan he assumed the Iron Crown of Lombardy, setting in motion another train of ideas and aspirations. For the Italian kingdom was a sign lifted up to modern Ghibellines, to those who knew the name and projects of Rienzi, to readers of the marvellous page where Machiavelli in his "Prince" concludes with an exhortation to let the 'Liberator of Italy" appear. Would Milan be his capital when he came? The Italians vorshipped Napoleon, but they began to ream of Liberty.

And so Pius VII., once mere in Rome, was starget for the imperial shafts. He could not gree to the organic Articles; the Legations ad other provinces of the Holy See were snied him; the new Charlemagna talked of ome as his own city. The prisis arrived

with a strong letter of Napoleon's, dated ?ehmary 18, 1806, in which he said, "Your Holiness is Sovereign in Rome, but I am the Roman Emperor." Pius VII. must break off liplomatic relations with the enemies of France, expel their subjects, and close his ports to them. He refused, Consalvi retired. and Napoleon made up his mind to incorporate the capital of Catholicism with his growing Empire. On February 2, 1808, General Miollis entered by the Porta del Popolo. He occupied the city until June 10, 1809, when the Papal arms were torn down from the Castle of St. Angelo, and the tricolour wa hoisted. By a decree at Schönbrunn th victorious Emperor had united the Pope' territories to his own dominions. The Pop solemnly excommunicated him on that ver day. Pius would not abdicate, and on July he was taken off to Florence. His captivit lasted nearly five years.

This inevitable outcome of Napoleon policy was a profound mistake. Had I been opposed by an Innocent III., publication might have condoned his forcible act though never his brutality. But Pius VI was an angel of peace, not intriguing a net resisting, who still with patient firms.

other savereigns lay in the dust before the Corsican Attila. And Attila was resolve on a divorce that he might found a dynasty but the Pope his prisoner would not brea a marriage that, to the Pope's knowledge was valid. Furthermore, the demi-god, which Napoleon now was in his own esteen demanded from all future pontiffs an oath callegiance to the French Emperor.

While he kept the defenceless old man in lonely prison at Savona, he drove the Cardi nals together at Paris. He degraded those who would not attend his wedding with Marie Louise; and, when the Pope declined to institute his bishops, called a Council ir Notre Dame, which was to act without and contrary to Papal authority. The Council met, trembled, but would not obey (June 17-August 5, 1811). Under extreme pressure, it asked the Pope to sanction the institution of bishops by the archbishop in an emergency. and he did so. Before starting for Moscow. the Caliph (as Napoleon was fond of describing himself) ordered that Pius should be taken to Fontainebleau, there to await the victor's eturn. When that happened, the Papacy was to be transferred to Paris, the spiritual o be separated from the temporal power, and. aid Napoleon in the same breath, "Luguid

are governed he world both of politics and ligion." His dream vanished amid the nows of Russia; it dropped with his soldiers' uskets on that wintry march, and sank in he ice-drifts of the Beresina.

But he would not let his victim go free. he Pope lingered at Fontainebleau, half dead nd with enfeebled mind, from June 16, 1812. ntil the Emperor suddenly came thither. n January 18 of next wear, to enforce fresh lemands. The beaten man was playing for lesperate stakes. Without help or advice n which he could rely, the Pope yielded so ar as to sign a new Concordat, giving up his ight of institution. The effort almost deprived im of reason, and on March 24 he withdrew is signature, extorted thus by sheer violence ifter a long imprisonment. It was clear to ill the world that constraint alone had wrung rom the Holy Father a momentary adhesion the Emperor's wishes. The Concordat was published and had force of law, during he brief period now remaining before Napoleon himself abdicated under the same roof at Fontaineblean.

By that date the Pope was taken back to Savona which he left again on March 19, 1814, few days previous to the decision and at Dampierre by the Allies to

advance on Paris. May 24 saw the Apostolic prisoner free, and triumphantly returning to his capital, where Spanish and Sardinian sovereigns and Marie Louise of Etruria waited for him. During the Hundred Days he retired before Murat, to Genoa; but on June 17. 1815, he made his fourth and last entrance into Rome. Two days afterwards the Congress of Vienna resolved that St. Peter's successor should have restored to him not only the Petrimony, but the Marches, the Legations, Beneventum and Pontecorvo. This extraordinary event was due to Consalvi. who had proved himself equal to the assembled diplomatists of Europe, as he had previously withstood Napoleon to his face.

The fallen Emperor set out on his voyage to St. Helena in the British vessel "Northumberland," on August 10, 1815. He died at Longwood, May 5, 1821; and the Pope, whom he had so deeply injured, lamented him with tears. Manzoni chanted his requiem in the musical and sympathetic ode which stirred Italian hearts to their deepest. After all, the genius of Napoleon was native in its origin to Florence; and they might claim the conqueror and lawgiver of Europe as their kith and kin.

CHAPTER VII

FROM WATERLOO TO THE FALL OF ROME (1815-1870. DE MAISTRE, "ON THE POPE": NEILSEN, "PAPACY IN XIXTH CENTURY," II.)

THE Holy Alliance, Metternich, the Carbonari, the Sanfedisti, the Ordinances and the Three Days of July, Lamennais, the "Affairs of Rome," Thiers and Guizot, the "Year of Revolutions "-who is there now living that has a clear remembrance of these things and the period to which they belong? They are gone "with the years beyond the Flood." Reader, can you make an effort of goodwill and imagination, to recall for one brief moment this interlude between the defeat of Napoleon at Waterloo and the rise of Italy to independence? It has ended in the setting up of a new and Protestant German Empire on the ruins of that which for a thou sand years had professed to be Holy and Roman. It has brought in the reign of democracy acknowledged and making laws in all Parliaments. From the Congress of Vienna to the Council of the Vatican is, it would now appear, but an episode, at the 211

elose of which, and on the fall of Rome, that spirit, imprisoned rather than set free in the Declaration of 1789, was to come into possession of the world-powers, and to dictate the programme of history.

Rone is, in the era which we have yet to sum up and consider, strangely symbolical The European movement centres round it We may fruitfully compare the Pope's sites. tion to that of St. Gregory the Great, between a dying Empire which he would have gladly defended, and the onset of barbarian tribes. St. Gregory was loyal and despairing-we see it in his letters, we hear it in his discourses to the Roman people. In the nineteenth century the Pope's encyclical epictles are great laments, uttered as the ancient order of things is breaking up and is falling into the gulf of oblivion. They are full of pathos, while they provoke the aspiring Liberal to scorn them as impotent, and the revolutionary to continue his successful assault on institutions which he hates, but has not altogether destroyed. Yet on a large review these allocutions will be found to have pleaded the cause of spiritual freedom. Their opposition to Casar has made for progress. And if we discern, as we tought, the severe elessic features of Napoleon behind every one

TO THE PARL OF ROME 14

hat strikes at the claim to voluntary associaion with which religion is connected, we hall come to understand that there is a lemocracy whose rights the Vatican watches over. The Pope can never be a Regalist; the absolute State will always persecute him.

For lack of spiritual insight Napoleon, though so amazing a man of genius, had made war on nationality in England, Spain and Russia: on religion in all his dominions: and on freedom everywhere. The nations had risen and had pulled down the Colossus. But when the Allies were settling Europe at Vienna, while professing to defend religion, they conspired against liberty, and they trampled on national feelings. Especially did they cut and carve the Italian peninsula, as though it were nothing better than the corpse of antiquity. But nations were no longer minded to be the playthings of dynasties, old or new. Ireland, Poland, Greece, Belgium, uttered their claim for recognition as loudly as Spain or Germany, flushed with pride after a war of Liberation. The principles of 89 had been written in an abstract dialegt; but the nations were stubborn realities, each determined to live its own life.

Again, the movement in literature called Routentiein favoured every attempt which

MA PARACY AND MODERN TIMES

revived home memories, gave new charm to the ancient language and customs of the race, and protected smaller communities from absorp tion in a colourless civilization. We feel the oncoming of this great change in Chateau briand's writings, in Scott, Byron, and above all in Goethe, from whom these poets and story-tellers learnt much of their craft And how should Italy not be touched by the same influence? But Austria held Lombardy and Venetia-in an iron clasp. Naples had been given back to the Bourbons. Even Consalvi, more of a politician than a poet, failed to enter into the significance of Romanticism, and kept up the French system of government in the Papal States. That Italy must be developed on the sound and splendid traditions which were still its own, did not occur to this otherwise clear-eyed ruler of men. Thus, after 1815, the "Risorgimento" -a word as inspiring as the Renaissance three centuries earlier—seemed to portend rebellion from the Alps to Palermo.

Metternich, called by those whom he kept down Mitternacht, or the Prince of Darkness, had come into power when the French Empire was at its height. Without more scruples than, Kaunitz, but made by circumstance the champion of Christendom, he first allied

he Austrian monarchy with Napoleon by he iniquitous marriage that sacrificed Marie ouise, and then declared against him in ime for the Battle of the Nations at Leipzig October 16-18, 1818). During the next hirty-five years Metternich stood as the Reaction incarnate before Europe. In conunction with Alexander of Russia, a sentimental dreamer, and with lesser royal personages, he formed the Holy Alliance, which was intended to support absolute governments by appealing to religion and patriotism. But he dreaded Alexander as capable of exploiting the Jacobin movement, still making itself felt everywhere, to his own advantage. For the Tsar posed as the "Liberator" of Europe. France and Italy were the smoking furnaces of revolution always. The Bourbons could set up old forms again, but to give them life was impossible. A Charter "conceded "by grace of the Crown, English constitutional peculiarities transplanted to Paris, the Concordat of 1516 brought out of its tomb, but ministers like Fouché and Talleyrand retained—the sunf. of these things was confusion. As Chateaubriand wrote, "Religion, ideas, interest, language, earth and heaven, all were conferent for the People and the King,

separated by twenty-five years which were equivalent to centuries." Russia, so Metternich believed, was provoking the Liberals in Latin countries to secret confederacy and open revolt. The rising in Naples of 1820 enabled kim, once for all, to get from Alexander an approval of the Austrian system, which reduced Italy to a name on the map, and made its potentates, including the Holy See, subject to Vienna.

Thus, by nethods of repression as Napoleon by setting on his brows the Iron Crown. Metternich awoke in many minds, and especially among the youth growing to manhood, a deep yearning for the free united Italy to be restored, which had once been mistress of the world. A boy-poet, Leopardi, gave piercing expression to these dangerous thoughts. In the Two Sicilies, a kind of political camorra sprang up, whose members, bound by secret oaths and advocates of regicide doctrines, called themselves Carbonari, charcoal-burners. The Papal Government, transformed by two French occupations, was neither old nor new. Chateaubriand says brilliantly that in Rome " the French left their principles behind them "; it would be more exact to observe that they had created a problem and left its solution to others.

Italians, and among them the Holy Father's jubjects, were ambitious of a share in the world's progress, material and industrial no less than political. But the famous question demanded a reply, "How was the rovernment to be carried on?," Nepotism, which gave the Pope trusty ministers, was dead long ago. The Cardinals had lost their wealth, and could not, as in times past. spare the people from heavy burdens of taxation. Clerics alone occupied important posts and administered the offices of State. Moreover, on the Napoleonic system, which Consalvi did not alter, a centralized rule swept away local customs and privileges, dear to these old cities, which in their fierce self-idolatry were as Greek as Thebes or Megara had been.

When Pius VII. died Consalvi's reign was over. Leo XII, governed with a reformer's zeal and severity. But the Romans, it is said, did not like him at all; his Vigilance Committee was hated: and Cardinal Rivarola's action in putting down the Carbonari at Ravenna (1825) excited widespread indignation. A veiled civil war is the only description that will express the condition of Italy and the Papal States during the years from 1820 to 1848. Amid

such a conflict of ideas and parties refo could be hardly attempted, nor was likely to succeed. Leo XII. was not oppos to the Charter in France nor unwilling recognize that the world had entered fresh paths. He said to the remarkal man whom we have quoted above, and who memoirs give a lively picture of the time "The Catholic Church has prospered the midst of republies as in the bosom monarchies in it has made immense progre in the United States; it reigns alone i Spanish America." Consalvi had advise Leo to treat directly with insurgent people across the Atlantic, disregarding Spain' pretensions, and the Holy See did so, following its rule of setting religious interests before old alliances. But Chateaubriand held that the Papal Government needed young blood and instruments not yet created. Cardinals born previous to 1789 were by temper and experience strengthened in their resistance to ideas that had been bathed in blood Moreover, Rome could not boast of the resources that were necessary to carry through an extensive programme. It was clear to observers that events in the great world outside would determine the future of the Holy See These events were not slow in coming. he Restoration, kept afload by Louis XVIII.,

fatigued Voltairian, suffered shipwreck nder his light-minded brother Charles X. vexed earnest Catholics by a sort of feeble allicanism, irritated Liberals, led to the efinite rise of the "anti-clerical," who ever nce has made war on Jesuits, and gave self over to the "ignorant and visionary" olignac, who, by advising the ordinances I July, 1830, against the liberty of the press, rought the Bourbon monarchy to the ground. ouis Philippe, son of "Egalité" and citizening, took its place. The "Three Days of uly" were a victory for Liberal ideas-but ot a defeat for the Church. Why not? ecause, answers M. Faguet, in 1830 the onstitution took away from Government s monopoly of education (insisted upon y Charles X. in 1828), and so gave to athelics, above all to religious orders, a eedom which would have made them idependent. This observation is profoundly 1st. The struggle in modern times between hristian and unchristian theories (which ecides every other) must be fought out 1 the schools.

But that victory, so far as gained, was due

and a journalist whose name was Lamenna He on the Catholic side as Lafayette on t Liberal, had struck for freedom. Lamenn was neither a republican nor a revolutioni To him religion meant everything he he dear. He longed that the Catholic Chur should have power as it has authority, h power by methods apostolic and proper itself, not by coercion from without but persuasion of the candid soul. He had pu lished in 1829 his "Essay on Indifference Matters of Religion," on the appearance which Frayssinous," the Gallican bishe exclaimed, " It is a book to awaken the dead It electrified the reading world in France its sombre, incisive eloquence. Its auth was hailed as the Catholic Rousseau: al like his Genevan prototype he showed hir self almost morbidly sensitive to criticisi Leo XII. welcomed him at the Vatican, s up the French apologist's portrait in his priva room, and as it would seem, created in cardinal " in petto "; but he was not allow by the French Government to announce h elevation.

On April 20, 1826, the extraordina sight was seen of a priest charged before the magistrates in Paris by the publiprosecutor, under a Cathelie ministry, will

ving. by a recent pamphlet, "effaced the undaries which eparate spiritual from mporal power; proclaimed the supremacy d infallibility of the Pope; and admitted the Roman Pontiff the right of deposing inces and releasing their subjects from the th of fealty." The priest was the Abbé Lamennais. He refused the Court's jurisction: reiterated the statements of which was accused: and was fined thirty francs say thirty pieces of silver. Various prelates nt up loval addresses to the throne. amennais reminded them scornfully that there was in the world a person named the ope." So low had Gallicanism fallen! The sion of a Catholic democracy dawned on m, as he contemplated Ireland rising with 'Connell and forcing an alien Protestant arliament to grant emancipation. Another ountry, Belgium, free from the Gallican int had begun its fight for independence not the old creed which it was speedily to win. ut neither Belgians nor Irish Catholics iffered from "the terrible disease called oyalism."

Such were the sentiments that moved amennais to answer the ordinances of 1828. y his work "On the Progress of the Reveition and the War against the Church."

in February, 1829. It insisted as a right on liberty of the press, of education, of conscience. The stir which it created was indescribably great. Its author had crossed the gulf opened in 1790 between Catholicism and the Revolution. The Days of July followed, and liberty was promised in the Charter, but the promise was broken without delay. Then Lamennais founded L'Avenir to propagate his doctrine, and L'Agence Catholique To denounce the assaults of Government officials on religious freedom. Trials, condemnations, could not stop the movement. Ministers were alarmed, bishops charged against L'Avenir. In an unlucky moment three "pilgrims of liberty" made their way to Rome-Lamennais, Lacordaire, Montalembert. They would not be satisfied until Gregory XVI. had pronounced judgment on their politico-religious views.

He did so in the Encyclical "Mirart Vos" (August 15, 1882). His judgment was a condemnation. The pilgrims received word of it at Munich and submitted. It has been well said that their appeal to Rome was "the first act in that long agony of Gallicanism which ended with the Vatican Council." As regards *L'Avenir*, this is what Montalembert wrote long afterwards. "To new and reason-

TO THE FALL OF ROME 288

ble ideas, honest in themselves, which have in the last twenty means been the daily bread? Catholic polemics, we had been foolish nough to add extreme and rash theories, and o defend both by means of an absolute logic uch as will be sure to ruin, if it does not ishonour, every cause."

We may illustrate these words from the Hual situation. Lamennais had committed imself to principles which betraved unloubted tendencies towards anarchism; and his at a moment when Europe was shaken by political earthquake. His reasoning was as nexorable as his temperament; and the onsequences might have been disastrous wherever Catholics dwelt. Risings in Belgium ind Poland had taken place after the Revoluion of July. Two months of interregnum followed the death of Pius VIII. on the last day of November, 1880. A monk of Camalioli was elected Pope at Candlemas, 1881; and two days later Bologna revolted, put the Cardinal Legate in prison, and set up a government animated by Carbonari principles. The Austrian troops, hardly waiting for leave from Rome, entered the Legations. France sent a detachment to Ancona. The rebels meanwhile had denounced the Pope's temporal power as a usus pation. Was this Lime

solemnly to approve of a programme which asserted popular sovereignty in the crudes form, and preached the sacred duty of resistance to fulers without reserve or limits, as if the columns of L'Avenir had been repeated done? Gregory XVI., in affirming the traditional principles of obedience an authority, had a strong case; nor was difficult to show that the Catholic Churchad always quoted the language of St. Par in reference of the powers that be."

A further observation is to the purpose The work "De Regimine Principum," as cribed to St. Thomas Aquinas, and the writings of Suarez on political theories may be taken as representing another aspect of the Catholic doctrine, in which an "essential" democracy, liberty, and right of self-defence are maintained. These com plementary views require to be fully con sidered if we would know what is the orthodox tradition as a whole. But it would be too much to expect that the sovereign Pontiff should, on a practical issue and moments of crisis, defeat his own action b an academic balancing of phrases when the time calls for guldance, and social interest are at stake. Gregory XVI. spoke as th Church's governor; while Lamennais would

TO THE FALL OF ROME 225

ve persuaded him to throw in his lot with ench democracy, thostly unbelieving, and eady moving towards anarchical Utopias. By this date of 1882 the fiery Breton had nself become an enemy of the whole social ler. He was meditating and had begun write "The Words of a Believer" which. tones and colours borrowed from the ocalypse, portended a third Revolution. e blood-stained "Days of June" in 1848, th all their violence and atrocity, cannot be iolly dissociated from the passions thus cited. They would never have come to pass d Pope Gregory's Encyclical been obeyed. mennais went his way, from one excess of etrine to another. He tasted the bitterness prison at Sainte Pélagie; his last years ere spent in poverty and isolation; and lies in a nameless tomb at Père la Chaise. Nothing must mark my grave," said the ying man. As Savonarola was the martyr the Renaissance, so Lamennais was the ctim of the Revolution. "Sunt lacryma rum ! "

Although reforms had been urged uponregory XVI. by Metternich and the Powers fay 21, 1881), his reign passed without adertaking any change. Lamennais, who we the future in his dreams, prophesied that

a beginning would be made in the ne pontificate." Italy was once more producin notable if not great men. In 1826 th "Promessi Sposi"—a romance after Scot historical and patriotic-by the Lombar Manzoni appeared. In 1880 the Genom Mazzini transformed the earlier Carbons movement to "young Italy," insurgen republican, idealist. The "mysterious ar terrible conspirator" lay under sentence death from his native Government unt 1866. Among the devout acherents of the Papacy another conception ruled. They de sired to set the Holy Father in his mediava throne, to federate the Italian States under hinf as suzerain, and thus to restore the civil no less than the intellectual primacy which they claimed for the Peninsula.

These were the "new Guelfs," led by Gioberti, of Turin, and Rosmini, of Rovereto philosophic priests and admirable writers Cesare Balbo, the historian, belonged to their school; and Austria was their enemy. But was France. The battle between Gallicans and Ultramontanes went on in Paris; with denis of free education, though promised by the Charter; with episodes like the anti-Jesui leatures of Quinet and Michelet, which prompted Guizot to demand in 1845 at Rom

but the Society in France should be dissolved. is matter of history that the new Guelfs vere not friendly to the Jesuits; but they elieved in freedom. Gregory X.VI. had o choice but to yield; and Pellegrino Rossi, une 28, 1845, announced to his Government hat the French Jesuit province would be bolished. An unsuccessful rising of Mazzinias in the Legations led to the execution of even conspirators by Cardinal Vannicelli's rders. At Rimini the insurrection failed kewise: but Farini put forth a manifesto which renewed the demands of the Great owers in 1881, and claimed an amnesty for political offences. Nearly two thousand Papal ubjects, it is said, were "in exile, proscribed. r under prosecution" when Gregory XVI. lied, May 81, 1846.

SECTION II

HE LOUIS XVI. OF THE PAPACY (1846-1870)

HEN the change came which Lamennais oresaw. Pius IX. was elected. He opened he prison doors, and men cried to one nother that at last the Papa Angelico had ppeared, in whose reign all things were to made new. Handsome, winning, devout,

kind-hearted, of large, benevolent design Giovanni Maria Mastai took the Italia captive at once. 6 He was called in View disdainfully a "reforming pontiff"; at the amnesty provoked Metternich to decla that it invited robbers to set the house fire. But the Pope was without stron advisers, and he had no definite policy. I put himself at the head of an Italian Leagu was not in his thoughts. The Austria Chancellor knew that Europe slept on a vo cano: Cesare Balbo warned the Holy Fathe not to trust in popular manifestations. The continued for many, months; a. council of ministers (July 12, 1847) seemed to promis constitutional government; and in the Forum was heard Sterbini's patriotic chant, th Roman Marseillaise. Not Pius IX. but Rienzi nor yet the new Guelfs, but Mazzini and young Italy, inspired the captains who now led this agitation. Metternich sent Austrian troops into Ferrara. The Pope granted & representative assembly, the Consulta, with c responsible ministers; but Mazzini was conquering.

On January 12, 1848, the long expected upheaval of the Continent began with a revolution at Palermo. The Roman populace shouted, "Down with a clerical ministry."

ins IX. granted all he deemed possible. then the French in February drove out Louis bilippe. Constitutions were the order of he day in Italy, and Charles Albert gave his ardinians the "Statuto" which was destined o grow into the law of the whole country inder Victor Emmanuel. The new Papal statute was published on March 14, 1848. t could not hinder the enforced retirement of the Jesuits from Rome. Metternich had een overthrown and was a fugitive in Engand. The fiedmontese marched against Austria, camped in the plains of Lombardy. Detachments of the Papal army, blessed by Pius IX., were joining the devout and hivalrous Sardinian King, Charles Albert. Would the Pope don the harness of Julius II., and help to drive the Barbarians out of Venice which they had usurped, from the Lombard cities where their rule was detested? Rosmini, "the most enlightened priest in Italy," held the war to be a just one; but he deprecated its renewal by Pied? mont alone; he drew up a plan for the confederation of Italian States under the Pope; and meanwhile he strongly approved of the allocution (April 29, 1848) in which Pius declined to fight against a Christian Power.

At Turin confusion reigned; ministries rose and fell from one month to another Public voices charged the Vatican with deserting; the national cause. In Rome a decided anti-clerical cabinet was formed by Mamiani. The other illustrious priest, Gioberti, who shared with Rosmini fame and influence, made a triumphal progress to and from the Eternal City during these weeks but he was by now devoted to the attainment of "Italia waa," with or without the Pope, Rosmini held to his idea of a Federal union Sent by Charles Albert to the Holy Father in August, 1848, and promised the Cardinal's hat, this high-minded counsellor of modera! tion could only look on at the approaching catastrophe, due in the main to Italians themselves, who would not combine or cease their quarrelling while Austria took up arms once more. Pellegrino Rossi, named Prime Minister by the Pope on September 8; was murdered by a set of conspirators on the stairs of the Cancellaria, when he was entering the hall of Parliament, Novembe 15, 1848. The assembly took no notice, and "passed to the order of the day." Two days, later the Quirinal was besieged by a howling mob, determined to massacre the Swiss guard and take the Pope prisoner. His secretary was

to by his side when Pius appeared on the reat balcony. Mazzinianism had conquered y the use of the dagger. On November 24 he Pope in disguise fled to Gaeta, and the ling of Naples.

In this interval France had undergone the gony of a social uprising known as the Days of June"; the millions in alarm hose for their President Louis Bonaparte n December 10, 1848. The Austrians overowered Charles Albert at Novara, March 3 of the succeeding year; within six days he Roman Republic was proclaimed from he Capitol by Garibaldi, triumvirs were ippointed, and Mazzini became master of Rome. In Gaeta the Pope lingered doubtful of his course. Two men strove before him as n the arena for their respective policies—they were Rosmini and Antonelli. But the saintly philosopher went back, without his Cardinal's hat, to Stresa, defeated. Of his victorious opponent Marion Crawford wrote, "Antonelli was the best hated man of his day, not only in Europe and Italy, but by a large proportion of Churchmen. He was one of those strongs and unscrupulous men who appeared everywhere in Europe as reactionaries in opposition to the great revolution. On a smaller scale he is to be classed with Disraeli, Metternich,

Cavour, and Bismarck." Named to Sacred College in June, 1847, he was ner ordained priest. From now onwards un his death. November 6, 1876, he stood at t Pope's right hand, unremoved by any co bination of enemies or disasters in the politisphere. "He was a fighter and a schen by nature," says Crawford again. His d patches were universally admired, and, wi an army behind him: Antonelli might ha done memorable deeds At nortime a Liber he resolved that Pius IX. should return Rome unfettered by constitutional engage ments. Rosmini warned him that this w equivalent to losing the temporal powe but he went his way.

Catholics in France, growing more and mo Papal, urged upon the Prince President the he should despatch an armed expedition against the new Roman Republic, which we becoming the focus of European disorder. I did so. But the motley array under Garibal fought well; and it was not until July 1849, that General Oudinot made his entrangent into the Eternal City, "when from Janicula heights thundered the cannon of France Garibaldi and his troop escaped by the Trastevere, being reserved for greater thing But how would the Pope come back to he

POPE PIUS IX.

nital, of which General Niel presented him h the keys at Gaeta? Antonelli decided. e Holy Father returned April 12, 1850, as, the witty language of the Romans, Pio Nono e Second, to whom the idea of reform was a eam in the night that is past. A French rrison occupied the city; the Legations were lid by Austria. Charles Albert, abdicating, ad gone away to die in Portugal.

But in this tragic hour the makers of Italian nity were found. A statesman, a king, and freebooter, wrought out this drama between hem. The statesman was Cavour, the king 'ictor Emmanuel, the freebooter Garibaldi. and Piedmont, the Italian Macedon, was to ecomplish a design to the conception of which Dante, Rienzi, Machiavelli, Cæsar Borgia, Napoleon, Manzoni, Gioberti, had in their several ways given form and substance. Manzoni, in 1836, had declared to Montalembert that a united Italy under the House of Savoy was the one solution. Gioberti, leaving his Guelfism, had pointed to the same royal house in expectation of its future expansion, and proclainted its leadership. The proverb ran, "Savoy will eat up the Italian artichoke, leaf by leaf." Gioberti was no great politician. But Cayour, who now took Piedmont in hand, united policy with daring, and when

he assailed Austria next, it would be with the arms of Erance

Wet Cayour made the old Regalist mistak and it cost him dear For the modern State abroad, Henry VIII.'s legislation has a fat charm; but the language employed in repr ducing it is taken from the Declaration the Rights of Man. So it was that Victor Emmañuel in 1849 announced his intention of putting in force the great principle d equality before the law, meaning to abolish clerical immunities and monastic institutions and to bring in "civil marriage."-this last measure a serious blow at the Church in his dominions, where the people had always been profoundly Catholic. The author of the new projects, Siccardi, was despatched to Pius IX, then in exile at Portici; but he could not win the Pontiff's assent. Troubles ensued: Cardinal Franzoni and the Archbishop of Cagliari were thrown into prison; Cayour, the henchman of Siccardi, was obliged to resign. But he soon became Foreign Minister, and these laws were all passed. The Pope, on July 26, 1855, uttered the great excommunication against every one concerned in them. Between Cavour and the Temporal Power it was now a struggle to the death. His anti-clerical attitude, however, gave the Holy ee an advantage, and, as will appear in due ourse, led to the violent solution by cannon-hot in September, 1870, of the Roman Question. Cavour professed Liberal sentiments, but he was resolved—they are his own words—not to suffer an Ultramontane Church to grow up, relying on the people, such as he beheld in Ireland or Belgium. The traditions of Joseph II. of Austria had been transplanted long ago into Sardinian seminaries; and they made of Piedmont an enemy whom the Pope soon recognized as more dangerous than Mazzini.

The futile Crimean War gave Cavour his chance: he seized it boldly. By agreement in January, 1855, Sardinia, which had no interest at stake in the Orient, joined the allied Western Powers. At the Congress of 1856, held in Paris, the Piedmontese minister demanded that Austria should withdraw from the Legations and a lay Government rule them in the Pope's name. Lord Clarendon, the English envoy used strong language in condemnation of the Vatican to which Antonelli replied. The Emperor of the French wavered, now and always, between a policy inspired by his Catholic adherents, and a policy of advance which was called for by the Liberals all over Europe. His letter in 1849 to Edgar Ney

requiring the Holy Father to grant a lay administration, was an unredeemed pledge In 1857 Pius IX. made the last Papal progress through his northern states. He was kindly received, but did not mention the word reform. Antonelli had no programme; he watted simply on Providence.

A Roman conspirator and exile, Orsini, brought the situation to a crisis on January 14, 1858, by attempting the life of Napoleon III. in the open day as he was driving to the opera. Condemned to death, Orsini addressed the Emperor in an historic letter on February 11, pleading for the liberation of Italy. Cavour turned the whole incident adroitly against Rome; he met Napoleon secretly at Plombières, July 20, 1858; and a kingdom of twelve millions, from the Alps to the Adriatic, was designed under the house of Savov. War was in immediate prospect. The Temporal Power had been supported by a truce between the two empires on whose armed occupation Antonelli relied. If they fought, and Austria were beaten, the Pope's richest provinces would be lost, a new Lombard Kingdom set up not far from the gates of Rome. Now then a French army landed at Génoa in May, 1859. The victory of Magenta followed, and on June 11 the Austrian troops

left Bologna. " It was the spark which set all Italy ablaze." The Legations declared for Victor Emmarauel; a revolt at Perugia was quelled, not without bloodshed; the Peace of Villa Franca satisfied fleither French Liberals nor Italian patriots; and Cayour resigned. Farini constructed the "interim" State of Emilia

Still halting between two policies, Napoleon talked of an Italian Federation with Pius IX for its president. The Pope declined: French Catholics were enraged with a Government that wanted to despoil the Holy See: and to no Congress would a Papal representative be accredited unless the former boundaries of its dominions were guaranteed. This was the celebrated "Non possumus." An Encyclical letter in January, 1860, rejected the Emperor's plan, while Dupanloup of Orleans and Pie of Poitiers answered his pamphlet in uncompromising terms. The tempora power might fall, but it was utterly destroyin Gallicanism. Everywhere Catholics hel meetings to express their abhorrence of th Revolution and their devoted attachment the Holy Father. An English convert, Hen Edward Manning, drew the notice of all his venement defence of Papal principl Sheh in explosion of Sathusiasm on beh

of St. Peter's successor had not been we nessed in modern history. The Pope we taking up on different lines that movement democracy which he had blessed in 184 and, though Italians were divided, the Cathol Churcho answered even passionately to he impulse. He had lost the Legations; I was master, as though Innocent III. had rise again, of believing multitudes in Europe an America. The year 1860 marks a revival of Roman power, spiritual and democratic which has gone forward ever since without pause.

But the political fifth act was not to be avoided. Bishops might send up addresses by the hundred to Rome; men of such unlike temper as Veuillot and Lacordaire, Villemain and De Sacy, Disraeli and Guizot, might insist, as if at a General Council, on the necessity for the Pope's temporal independence and territorial sovereignty; they could not prevent the conquest of the Two Sicilies by Garibaldi; or Cavour's daring stroke, the march of Italian troops towards Ancona; or the defeat of Lamoricière and his Papal forces, however gallant their behaviour at Castel Fidardo, September 18, 1860. Yet, says De Cesare, who did not love the old regime, no occasion or pretext presented

self for declaring war on the Pope, invading is provinces, breaking up his army, and so narching on Naples. But Cavour was not leterred by these obstacles. Admiral Person bombarded and took. Ancona. On October 26, 1860, Garibaldi met Victor Emmanuel at Teano, and saluted him as King of Italy.

The first Italian Parliament assembled on February 18, 1861, at Turin. France, getting Nice and Salov, had consented to the final incorporation of Romagna with Emmanuel's new kingdom. To the Holy See was left, under French protection, the Patri mony or old Duchy of Rome, largely a desert and some half million of subjects. Inter national law could not justify the Piedmon ese invasion; Conservatives smiled at th "plebiscites," which followed obediently whe the victor's sword pointed. Romagna hi always, except during the Austrian occupation enjoyed Home Rule; but Cavour, in Octob 1860, affirming the independence of Ita declared that Rome must be its capital. word was spoken. And "a Free Church i Free State " was held out to the Pope in change for his sovereignty of a thousand ye Negotiations were at once set on f Pros 187, without allies or auxiliaries, liste

to Cavour's proposals. Antonelli permit a sort of protocol to be discussed; a Passaglia, the famous ex-Jesuit, was condu ingo the great business, as it seemed, to successful end. But here the Siccardi la warned Pius that if the Italians came to Rol they would suppress the monasteries, co fiscate Church property, and in spite of the liberal formula, make the clergy a departme of State. "Jacobin 'decrees" at Napl and Palermo confirmed this judgment. I roused himself to deliver an allocution March 18, 1861, in which he flung back th attempts at an insidious reconciliation base on robbery, and refused to come to terms wit it. Cavour died on June 6, and the Roma Question entered its last phase.

A convention between France and the King of Italy was signed in September, 1864 binding the latter to respect what was left of the Papal territories, and the French to withdraw their garrison by degrees from Rome. But Napoleon required that a new capital should be definitely chosen, as some grarantee of peace. The Government, accordingly, moved down to Florence. By the end of December, 1866, all the French troops had left Roman soil. No stir was made. The people of the Eternal City were little disposed

embark on a revolution; they felt a sincere achment to Pius IX., who treated them adly, whatever his officials might do: and. Napoleon III. believed, they would never e of themselves. Neither did they. Gariildi formed committees of insurrection, and enly undertook the liberation of Rome. hile Rattazzi, the new premier, looked on. he general was interned September 24. 867, in his island of Caprera; but his son lenotti crossed the Papal frontier, and there vas fighting at Monte Libretti. While Napoleon was hesitating Garibaldi escaped, traversed Tuscany, and captured Monte Rotondo, less than twenty miles from the gates of Rome. The French Catholics, the Empress, the leader of the bishops, Dupanloup, insisted on sending help to the Holy Father. Napoleon's lieutenant, Rouher, declared in the Chamber amid applause that the Italians should "never" enter Rome. This "iamais" was not forgotten when Napoleon sought for an ally at Florence in 1870. The expedition sailed. Garibaldi had drawn close to the Porta Salara, but Rome would not rise! the free companies which he brought wer drifting in all directions; and, as he we retiring upon Tivoli, November 8, 1867, detachment of French, coming to aid ti

Papal troops, defeated him at Menta His army broke and fled. The Septem Convention was no more.

That insignificant skirmish at Mentana h world-wide consequences. It brought ba the French to Castel Sant' Angelo, where th proved a fatal hindrance to Italian unity as was now conceived. It gave time for t assembling of the Vatican Council, and the passing of those decrees by which Gallies principles were stricken to death and th Pope was proclaimed infalible ex cathedra, i St. Peter's Chair. Like the affair of Bouvine it was fought with a handful of soldiers, bu has proved to be one of the decisive battles (the world. For the French empire an dynasty Mentana was a disaster, comin after its moral defeats in the Danish, Mexican and Austrian wars, every one of which had darkened its fame and lessened its influence Italian opinion would not suffer a single regiment of Bersaglieri to make common cause with French generals in 1870, who had boasted in 1867 that the chassepots had gone off of themselves on the approach of Garibaldi's volunteers. Austria, now, as well as Italy, demanded that Rome should be left open to the Sardinian advance. Napoleon could not agree; and his efforts to create alliances nst Prussia were broken upon this denial. tana was the prelude to Sedan.

ut if the Temporal Power from this day. visibly doomed to disappear, a movement. allel but in the contrary direction, had n proceeding, which would exalt beyond asure the cause of Papal Rome. Since the urn from Gaeta pilgrims had thronged the Holy City as never before. Three great etings of bishops, in 1854, 1862, and 1867, d assured Plus IX. of his unbounded influce over the Catholic world. His reply to e September Convention had been the ncyclical "Quanta Cura," and the Syllabus Index of propositions condemned during is pontificate, which, though chiefly a onservative document in accordance with rinciples of authority received everywhere, vas cleverly turned by the revolutionaries, whom it struck hard, into an attack upon sivilisation. Bishop Dupanloup showed its frue meaning, and three hundred and sixty bishops wrote to signify their agreement with the Bishop of Orleans. French prelates les the Church at this time, somewhat as their cavalry ride into battle, a pas de charge But in views they were not of one mine Some Gallicans were left; the ambiguou party selled Liberal Catholics had a polic

of their own. Among Germans, and especially at Munich, there was a school which had never been, or had ceased to be, ultramontane, controlled by the historian Döllinger. Moderate men asked for & Council in the hope of certain reforms. On prelates like Manning, Martin Bonnechose, Deschamps: on laymen such a Veuillot and Ward, it was borne in by the course of events that to save society spiritual authority must be concentrated in the hand of the Pope, whom all acknowledged as the highest representative of Christian principle in the world. These writers had their ow way of reasoning, no doubt; their moving impufise, however, was quite as much a socia necessity as a deduction from grounds of doctrine; and its perfect expression was give by Joseph de Maistre when he published hi treatise "Du Pape" after Napoleon's down fall. The Vatican Council was intended t protect Catholic interests from anarchy, b completing the work begun at Florence an left unfinished at Trent, of defining "S Peter's privileges" in his successor

This was done, amid conflicts into whice

This was done, amid conflicts into whice we need not enter, between December 1869, and July 18, 1870. No larger Counce of Ecclesiastics has ever met. All continent were represented. The extradidinary growt

Catholicism in free countries was evidenced ownew hierarchies in England, Canada, the Inited States, the British Empire at large. ts persistence under suffering was a jewel on the foreheads of Irish, South American, and missionary bishops, who saw one another ace to face in what seemed to devout polookers the full assembly of the Saints. A young American Bishop of Richmond, Virginia, who has lived to be Cardinal Gibbons of Baltimore, could tell us lately that the Church, neither persecuted nor favoured by civil power, in those United States now reckons twenty-two millions, and is on the way to become the largest as well as the strongest of religious associations in the Western world.

Against these mighty currents what could the Gallican or the Regalist achieve with his worn-out traditions? One of the wisest observations ever made on the whole subject is that of Count von Moltke—"The future of Rome does not depend on Rome itself, but on the direction that religious development will take in other countries." And Lord Acton has written, "Plus IX. knew that in all that procession of seven hundred and fifty bishops one idea prevailed. Mer whose word if powerful in the centres of

civilisation, men who three months before were confronting martyrdom amongst barbarians preachers at Notre Dame, professors from Germany Republicans from Western America men with every sort of training and every sort of experience, had come together as confident and eager as the prelates of Rome, to hall the Pope infallible." But with his doctrinal authority went an ordinary supreme jurisdic tion, which not only shattered in pieces the Articles of 1382, but enabled the Pope to govern local Churches as the Bishop of bishops. Moreover, in the presence of a universal dissolving movement, anti-social no less than anti-Christian, a perpetual dictator was needed, and who could it be save the Pontifex Maximus? These measures were taken as by foreboding of the crisis that came suddenly upon Europe. The last session of the Vatican Council was held in St. Peter's amid thunder and lightning on a July day, while France and Germany rushed to arms. The war which was to decide the temporal fate of Rome had been declared three days previously (July 15, 1870). On the morrow it broke out. In that hurning summer-time, we who were staying in Rome saw the French bishops

depart, and knew that the French soldiers would soon follow them from the Aventine.

POPE PIUS IX.

ey went, those heroic young men, to be feated in the battles of August; and the pal Zouaves, who were faithful to the st, were destined to win the field of atay. But no one was acquainted with the and of Germany: and on that mind we saited, while the Empire was falling to leces. Thirty thousand Italian troops kept watch on the frontier, ready to break in if the Romans, would seize Rome. But, as ever, the Romans did no more than buy flags which might be hung out according to fortund the Pope's colours so long as they were needed, the tricolour invented long ago by Republican Bologna when *King Victor's regiments should come marching in. The King himself was torn between feelings of gratitude to France and the conviction that if he did not put an end to the Temporal Power it would cost him his throne. The Revolutiof was alert in Naples and Milan. But the ghos of the September Convention vanished when Republic succeeded to the Empire. Cour Bismarck had purchased Italian neutralit by giving a free hand to the Government Florence. After a moment of hesitatic ministers were allowed to act. Ponza San Martino brought a royal letter to t Vatica, in which " with the devotion of

king, and the heart of an Italian," Victor Emmanuel told Pius IX. that he intended to occupy the Papal States. The Pope answers by a single word—" Might then comes before right." When for the last time, at the Piazza dei Termini, he made an official appearance in public, the Holy Father was greeted by the Romans with frantic enthusiasm. But they had their two sets of flags ready.

On September 11 General Cadorna, who had once served in the sanctuary, crossed the Papal boundaries and made straight for Rome. Mazzini lay in prison at Gaeta; Garibaldi in Caprera was closely watched The Italian Government had resolved that none but itself should crown the edifice built up during twenty years of war and diplomacy to the honour of Savoy. The new French Republic called away the Antibes legion of volunteers on September 18, not wishing that their tricolour should be seen in conflict with the Piedmontese. From all European capitals word arrived in Florence allowing the invasion to proceed. The Pope stood alone. "Venit summa dies dineluctabile tentpus." It was the last day of his earthly dominion:

September 20, 1870, dawned in a pure sky, with golden fringes edging the clouds that

POPE PIUS IX.

y along on the Latin Hills. It had been week of dust and sunshine in beleaguered tome. Count Arnim, the Pfussian, had . one busily to and fro between the camp utside and the Vatican, desirous that a beaceable entry might be made, and the clatter of artillery might not announce to Europe this ortentous violation of domicile. His halfmiling intervention had failed. On the evening of September 19, the Holy Father drove across Rome to the Piazza of St. John Lateran, ascended the Scala Santa, and gave his blessing to the troop which held that gate. He was never afterwards seen in the streets of Rome. General Kanzler had it in command to resist until wall or gate was battered down. And so, in the clear air of that September morning, the twentieth, we saw the smoke of the cannonade rise like an exhalation from Porta Salara round to Porta Pia, and at other gates there was a feigned attack; but the headlong General Bixio furiously assailed the Porta San Pancrazio, while his grenades struck the windows of the Vatican and his artillery accompanied with its volleys the Mass-which Pius-IX. was saying in his private chapel. The corps diplomatique waited round him, having no commission but to look on. Some minunderstanding-prolonged the resist-

200 PARCY AND MODERN WIMES'

ance and multiplied the casualties. At a o'clock we saw the white flag waving he over St. Peter's done. We heard afar from our College roof the thunder of a captains and the shouting, as through a shattered walls of Porta Pia streamed in mixed array of soldiers, refugees, can followers, along the street afterwards nan from the Twentieth of September. Early the afternoon we saw Italian standards floing from the Capitol. Rome had once a quered Italy. Now Italy had conquered Rome.

The usual plebiscite followed. By nation decree the City of the Popes was elevated degraded into the chief town of a modern State created yesterday. King Victor Emman broke his way with crowbars into the Quirir Monasteries were transformed into ministrate said the satire-loving Romans. The Jest were suppressed, and their escutcheon over great door of the Roman College was he mered to pieces. The Siccardi law, designarantees, was extended to the form Papal States, justifying Pius IX. in presentiments. But he, without so much the Leoning City left to him, put aside civil and legal establishments, living on the alms the faithful, visited in his Apostolic prison

ltitudes, year after year, who bore witness his growing religious influence over the lions for whom they were ambassadors. e King died on January 9, the Pope on bruary 7, 1878. Pius IX. had outlived the 'ears of Peter'; and he had followed the mporal Power to its grave.

"No human pen," says Leeky in a fine ssage, "can write its epitaph, for no agination can adequately realize its glories. the eyes of those who estimate the greatness a sovereignty, not by the extent of its tritory, or by the valour of its soldiers, but the influence which it has exercised over anking, the Papal government has had no al, and can have no successor. But bugh we may not fully estimate the majesty its past, we can at least trace the causes of decline." He goes on to enumerate them; ut the sum is this-once Religion flourished, y means of establishments and coercive ower, now politics and religion are divorced or ever

But let us not confound the social organism with political machinery. It remains always rue, as Auguste Comte perceived, that society ests on a creed, explicit or latent, in which its nembers are united; that its law is ethics and its standard conscience. True like-

wise it is that the Pope cannot deny hi origin, which was not a victory of the stron arm, but was due to the free immortal spirit He never can be absorbed by the absolute State, for he is the pilgrim of eternity . And thus, a prisoner in the Vatican, withou kingdom or army, Leo XIII., succeedin immediately to Pius IX., began and ended reign of twenty-six years, the most brillian in its manifestations, and most fruitful i results of any since the Sack of Rome. Allow ing that American forms of government w more and more prevail, that privilege w give place to liberty, and free association limit the State itself, what does it all mear Surely the triumph of principle over for of moral influence over elegal enactme But so it was that the Roman Church begi "presiding in love," as said St. Ignatius Antioch; so did she attain to her supreme in the ages called of Faith. Her appeal to the Cross.

[&]quot;Christ conquers, Christ reigns, Christ comman Christ defend His people from all harm."

BIBLICGRAPHY

the subjects kandled in this volume may be studied from orthodox and Roman point of view in The Catholic Encycloits, now publishing in eighteen volumes at New York. e Cambridge Modern History, especially on the Renaissance, fermation, and French Revolution, coversche ground from out 1450. Gregorovius, History of the City of Rome, bends from fourth to sirteenth century. The Papal pisters are in course of publication from the period of For the prelude, consult Barry, Papal Monarchy; Bryce, ly Roman Empire; Duchesne, First Period of the Papal ates (Fr.); Luchaire, Innocent III. (Fr.). For Avignon and Renaissance : Pastor, History of the Popes, n volumes (E. Tr.), is indispensable. Cfeighton, same title d period; Milman, Latin Christianity VII.-XI.; Symonds, naissance, picturesque but unreliable; Hefele, Councils, Constance and Basle; Höfler, Popes of Avignon (Ger.); lois, France and the Great Schism (Fr.); Gardner, E. G., Catherine of Siega; Kitts, E.J., In the Days of the Counand Pope John XXIII.; Gairdner, J., The Lollards; pigt, Revival of Classical Antiquity (Ger.); Munts., Art and Papal Court (Fr.) Burckhafdt or Geiger on agt and Papal Court (Fr.) Hurckhardt or Geiger on A. M., grature of the Renaissance (R. Tr.); Vaughan, H. M., edici Popes; Brosch, Julius II. (Ger.); Roscoe, Leo X., ll valuable; Kraus on Popes and Culture in Cambridge story, A.; Lea, Inquisition in Middle Ages; Lilly, W. S., naissance Types, Erasmus, etc., Froude on the same subject, ccurate. Gregorovius Lucrezia Borgia; Villari, Machiavelli l Savonarola (E. Tr.); Lucas, Savonarola. For Reformation period: Ranke, History of Popes, sixteenth d seventeenth century, with Macaulay's classic review; nssens, Ristory of the Germin People (E. Tr.), standard rk; Irea, Inquisition in Spain; Lord Acton, History of edom, Historical Essays and Studies, Lectures on Modernstory; all deserving named attention, and dealing with resolution, Temporal Tweer, Democracy; Dendie and isar on Mather and Datheranism (Ger.), give strong Catholio w; Döllinger, Reformation, an early work; Contributions History, vixteenth and seventeenth century (Get.), after 1870; ohler, Symbolism (E. Tr.) standard work on Protestant mularles; Gasquet, Henry VIII. and Monasticism, a Eve of Reformation; Bridgett, Lines of More and Fisher; irdner, J., English Church in Sixteenth Century; Sanders, igin of Anglican Schim (M.T.); Thompson, T., Life of Ignalius of Lopela; Barry, Calvin, in Catholic Encyclodia; Baird, Fistor of Rice of Huguenots; Law, Catholic actates of Sisteenth Century; Maurenbrecher, on Charles Francisco urimilian II., Catholic Reform in Germany, etc. (all Ger.) 263

of great importance; see also Möller (Latheran), Church

History III. on same subjects.

There is no general history of the Jesuka in English. To French by Crétineau Soly less many faults. The Society optibilished its own in six portions and in Latin, down to low it is printing its Monumenta in various languages, 1894 orward Pallavicini and Theiner give History of Council of Trent. also Ward, A. W., The Counter-Reformation, in Episol

of Chunch History,

For Gallicanism and its affinities: St. Beuve, Port R. (Fr.) is the best literary account of Jansenism. Bossa Defence of the Declaration of 1682 (Lat.), in his works: Flew Church-History and new minor works (opusouses, Fr.); Jer History of the Gallican Church, very learned; Michael Louis XIV. and Innocent XI. (Fr.); De Maistre, Du Paradid L'Edise Gallicane, the most famous treatment whole question; Hergenröther, Catholic Church and Christians, E. Tr. by Devas, documentary and folemical, is stoken, we have a commentary and folemical, in the stoken work on the Encyclical and Syllaths of 1864; Mey Febronius (Ger.), anti-Roman; Haller, Papacy and Germ Catholic Raisma (Ger.).

Catholic Referra (Ger.).

For eighteenth century F.ee Thought, Lecky, Rationalis Leelie Stephen, under above heading; Morley in Volus Rousseau, Diderot, may be consulted, all partisans of Enlightenment. Devas, C. E., Key to the Ward. Propose the the Catholic view, and criticizes the whole movement Since French Revolution: Nicysen (Lutheran bish Ristory of Papacy in Nineteenth Century (E. Tr.), especially and Papacy. Manual Resource of Consolvi and Pacocy. Memoris (Rose, E. Tr.); Chatbring Gense, Christianis (Ros); Autobiography (E. by De Mattos); D'Hagailenvini, Fapoleon and Paus VII. (In the of Döllings (Ger.); Cardigue, Resoration a. In Fillippe; Gingue, Lamentain, and L's correspondence Gold Consolving, Cardigue, Resoration a. In Fillippe; Gingue, Lamentain, and L's correspondence Gold Consolving, Philosophy, Correspondence Gold Consolving, Philosophy, Correspondence Gold Consolving, Transmini, Life and Roman Militaly; also Declish Light Stephackhart; Crawfond, M. Consolving, C. Stephen Light, on Resord Consolving, Manual Resord Consolving, Consolving, Consolving, Cardina, C. a coffespondence; Manual Crawfond, Consolving, C. Stephen Consolving, The Church and Consolving, The Church and Consolving, The Church and Charles (E. F.); Legrange, Life of Dupandoup C. Olliving, E. The Liberta Empire and hunder and State Consolving, C. The Liberta Empire and hunder and State Consolving, D. Cardina, Popadom and State Cardina, D. Cardina, Popadom and State Cardina, D. Cardina, Popadom and State Cardina, D. Cardina, D. Cardina, Popadom and State Cardina, D. Cardina, Popadom and State Cardina, D. Cardina, Popa

210A, 88, 181-8, 201, 218, 245, 245, 201, 201, 201, 42, 129,

ncile, Vienne, 56 e Pisa, 54; onstance, 57-62; Basie, 68-70; ateran Fifth; 96, 97; Trent 28, 152; Vatioan, 244-6.

mbs. 85.

perors, Roman, 1. Status, 9; lero; 11; Constantine 13; ustinian, 25; Holy Roman and German, Charlemagne, 10; the I, II., 18; Heary IV., 20; Heary & 23; redestable, II., 24, 25, 27; ladolph I., 27; Lonis Bavarian, 5, 40; Saries IV., 42, 43; Sarsuu, 37-70; Charles V., 168-9; 110, 122-4; Ferdiand II., 143-4; Coronations Rome, 97-8; Greek, 756. ghtenment, 185-8, esp. Montequieu, Voltaire, Rousseau, 3-6.

ronius an Moseph II., 179-181.

casem and smattes, 150 insensen, 1513; Bull Up hit wieter Louis 3-60; Joseph XII 156; mes II, 168; Bosses, 157-1, 185, 245.

ita, 107, 114-6, 128-9, 140-64 Ill of Bockety, 170-9, 229,

dius of Padna, 97.

dip the Fett, 22, 20, 31, 32.

LEO L. 18; Gregory L.

Hadrian L. 18; Leo III.,
Suventer H. P.R.; Leo IX.,
Urban SI. F.R. Radrian

Innocent III., 26: Gregory X.
27: Clement V., 52, 34, 36
Popes in France and French
32-4; in Avignon, 42-7; Urban
VI., 47, and Roman succession
55, 59: Alexander V., 56
John, XXIII., 56 seq.; Martin
V., 51-8; Renaissance Popes,
71-76: Calitus IIII-980; Sissing
1V., 83-6; Alexander VI., 86
93: Jalius H., 83-4, 99-5-7,
108-6: La X., 96, 108 seq.;
Adrian VI., 106; Clement
VII., 108, 112; of Californi
VIII., 108, 112; of Californi
VIII., 129-32; Paul III.,
113-129; Paul III.,
113-129; Paul III.,
113-129; Paul III.,
114: John VIII., 143; Innocent
X., 146; XI., 156; XII., 159;
of eighteenth century, 163-4;
Pius VI., 195: VII., 198-210;
Leo XIII., 216, 220; Gregory
XVI., 223 Puta IX., 220-262;
Leo XIII., 252; Popes and
Islain, 14, 189-22, 76, 161
Papal Families, Borgias, 90;
Rovere, 82; Medici, 84; Farnese, 114, 185.

245-4

SAVODATOR SO VI.

Was Thirty Year, 187-47 Thiy, 148; Wallenstein, 144; Gustavus Adolphus, 914-5 Treaty of Westphalia, 145-7. Waterloo to 1870, 211-52; Mester

Mach, 211, 45-16-25; Napoleon L, 212-8; Onnsalvi, 214-8; Chateschriand, 197, 214-16-18; Language, 220-50 Gregory Language, 220-50 Gregory Language, 220-50 Gregory Language, 220-50 Gregory 38; Markel 226; R. Rosman, 75:-6; G. G. Rosma

THE END

State Central Library.
Govt of West Rengal.
A.B. T. Book, Calcutta-70000